

American University in Cairo

AUC Knowledge Fountain

Faculty Book Chapters

2012

How Private Lives Determine Work Options: Reflections on Poor Women's Employment in Egypt

Hania Sholkamy

Follow this and additional works at: https://fount.aucegypt.edu/faculty_book_chapters



Part of the [Anthropology Commons](#), and the [Gender and Sexuality Commons](#)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

6

How Private Lives Determine Work Options: Reflections on Poor Women's Employment in Egypt

Hania Sholkamy

Introduction

This chapter examines the articulations between private/personal and market/work choices, decisions and conditions. It reviews the empirical evidence on women and work in Egypt in order to explore the reasons why rates of labour-force participation remain low and why the currently significant political demands for women's exit from the labour market have currency, despite the conclusive evidence for a strong relationship between women's work and family well-being. By drawing on ethnographic and survey data, it highlights the importance of understanding the articulations of work and family lives which define women's work experiences. I will use women's personal narratives to indicate that family dynamics significantly inform patterns of female employment, sometimes by discouraging labour-force participation and at other times by leading women to engage in income-generating activities not against but in conformity with traditional gender norms that define their familial responsibilities.

As has been widely discussed, female employment not only empowers women but also contributes to economic growth and the alleviation of social and economic inequalities (Esping-Andersen, 1995, p. 7). It has been argued that there exists a two-way relationship between economic growth and gender equality, whereby gender equality ensures that economies are optimizing their use of labour resources, rather than allowing discrimination to impose barriers

1 to labour mobility. In a parallel vein, one would expect economic
2 growth to promote gender equality by destabilizing traditional
3 patriarchal norms and alleviating economic scarcity as a cause for
4 gender discrimination (Kabeer and Natali, 2011). As Kabeer has
5 argued in a review article, greater gender equality in employment
6 and education, particularly at the secondary level, has a positive
7 impact on the rate of economic growth, but female labour-force
8 participation and education, even independently of rates of economic
9 growth, 'also appear to contribute to progress on other aspects of
10 gender equality, helping to close the gender gap in life expectancy,
11 promote women's political participation and challenge stereotyped
12 views about women's role in society – among women but also among
13 men' (Kabeer, 2011, p. 2). Resources in women's hands also contrib-
14 ute to the inclusiveness of growth, as studies have shown women's
15 altruistic choices in spending on children, home and the family
16 (Kabeer, 2008).

17 Despite the positive impacts of female employment, the relation-
18 ship between private and intimate experiences and economically
19 productive ones may limit female labour-force participation, and
20 the conservative rhetoric that urges women to stay at home can
21 still resonate in public debate, regardless of widespread economic
22 deprivation. At the same time, the increased presence of women in
23 paid work does not necessarily fracture the edifice of unequal power
24 within the family, nor does it challenge the gender segmentation of
25 occupational structures.

26 In the case of Egypt, a series of factors – including the absence of
27 social protection and care policies, as well as the diminished role
28 of the state as an employer – have influenced both the availability
29 of jobs accessible to women and the desires of women themselves to
30 work. Economic hardship, on the other hand, has mandated work
31 as a survival necessity for poor and poorly educated women. Under
32 these circumstances, the 'empowering' or liberating potential of paid
33 and unpaid work remains unrealized, and work becomes a feature of
34 women's complex lives and struggles to balance needs, chores, burdens
35 and relationships. This is a travesty not only from a gender justice
36 perspective but also from a gender-neutral one of growth and equity.

37 According to the latest round of the Egypt Labour Market Panel
38 Survey (ELMPS), women are entering the informal labour mar-
39 ket in droves and taking up employment in informal and family

1 occupations, sometimes without pay or benefits, but always without
2 protection except for that afforded by family obligations. In other
3 words, work has not leveraged the female worker into a better posi-
4 tion, neither within the family, nor in the workplace. Educated
5 women with access to white-collar jobs or those who have capital
6 to become employers may have fared better than the poor who are
7 over-represented in informal and unregulated labour markets (Anker
8 et al., 2003; Kabeer, 2008b). The decisions of the latter to engage
9 in this type of work are significantly influenced by the gender
10 norms and roles that shape women's intimate lives and might often
11 determine the degree to which work yields well-being or power.
12 The correlation between women's economic activity and women's
13 well-being and empowerment is not necessarily a positive one; poor
14 women might become economically active while remaining socially
15 vulnerable. In order to understand the patterns and implications of
16 female employment, we need to investigate not only market-based
17 transactions and relationships but also delve into the private sphere
18 and register changes and transformations in family formation and
19 gender norms.

20 There is a complex and sometimes misconstrued dynamic between
21 women's personal and working lives. The endogenous associations
22 between women's work and their reproductive lives and choices
23 confound an understanding of linear causality. The dynamics of
24 family life affect decisions to seek employment in different ways.
25 The introduction to this book has pointed not only to low levels of
26 labour-force participation by women in both Egypt and Turkey but
27 also to decreasing rates of employment among groups of women
28 in both countries. There are diminishing opportunities for employ-
29 ment in some sectors and increased competition for limited jobs in
30 others. In Egypt, women face a resilient and vocal patriarchal narra-
31 tive that places women inside the home and privileges reproductive
32 roles over productive ones, but this does not necessarily preclude the
33 expansion of informal or unpaid family work.

34 35 **Social, sexual, and market contracts**

36
37 Marriage is a sexual contract, citizenship is a social one, and work
38 is a market-based transaction. The three types of contracts together
39 define the realm of the possible in which women and families make

1 individual choices. This is not to say that contracts determine behav-
2 iour, but rather that these contractual arrangements have implica-
3 tions for how individuals make decisions to manage benefits, risks
4 and opportunities. The patterns of work and income generation
5 examined in this chapter indicate that the majority of women are
6 engaged in work that precludes them from the benefits of market
7 contracts (either virtual or actual). They are engaged in unpaid or
8 informal work with no formal rights (or at least none that is enforce-
9 able) (Assaad, 2007).

10 As Olmsted has noted, within the context of Southwest Asia and
11 North Africa, although the decline in gender gaps in education and
12 life expectancy indicates an overall improvement in the status of
13 women, their levels of economic independence and labour-force par-
14 ticipation remain low and suggest a continued reliance on the patri-
15 archal family as the source of economic well-being (Olmsted 2005,
16 pp. 113, 132). This may be interpreted as suggesting that, despite the
17 power imbalances that reside in patriarchy as a social model, social
18 and family resources are able to provide certain benefits that lead
19 to some improvement in the position of individual women living
20 according to these arrangements. This conclusion is of a nature so as
21 to support these economic theories of the family as a unit which acts
22 to maximize a single-utility function and invests in children. In these
23 approaches, the investments of both mates are assumed to be equal.
24 Such rational behaviour explains why women and men choose to
25 marry and pool their resources to maintain the family unit (Becker,
26 1974, p. 326). But this is an ungendered and ideologically neutral
27 approach to families. In contexts where gender biases persist, these
28 investments may not be equal, nor are they made without biases
29 affected by the gender of the child in whom the parents are invest-
30 ing. Analysis from Egypt has shown that parents invest less in girls
31 than they do in boys. Differentials in investments relating to health
32 and education between boys and girls point to the necessity of gen-
33 dering the model of the altruistic family (Sholkamy, 2001).

34 Studies on marriage patterns in Egypt confirm the importance of
35 marriage as a rational choice mandated by individual, family and
36 community needs for survival and reproduction. In societies where
37 sexual activity is constrained by religious and social norms, marriage
38 is the route not only to social and economic survival but also to
39 sexual agency (Singerman and Hoodfar, 1996). However, this does

1 not necessarily mean that marriage partners are equal or equally able
2 to make decisions and choices. Feminists have argued that the sexual
3 contract that forges marriage is prejudicial to women. This contract
4 effectively gives men a monopoly over a woman's sexual, household
5 and economically productive labour, without offering women a simi-
6 lar monopoly in return (Okin, 1989; Pateman, 1988).

7 While contract theorists have famously ignored women in the con-
8 struction of individual equality, the sexual contract signals the divi-
9 sion of society into two separate spheres: the private/natural and the
10 public/political. The private is relegated to women and considered
11 irrelevant to public life, which is then constructed as an exclusively
12 male domain. Women are thereby conceptualized as belonging to
13 and remaining in a natural, pre-political world, whereas men enter
14 civil society. As Pateman has written, 'civil freedom is a masculine
15 attribute and depends upon patriarchal right' (1988, p. 2). The social
16 contract, then, is an exclusively male enterprise that transforms
17 patriarchy into its modern form which is 'fraternal, contractual, and
18 structures capitalist civil society' (Boucher, 2003, p. 25).

19 Okin has also criticized contractarians for their exclusion of
20 women from the polis by ignoring the significance of family life and
21 intimate relationships. Theorists may include women, as has Rawls
22 done in later writings, but they ignore the *experiences of women!* This
23 critique of contracts is of significance to an informed and gendered
24 understanding of women's work experiences. Economic citizen-
25 ship is mediated by family and by the sphere of the personal. It is
26 women's positionality in families that makes them cheap and avail-
27 able workers ready to take jobs that offer little more than immediate
28 income and so precludes women from the benefits and rights of eco-
29 nomic citizenship, as outlined by Kessler-Harris. In western indus-
30 trial society, the sanctity of the market makes market work the only
31 practical route to empowerment for women (Kessler-Harris in Kabeer,
32 2008, p. 17). If civil contracts ignore women, and if markets exclude
33 them, the family becomes the only and most important resource for
34 women's welfare (Esping-Andersen, 2002). It is therefore rational for
35 women to privilege the 'sexual contract' over all others.

36 The male-breadwinner model is still dominant in Egypt; it is
37 enshrined in religious juridical codes. In the case of Muslims, Quranic
38 texts that confirm male superiority over women in marriage, called
39 *qawameh*, are referenced in order to argue why women are excluded

1 from polis and market. In this formulation, families are conceptual-
2 ized as units that maximize the utility of all members equally and
3 where welfare is pooled and equally distributed. In this case, the
4 complimentary norms and relationships of men and women within
5 families (sometimes called the gender pact) negate the need for
6 formal equality. Male provision and support are balanced by female
7 nurturing and care work. Islamic law permits women to work, retain
8 their income and hold property, but social norms frame women's
9 work as optional or supplementary, since women are not obliged
10 to provide for their families. Yet, the women interviewed for this
11 chapter and those surveyed by the ELMPS tell a different story. They
12 work so as to sustain their sexual contracts, support their children
13 and suspend the strife that blights families in times of economic
14 hardship. The intersections of markets, state and family are ones
15 where gender norms work to not only discourage formal work for
16 women but also suggest informal work as a necessary tactic that ena-
17 bles survival without threatening power within the family.

18 However, as has been widely discussed in the literature analysing
19 the position of women in paid employment, work is not only a means
20 to economic survival (Dupre and Gagnier, 1996; Elson, 1981; Kabeer,
21 2008b). It is indeed important to recognize that women are driven to
22 work by a variety of motivations. This underlines the importance of
23 work for agency and personhood and avoids the trap of economicist
24 arguments. It is also important, however, not to overlook the fact that
25 work may be fulfilling or alienating – it may be a choice or a chore,
26 an escape or a prison. Work that is taken up under duress or because
27 of distress is usually 'inherently undesirable, entailing hard physical
28 labour [...] socially devalued or stigmatized' (Kabeer, 2008b, p. 28).
29 The meaning of work for women should be assessed beyond its imme-
30 diate economic implications, with reference to wider strategic ends
31 that affect the choices of women to participate in working life.

32 33 **Female employment in Egypt: Socio-economic changes,** 34 **demographic trends and cultural values** 35

36 In Egypt, the sexual contract is still a potent factor defining women's
37 agency. Women's sexual/intimate lives and their work options and
38 opportunities are closely linked, and female employment patterns
39 are to a large extent shaped by the gender roles that women choose

1 or are compelled to play. This does not only mean that women do
2 not stay out of the labour force by choosing not to contest tradi-
3 tional views on gender roles but also that the decisions to undertake
4 income-generating activities also might be taken in conformity with
5 and as an extension of the traditionally defined family responsibili-
6 ties that women feel obliged to assume. Under these circumstances,
7 and for poor women in particular, income from work, which might
8 help to alleviate women's financial strictures and burdens, does not
9 necessarily transform power imbalances in their lives or enhance
10 their security and autonomy.

11 Since the 1970s, there has been a globally observed increase in the
12 availability of low-skill and low-wage jobs for women, as examined
13 in the literature on the feminization of labour (Standing, 1989; Elson
14 and Pearson, 1981; Buğra and Yakut-Çakar, 2010). Although the
15 Egyptian industry is not as fully integrated in globalized production
16 systems as that of other countries in the Mediterranean, including
17 Morocco and Turkey, there have been some important changes in
18 the structure of the economy that have influenced the structure of
19 employment and the availability of jobs. Successive changes in eco-
20 nomic policies that have transformed a protected, state-dominated
21 and centralized economy into a liberal one that assigns a more
22 important role to the private sector have implied a shift in work
23 opportunities from formal state employment to employment in pri-
24 vate services and industries.

25 The impact of these changes on women has been immense.
26 Observers have noted a decrease in the availability of 'good' jobs,
27 mostly in the formal sector, and a proliferation of informal activity
28 (see Assaad, 2007). There are conflicting trends that show decreased
29 female labour-force participation in some sectors and an increase
30 in others. This uneven picture renders the understanding of female
31 labour-force participation and its social implications difficult to
32 read. Will Egypt follow the path of South European countries in the
33 social transformation associated with women's work, which has led
34 to de-familialization, increased gender equity and a cultural change
35 that sees women recognized as income earners? This pattern is not
36 evident in Turkey, as it is discussed in Chapter 5 of this volume, and
37 it seems that the case of Egypt is similar to the Turkish one.

38 Egypt has relatively low levels of female labour-force participation
39 by international comparison. According to the World Bank (2011)

1 data, the female economic activity rate was only 21.1 per cent in
2 2006. Egyptian statistics, on the other hand, indicate that 28 per cent
3 of women in urban areas and 26 per cent in rural areas were engaged
4 in paid work in 2006 (Assaad and El Hamidi, 2009, p. 224). Needless
5 to say, women do work and are economically active in informal,
6 unpaid, occasional productive work, as well as in the routine care
7 work within the family. Female employment statistics can in fact vary
8 significantly, depending on the way in which survey questions are
9 formulated either to depict or ignore those types of work that do not
10 conform to typical patterns of wage employment. For example, the
11 2006 ELMPS added about 4 million jobs to the labour market in one
12 decade, from the 1998 to the 2006 survey, based on a question that
13 better detects the transformations in the character of rural, occasional,
14 for-market, or for-family processing and agricultural work (Langsten
15 and Salem, 2008). Given the proliferation of home-based, non-
16 remunerated jobs particularly in the rural and lower-income areas of
17 Egypt, it becomes rather difficult to analyse female-employment pat-
18 terns in terms of conventional employment statistics. Another con-
19 founding factor is the spread of micro-credit arrangements driven by
20 civil society initiatives in the realm of social assistance. Women who
21 use micro-credit to enter the labour market as self-employed workers
22 might actually regard themselves as beneficiaries of social assistance
23 and not report their work as paid employment.

24 The majority of new female entrants into the labour market are
25 women who are entering 'familial' markets. It is therefore important
26 to distinguish in any analysis of the female labour-force participation
27 in Egypt between the rates of economic activity and the interpreta-
28 tions and implications of such economic activity. Certain types of
29 work can have a truly transformative impact on gender relations,
30 while others might actually lead to the entrenchment of patriarchal
31 relations. The latter do not avail women to income, networks and
32 avenues of collective action, political rights, meaningful mobility
33 or even economic independence. They cannot be considered as
34 empowering at a social or political level, although they may enable
35 women to better fulfil ascribed gender roles. Economic activity,
36 which women choose to undertake in order to facilitate their private
37 lives and shoulder their family obligations, thus enables women to
38 'subsidize' patriarchy, by making up for shortfalls in male provision
39 or compensating for male unemployment.

1 While the personal gains that women derive from formal work
2 outside the home might be important, such gains are clearly very
3 limited in the case of work at home. Women's freedom of spatial
4 mobility, for example, significantly varies with their employment sta-
5 tus. Women with formal paid employment have the highest levels of
6 mobility. The next-highest mobility is for women with informal paid
7 work outside the home, while those who work in their own home
8 and those who do not work have similar and lower mobility factors.
9 These results suggest a strong bivariate relationship between mobility
10 and the kind of employment in which women are engaged.¹

11 Geography, age, wealth and education are important, but they are
12 also associated with the specific type of work. Women who work
13 inside the home for or without wages or those who are engaged in
14 informal work but reside in communities that are conservative or
15 patriarchal, are less able to enjoy the freedom of mobility. Assaad et
16 al. (2008) have shown from their analysis of the ELMPS that the cur-
17 rent trend is favouring these familial marketplaces, noting that

18
19 [t]he evidence available indicates that this segment of the labour
20 market, which constituted over 36 per cent of total employment
21 in 2006, has not only seen a decline in its real earnings over time,
22 but has also expanded in size relative to other segments of the
23 Egyptian labour market. It thus appears that household enter-
24 prises, and in particular family farms, have served in recent years
25 as a sort of sponge that absorbs excess labour in the Egypt labour
26 market, with as much as one third of new entrants finding work
27 as unpaid family workers. Nonetheless, this labour absorption role
28 appears to have come at the cost of a higher incidence of marginal
29 employment, lower productivity and therefore lower earnings.

30 (EHDR, 2008)

31
32 Apart from societal norms that privilege women's nurturing roles,
33 the lack of availability of jobs for women in a growing private sec-
34 tor, dwindling opportunities for public-sector employment and
35 lack of migration opportunities (Hoodfar, 1997; Amin and Bassussi,
36 2004; Assaad and El-Hamidi, 2009; Barsoum, 2004; Assaad, 2008)
37 also explain the low level of formal female employment in Egypt.
38 Analyses of cross-sectional data have made it clear that location,
39 education, the employment status of men in the household and a

1 woman's stage in her life course are also important determinants of
2 women's work (Assaad and El-Hamidi, 2001; El-Kogali and El-Bassusi,
3 2001; Assaad and Arntz, 2005; Assaad and El-Hamidi, 2009).

4 The clear priority that women and their families give to marriage
5 over work is evident in the labour market exodus that occurs as
6 soon as women get married. The modal age of participation in the
7 private sector, 23 years, is almost exactly the median age of mar-
8 riage for young women in Egypt. It is noticeable that after this age
9 the employment rate declines steadily (El-Zanaty and Way, 2006;
10 Assaad and El-Hamidi, 2009). Women often work to save up for
11 marriage and leave employment, specifically in the private sector, as
12 soon as they have a husband on whom to rely, or at least a partner
13 whose pleasure lies in having a fiancée or wife stay at home. Only
14 women who have access to formal, stable and secure employment
15 will return to the same job or sector after marriage – hence, the age-
16 ing formally employed female population. The rest will go back to
17 work, but in insecure and occasional jobs. The meaning and impli-
18 cations of work for women are determined by the societal context
19 of patriarchy.

20 Although World Values Surveys have certain limitations in depict-
21 ing the reality of social life, the results of the 2008 survey for Egypt
22 can be said to correlate with the sentiments that pervade public
23 life. These results confirm, for example, the contest between work
24 and home as evinced in opinions concerning female employment.
25 Compared to 55 other countries for which there are data in 2008,
26 Egypt commands the conservative end of the spectrum, specifically
27 in connection with religion and gender. In this respect, 95 per cent of
28 those surveyed said that religion is very important to their daily lives.
29 This is a result higher than in all other Muslim countries surveyed,
30 which include Iran, Turkey, Jordan and Morocco. On gender issues
31 the message is clear. Three questions on gender illustrate the state of
32 public discourses on gender:

- 33
- 34 1. When the number of jobs decreases, men should be given priority
35 over women in employment (89 per cent strongly agree);
- 36 2. A woman's role as homemaker gives her complete satisfaction,
37 just as paid work would (66 per cent strongly agree); and
- 38 3. Men are better than women in completing tasks (63 per cent
39 strongly agree).

1 These scores rank as the highest compared to all other countries.
2 Moreover, when adding those who agree that staying at home is as
3 gratifying as paid work to the above score of 66 per cent who strongly
4 agree, the result increases to over 90 per cent of respondents. This
5 includes 93 per cent of men and 87 per cent of women interviewed.

6 In October 2008, a study on early divorce in Egypt conducted by
7 the National Centre for Sociological and Criminological Research put
8 the blame for 36 per cent of divorces on women who, after going out
9 to work and gaining economic independence, had stopped obeying
10 their husbands who 'like to be obeyed unquestioningly' (*El-Hayat*,
11 22 October 2008, p. 24). Women's economic independence was asso-
12 ciated with neglect of care-giving and home-making duties. This is
13 another illustration of dubious research yielding findings that closely
14 correspond to popular sentiments.

15 These popular sentiments are not unrelated to the changing con-
16 ditions of work. Work now is no longer family-friendly, and women
17 who go out to work are making a choice that undermines their famil-
18 ial duties. Women leave the labour market when they get married,
19 except for those lucky enough to work in the formal public sector.
20 A full 83 per cent of ever-married women who were in the labour
21 force in 2006 were employed in the public sector, compared to 34 per
22 cent of unmarried women (Assaad and El-Hamidi, 2009, p. 239).

23 The public sector in Egypt is probably the only truly gender-blind
24 employer in its recruitment practices and guaranteed employment
25 to employees, both male and female. It secures a variety of ben-
26 efits, such as day-care, a full one-year (renewable) maternity leave,
27 guaranteed return to pre-leave position with acknowledgement of
28 time on leave as working time, annual holidays, access to credit and
29 subsidized goods, membership in unions and collective action com-
30 mittees, and most importantly a guarantee of legal recourse and due
31 process if they challenge their institutions or superiors or seek redress
32 or compensation (MacLeod, 1991; Singerman and Hoodfar, 1996;
33 Assaad and El-Hamidi, 2009).

34 According to the Assaad (2008), however, high rates of female
35 unemployment should be expected, since the only genuine equal-
36 opportunity employer, also known as the Egyptian state and public
37 sector, is currently changing its recruitment practices and offering
38 men and women fewer jobs. Faced with this shrinking supply of
39 good jobs, women can either attempt to find tolerable private-sector

1 employment or brave the jungles of informal occupation and self-
2 employment. The two options embody the proverbial rock and hard
3 place. They fall outside the state's direct control and have yet to
4 adopt the gendered and equitable policies that have made the public
5 sector attractive to educated and skilled women. The practices of the
6 state and the public sector made women's work respectable, desirable
7 and not too arduous or taxing. But the public sector has faded and in
8 some instances even failed.

9 In the general overview of labour-market transformations in Egypt,
10 it is possible to depict three transitions that are precipitating a sense
11 of crisis around women and work. There has been, first, a limited
12 but sustained increase in female labour-force participation since
13 the 1980s. The second transition is a demographic one, which has
14 delayed the age of marriage. Women are now spending more years
15 as single never-married adults. The third transition concerns educa-
16 tion. The vast majority of Egyptian women now get some education
17 at least at the primary level. These three trends suggest that many of
18 our assumptions concerning the productive and reproductive roles
19 of women merit revision. The most important change to note is
20 that women are spending longer periods of their lives without the
21 assumed economic and social support that marriage in patriarchal
22 societies provides for women. The majority of women have years
23 that they did not have before as single and productive individuals,
24 and those living in poverty have to be economically productive so as
25 to provide for their families and for their own futures. The conditions
26 created by economic, social and demographic transitions are pushing
27 women to work, but the political and cultural currents of invented
28 tradition are denying women the benefits of work.

29 **The characteristics of working women**

30
31 The Working Women Characteristics Survey (WWCS), which forms
32 part of the 'Understanding Women's Work and its Empowering
33 Potentials in their Everyday Life', was designed to gauge the rela-
34 tionships and associations between different indicators that reflect
35 on women's ability to make strategic choices in everyday life,
36 choices that concern both them and their families, including wom-
37 en's mobility, political participation, opinions on women's work
38 and other attitudes and opinions related to gendered well-being,
39 women's decision-making power, mental well-being and the type

1 of their employment. The relationships between the type of work
2 and the different empowerment outcomes shed light on a broader
3 discourse on gendered well-being, which provides the opportunity to
4 look at issues regarding intra-household inequity, particularly relat-
5 ing to the association of gendered violence with work.

6 The WWCS fielded in Egypt in 2009 had the objective of under-
7 standing 'how women in different categories of work reposition
8 themselves in public and domestic spheres to change attitudes, break
9 barriers, achieve recognition, enhance their bargaining power, and
10 exercise their rights at home and at work'. The WWCS looked at
11 the dynamic relationship between women's private lives and their
12 participation in the workforce, with the aim to differentiate between
13 work that conforms to feminists' position on work as a route for
14 economic and personal empowerment for women on one hand and
15 work that may burden and further undermine women's status on
16 the other. The findings from this study question the relationship
17 between female employment and the empowerment of women by
18 taking into account the type of work in which women are engaged.
19 The survey sampled from the original frame used by the ELMPS of
20 1998 and 2006 and conducted household questionnaires and indi-
21 vidual women's questionnaires with a total of 934 women and 986
22 households.

23 The study looked at the relationship between the following four
24 types of work: Formal Outside Work (FO) for work outside the home
25 that includes a contract or social security; Informal Outside Work
26 (IO) for work outside the home that does not include a contract or
27 social security; Market-Inside Work (MI) for production for purposes
28 of market exchange, in which the woman engaged inside the home;
29 and Not Currently Working (NC) for women who have not reported
30 any market work for the last seven days, but who may be engaged in
31 subsistence or care work. Of this sample, 54 per cent of women had
32 engaged in work in the seven days prior to the fielding of the ques-
33 tionnaire. Of these, almost 70 per cent had no contract and therefore
34 no access to formal papers that would support their status as working
35 women. The breakdown of this group is as follows: work for family
36 without wages accounted for 58 per cent; self-employed or employer
37 made up 25 per cent; and informal wage workers totalled 17 per cent.
38 The majority of women engaged in formal employment – that is,
39 the remaining 30 per cent of working women – worked for the

1 government. These findings are consistent with the analysis of previ-
2 ous rounds of the ELMPS (see Assaad, 2007a, 2007b).

3 The study found that women who are engaged in formal work
4 have positive outcomes regarding their mobility, their ability to
5 make decisions, their attitudes and opinions, as well as their mental
6 well-being, including their capacity to deal with problems. These are
7 typically better educated and wealthier women. On the other hand,
8 women engaged in informal and market-inside work have poorer
9 empowerment outcomes. These women are likely to be uneducated,
10 poor and hold more conservative views on gender roles. Women
11 engaged in informal and market-inside work reported less of a sense
12 of control over their lives, had less mobility, less power in making
13 critical decisions and were less likely to report being happy. Formal
14 work outside the home was found to have a positive relationship
15 with women's increased decision-making power, whereas informal
16 market-oriented work at home or outside the home only marginally
17 improves decision-making power when compared to non-working
18 women. Finally, regarding mental health, the survey found that
19 working women are more likely to be stressed. Formal work outside
20 the home is only for the highly educated, although not all women
21 with education work. However, informal work outside the home has
22 an excess of women with little or no education, as does market work
23 inside the home. The following section will discuss what work means
24 for these women, by drawing on the findings of an ethnographic
25 study conducted in a Cairo slum.

26 **The working lives of urban slum dwellers**

27 The ELMPS and the WWCS document the reality of women's work,
28 but they also show that work does not necessarily have a transforma-
29 tive impact on family relations and the social position of women. It
30 is as though each working woman has 'special' conditions or con-
31 siderations that have 'forced' her to work outside the home, and a
32 change in these circumstances that required her to seek employment
33 would lead to her leaving work and staying at home. Moreover, for
34 poor women the only reason to work seems to be material need, and
35 there are no benefits expected other than the short-term monetary
36 ones which enable her to fulfil her role as wife and mother.

37 This observation largely follows a universal trend, where pri-
38 vate-sector employers prefer to hire young single females, as these
39

1 employers expect that they will not continue in the labour market
2 after marriage or at least after childbirth. In fact, women in employ-
3 ment are often willing to accept low wages, and they do not require
4 benefits such as maternity leave. This type of female labour can also
5 be assumed to be more flexible in shift and overtime work. Enterprises
6 struggling to secure a well-established position in a competitive mar-
7 ket are likely to find such female workers particularly attractive. It
8 is therefore not surprising that female workers with education/skills
9 will prefer employment in the public sector, where discrimination
10 is legally prohibited and where benefits enhancing women's social
11 reproduction role are more assured (CAWTAR, 2001, p. 83).

12 The results of an ethnographic field research conducted in a Cairo
13 slum over four years present a rather dismal picture of the forms that
14 disadvantaged women's work can take and show how paid employ-
15 ment or the existing mechanisms of social support fail to help these
16 women to escape the double trap of poverty and indebtedness.²

17 Ain el-Sira is a *shiyakha* or district located in Old Cairo, or *Misr*
18 *el-Qadima*. It is home to 29,349 individuals and covers an area of
19 0.71 km². The inhabitants are not exclusively poor, but the area has
20 deep pockets of extreme poverty. Consequently, it has been the site
21 of choice for several civil society organizations which have been
22 active in poverty reduction and alleviation efforts in Ain el-Sira for a
23 number of decades.

24 The area was originally the site of the first low-income housing
25 project in Cairo. Popular accommodations or *masakin sha'biya* built
26 between 1958 and 1960, comprised of four- or five-storey building
27 blocks for low-income families eligible for subsidized housing. They
28 were gradually sold to their inhabitants as of the 1980s. Adjacent
29 to this nucleus of buildings, private homes began to appear, some
30 of which were connected to the *masakin*. Other types of dwellings
31 also sprang up in Ain el-Sira during the same period. Little more
32 than urban slums, they consisted of shelters built from corrugated
33 iron, wood and mud-brick. In some areas, these were originally
34 temporary shelters built for earthquake victims and victims of other
35 natural disasters. They have become permanent features of the
36 area, with electricity and running water connected, and they house
37 approximately 1000 families. It is here that numerous civil society
38 organizations have been established to engage in poverty alleviation
39 efforts that almost exclusively cater to women: micro-credit schemes,

AQ2

1 income-generation activities, illiteracy eradication classes and cam-
2 paigns, food distributions, faith-based philanthropic projects, and
3 many reproductive health services and awareness campaigns.

4 This area is home to a mix of lower-middle-class women who are
5 engaged in formal employment and working-class women engaged
6 in market-based work, such as trading, cleaning homes, working in
7 the nearby slaughterhouse and selling the offal that they receive
8 as payment, or working at home in sewing, packaging or food-
9 processing activities. For example, a large group of women who par-
10 ticipated in a series of focus-group discussions (a total of 106 women
11 participated) packaged small toys or small denominations of money
12 that are inserted as prizes in packets of salty snacks. For every 1000
13 packages, they receive 10 to 15 Egyptian pounds (approximately USD
14 2). They have to use their own equipment to seal the small plastic
15 bags; they are lucky to get the work, so when they are hired, children
16 are required to help with the sealing. Other types of work include
17 trading in discarded food.

18 Sayeda, for example, is known as Sayeda *Groppi*, the latter word
19 referring to the premier cake shop, tea salon and delicatessen in
20 Cairo between the 1950s and 1970s. She buys damaged or broken
21 cakes from an intermediary and sells them to her neighbours and
22 others. This intermediary is a sales assistant in a famous patisserie,
23 who resells the discarded items once or twice a week. On average,
24 she receives 6 or 7 kgs at a time. She buys them for 2.50 EGP/kg
25 and resells them for 4.00 EGP/kg. She feeds them to her children
26 when they have nothing else to eat. Her son goes to school where
27 he receives tuition for 20 EGP/course instead of the customary 25
28 EGP/course, because she is on welfare. She was told that she is ent-
29 tled to welfare when she tried to get some help from a local mosque.
30 She gets food from a food bank via this local mosque. It is delivered
31 twice a week; sometimes the meat is off, but otherwise the meals
32 are good.

33 During in-depth interviews with 27 women from this sample,
34 a number of aspects of work and economic life became apparent.
35 Fifteen of these women said that they did not work and explained
36 their inactivity with reference to their 'inability' to work due to poor
37 health. For 12 out of the 15 non-working women in this sample,
38 their lack of control over cash is compounded by their bad health,
39 which in itself is a financial burden. These women depend on their

1 family members, usually their husbands, to give them money to be
2 able to settle their debts, and to pay for food and *magamee'* for their
3 children. Two of these women are taking care of ill family members,
4 one a mentally disabled child and the second a bed-ridden husband.
5 The remaining ten have chronic health problems or injuries keeping
6 them from working, including diabetes, severe chronic asthma, liver
7 problems, heart disease, broken legs that have not healed properly, or
8 multiple C-sections that have left them unable to move. Of the three
9 who do not have health issues, one said that her husband does not
10 allow her to work; the second said that she cannot find work; and the
11 third said that she cannot work because she has a small child. Since
12 many of the men in the families of these 27 women work irregu-
13 larly, allowance given to women is also largely irregular. Therefore,
14 the women who do not work are particularly insecure, borrowing
15 money, just like all of the other women, but largely unsure of how
16 they will be able to pay it back.

17 Of those who do work, some have an employer outside the home,
18 while a few are self-employed, and most work for family members.
19 Of the women interviewed, only one has a formal contract. Working
20 women, particularly those informally employed, suffer long hours
21 of work for little pay. Despite this trouble, however, many of the
22 women find contentment in having a job and report that they enjoy
23 some aspects of the work. It seems that the women with the least
24 control over cash are those who are working for family members
25 or not working at all; neither of these groups have an independent
26 source of income, little clarity as to how much money there is avail-
27 able, and how much they can ask for.

28 The burden that all of the women carry, regardless of whether
29 they are working or not, is their debt. Many of those in debt do not
30 feel confident that they will be able to pay back what they owe. The
31 search for money stresses women's social lives within the household,
32 where they suffer abuse for asking for money, as well as within their
33 community, where they are stigmatized for borrowing and pressured
34 to make good on forced promises. Women in need of large amounts
35 or wanting to start a small business sometimes borrow outside of
36 their community, from banks, loan sharks and NGOs. Most of the
37 women borrowing with interest are unable to pay back their loans.
38 None of the women report that they are able to save money, though
39 all have dreams towards which they wish they could save.

1 Most of the working married women in our sample help their
2 husbands in family businesses without pay. Two of the six who
3 fall into this category are Azza and Ne'ma who are married to the
4 same man. They live together with their husband, eight collective
5 children, and their mother-in-law in a three-bedroom apartment in
6 the blocks of Ain el-Sira. They help their husband with his work as a
7 butcher, cleaning the shop, helping him every Tuesday as he sells the
8 meat, and then every Sunday collecting the money from the women
9 who buy meat. Like the other women helping out in family business,
10 Azza and Ne'ma work a few hours each week, 12 hours on Tuesdays
11 and less on Sundays, and are flexible with the work and the hours.
12 They estimate that the money they generate is around 150 EGP per
13 week, since their husband does not own the sheep, but instead sells
14 the sheep for someone else and makes only a small profit on what is
15 sold. The money that the wives receive is irregular. During the week
16 they borrow money from their neighbours to fulfil household needs,
17 and when the earnings arrive on Sundays they settle their accounts,
18 paying back what they owe and collecting what they are owed. Any
19 surplus is promptly given to the husband, who then decides what
20 it will be used for. When the husband does give them money for
21 buying food for the house, the wives decide together on how it will
22 be spent. The women report that asking for more money causes con-
23 flicts, often resulting in violence.

24 However, working for a family business does provide women with
25 some security, in that they are not exposed to an uncomfortable
26 working environment and have some flexibility with the working
27 hours. Ghalia and Mahasin are distant relatives who work without a
28 contract at the tannery where most of the men of Ain el-Sira make
29 their living. They work side-by-side, separating black wool from white
30 wool eight to nine hours a day, six days a week, taking only Fridays
31 off. They make around 1 EGP an hour, or 40 to 60 EGP a week,
32 depending on how many hours they manage to work. Although
33 they used to work inside a factory building, the owner of the work
34 stopped paying rent, since there is a decline in the tannery's produc-
35 tivity, and now they work outside, on the road. They both report
36 that they do not feel safe or comfortable in their work environment.
37 Ghalia, for example, is embarrassed by how she has to squat in front
38 of her male co-workers in order to do her work. Both women strug-
39 gle with the fact that they are not paid if they are unable to work.

1 When Ghalia's mother-in-law died, she had to take two weeks off to
2 go back to the village to bury her. This, she says, affected her family
3 enormously and caused her to have to borrow more money than she
4 typically does. She does not know how she will repay it.

5 Inshirah is the only woman of the 27 interviewed who has a formal
6 work contract. However, this does not make her more secure
7 or settled. Two years ago, her husband had a work-related accident
8 and became unable to support his family. She tried to find work,
9 but her search took over 18 months, by which time she had run
10 out of money and relatives and neighbours from whom to borrow.
11 She turned to a local woman who loans money at an interest rate
12 of 10 per cent per month (120 per cent per annum). Inshirah bor-
13 rowed 500 EGP and then 1000 EGP, and then another 100, and so
14 on, culminating in a debt of 5000 EGP at the end of the 18 months.
15 Paying her husband's bills and supporting her four children, Inshirah
16 fell into insurmountable debt. Finally, she found work as a janitor
17 in a museum in Giza. She is happy at work, taking Fridays off and
18 making 450 EGP a month, in addition to receiving bonuses every
19 three months and on major holidays. She feels safe in her work and
20 has all of the benefits afforded to permanent staff, including health
21 insurance and a pension. However, she is incurring even more debt,
22 borrowing money to be able to pay back the interest of 500 EGP she
23 owes monthly. She feels trapped in this cycle and during the inter-
24 view exclaimed through tears: 'The money that I owe is a very heavy
25 burden, I wish this hangman's noose would untie from around my
26 neck, it's a collar that I cannot get rid of!'

27 Regardless of how much money women borrow, it seems that it
28 is very difficult to pay the money back. One woman, Badreya, who
29 sells corks and rope in the marketplace, explained that she owes
30 'nine EGP for onions, four for tomatoes, eight for a plate, and I owe a
31 [male] neighbour 10 EGP'. Her husband refuses to help her pay back
32 her debt. Another woman, Sabra, owes more than 2000–2600 EGP in
33 instalments for an oven that exploded, and 600 EGP in medical bills
34 incurred because of her husband's ill health.

35 Self-employed/informally employed women have also been the
36 beneficiaries of various charity and development projects. These
37 projects were inspired by development agendas and fads that pro-
38 mote credit, literacy, suffrage and reproductive rights as strategies
39 for women's empowerment. In Ain el-Sira, women have used the

1 resources availed to them by civil society to negotiate public power
2 imbalances, but not private ones. Literacy is an asset used to find a
3 job. Credit has often come to be a way of supporting unemployed
4 males. Votes are sold for cash or for in-kind payments that go towards
5 supporting families. But here there are few patriarchal benefits – such
6 as protection, support, or respect for the rights of wives and mothers –
7 that are left to women. In conversations about women’s work, the
8 subject of domestic violence came up a number of times. Men resort
9 to violence if ‘you say you are tired’ and are reluctant to honour
10 your duties as wife. Women who talked freely about domestic strife
11 said that men associated reluctance with work. ‘They have no objec-
12 tions to us working’, said Samia. ‘Sometimes he helps me out when
13 I have jobs at home, he knows this is bringing in income and that
14 the income benefits his children and himself’, she continued. ‘But
15 when it comes to his wishes [for sex], he will get angry and blame
16 my work.’

17 One woman had left her husband because he was violent. ‘I dumped
18 him after twenty years of being beaten with a broom handle, and my
19 sons support me’, said Mervat. She had sat through a number of our
20 discussions and could offer her own analysis: ‘Each woman knows
21 what she wants from her life and her man. Some will live with
22 being beaten and some even don’t mind, as by tolerating a beating
23 she knows she will in the end get her way and get what she wants!’
24 Another young and educated woman who attended the discussions
25 had an abusive father who used to attack her and her brother with a
26 knife and frequently beat her mother, leading to her hospitalization.
27 She insisted that this was their lot and that they had more to lose by
28 exposing him and living with the shame of having done so.

29 The ethnographic evidence from Ain el-Sira helps to interpret some
30 of the statistical findings of the survey. Some forms of labour-market
31 activity/employment best realize the benefits of work to women, but
32 not others. The dignity that derives from work with some feature of
33 formalization is not forthcoming from work without wages or with-
34 out contracts, or from work that is performed at home and enmeshed
35 in relationships that are prejudicial to women. In other words,
36 drudgery is not empowering. The prevalence of informal, at-home
37 and/or not-for-pay work among Egyptian women requires a revision
38 of a number of current strategies that assume a benign relationship
39 between economic activity and gender justice.

Conclusion

Recent surveys on women's work in Egypt have shown that context is key to understanding the substantive implications of work to women's personal and social lives. In Egypt, family relations defined around traditional gender roles often appear as the determining factor in women's decisions to engage in income-generating activities. Private life thus remains central to the choice made to remain outside the workforce, but also the choice to participate in the labour market. The reproductive and productive activities of women seem to be facets of a single survival strategy. Women work to support their families without challenging the patriarchal norms that continue to dominate and control their lives at home and often also in the workplace. They are often discouraged from identifying themselves as workers and encouraged to consider their primary personal identification as that of a female currently on loan to the market. Diminishing public employment opportunities and the scarcity of formal employment in the private sector together define a situation where the increase in female employment does not only remain limited but is also associated with the proliferation of 'bad jobs'. In the meantime, women receive little institutional support to enable them to combine employment with their role as care-providers within the family.

The circumstances that define the current position of poor Egyptian women trapped between traditional gender roles and economic expediency are extremely difficult. This does not mean, however, that these circumstances are impossible to change through deliberate policy intervention aimed at improving working conditions, enforcing formal job contracts and providing training and childcare facilities. Whether such policy intervention will be forthcoming or not conversely depends on political factors, especially on the will and the ability of women to speak in the name of gender justice and equality. Egyptian women have fully participated as equals and as leaders in the struggles of the past year. They have suffered bullets, humiliation, and attacks, yet continued to speak, shout and sing in the name of their own and every other citizen's rights. Yet, they are absent from parliament, as only 2 per cent of parliamentary seats have gone to women. The world average for female representation in elected legislative bodies is 19 per cent; the Arab world's average is

AQ3

1 13 per cent. Egypt is now in that tiny group of countries that includes
2 Kiribati, Vanuatu and the Marshall Islands, who have excised half of
3 their population from formal political representative bodies. Women
4 are at the heart of a new and emerging Egypt, but they are not seated
5 at tables where laws are negotiated, where rights are formalized, and
6 where the people are represented. And as long as they are not seated
7 at those tables, it is unrealistic to expect any desirable change in the
8 current patterns of female employment in the country.
9

10 Notes

- 11 1. The statistical analysis for the mobility findings from the survey has been
12 made by Ragui Assaad.
- 13 2. This is the research project on feminist social protection conducted by the
14 Social Research Centre and the Egyptian Ministry of Social Solidarity. The
15 project introduced conditional cash transfer in a slum area in Cairo, on
16 the basis of a survey and qualitative research that investigated the land-
17 scape of social protection and assistance in the area. The CCT programme
18 was designed with the participation of social workers and local women.
19 It has been financed by the government of Egypt and is ongoing since
20 2009.

Query Form

Book Title:	Bugra
Chapter No:	Chapter 6

Queries and / or remarks

Query No.	Query / remark	Response
AQ1	Should this be <one-third>? Please check source and confirm.	
AQ2	Should this be <in>?	
AQ3	Would you like to be more specific about the year?	