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ABSTRACT

Ethnic identities are nuanced, fluid and adaptive. They are a means of categorizing the self and the ‘other’ through the recognition of geographical, cultural, lingual, and physical differences. This work examines recurring associations, epithets and themes in ancient Egyptian texts to reveal how the Egyptians discussed the ethnic uniqueness they perceived of their regional neighbors. It employs Egyptian written records, including temple inscriptions, royal and private correspondence, stelae and tomb autobiographies, and literary tales, from the Old Kingdom to the beginning of the Third Intermediate Period. The textual examples are organized by ethnic group and divided into four regions, beginning with those concerning the western groups and proceeding clockwise, ending with those concerning the southern groups. The analysis of these texts produces an understanding of the Egyptian conceptualization of ethnicity in general, and the conceptualization of distinct ethnic identities specific to the four regions surrounding Egypt. This enhances our understanding of the lexical differences through which the Egyptians distinguished their neighbors from each other. Egyptian written records do not support the belief that the ancient Egyptians only understood their foreign neighbors within the simplistic framework of four broad ‘races.’ Egyptian literature contained a multitude of primary ethnonyms for distinct ethnic groups, as well as a number of secondary, informal ethnonyms. This study elucidates the placement of Egypt’s neighbors in the organization of the Egyptian cosmos, their distinct perceptions in Egyptian cultural cognition, and the Egyptian vocabulary for discussing foreigners and foreignness, thus leading to a better understanding of ethnic perceptions in ancient Egypt.
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Introduction

Methodology

Studies of ethnicity in antiquity have recently gained popularity and many, as a result, have increased our awareness of the amount and the nature of the interactions that the ancient Egyptians had with the ḫastyw, “foreigners.”¹ The vibrant and intricate images of foreigners portrayed in ancient Egyptian tombs, temples, palaces and models attracted Egyptological scholarly attention early on.² Visual and written sources illustrate that the ancient Egyptians had an understanding of the ethnic diversity of their world through contact with foreigners in both royal and private arenas, outside of and within Egyptian borders. Egyptian creative and political processes in the Early Dynastic Period illustrate social awareness of a need for rulers to overpower foreign enemies,³ such as on the Narmer Palette.⁴ They also showed an awareness of foreign lands on the so-called Libyan Palette⁵ (Fig. 1) that includes the early hieroglyphic combination of the ‘land’ (Gardiner Sign-list N 18)⁶ and “throw-stick” (Gardiner Sign-list T 14)⁷ signs in the bottom register.

While the textual approach to studying foreigners has not been overlooked, studies of their artistic typologies are overwhelmingly more popular. There has not been a qualitative and comparative discussion of ethnic groups in Egyptian written sources that examines how Egyptians characterized the distinct ethnic groups they encountered, and how those characterizations (including descriptions, epithets, associations and figurative expressions) can be categorized. In order to address the apparent and nuanced ethnic

---


⁴ Jean Capart, Primitive Art in Egypt (London: H. Grevel & Co., 1905), 244-245.
⁵ Capart, Primitive Art in Egypt, 236.
⁷ Gardiner, Egyptian Grammar, 513.
perceptions that have been long overlooked, this thesis is a lexical analysis of foreignness as defined in ancient Egyptian texts.

The research questions of this thesis focus on the human perception, bias and categorization of difference and foreignness. The intent of this work is to identify the collective Egyptian cultural perceptions of their foreign neighbors and to divulge the ways in which each was conceptualized as a distinct ethnicity. It is not designed to create more accurate pictures of those ethnic groups themselves, as is primarily the focus of Egyptological studies of foreigners. This work produces a comparative understanding of the ways the ancient Egyptians thought about, discussed, and categorized their ethnic neighbors. It examines the Egyptians’ cultural perceptions of several ethnic groups, with the hope that, through comparison, these perceptions will be more clearly apparent. A number of specific questions will be addressed:

• What names and epithets did the Egyptians use for their regional neighbors?
• What can be gleaned from Egyptian texts about the Egyptians’ perceptions of these neighbors, in terms of what they were known for and what they were associated with?

Figure 1: ‘Libyan Palette,’ verso – Image from John Baines, “Contextualizing Egyptian Representations of Society and Ethnicity” (Winona Lake: Eisenbrauns, 1991), 365.
• Where did these neighbors fit in the Egyptian cosmography and how did this affect the Egyptian understanding of them?
• How else did the Egyptians talk about and categorize their ethnic neighbors, and what collective opinions can be discerned about individual ethnic groups?

With these questions in mind, a number of ethnic groups were selected for which there are sufficient and varied references in Egyptian written records. Selection of these ethnic groups was based upon extant common elements, patterns, and reoccurring associations in Egyptian texts. The source material includes historical records, such as tomb autobiographies, boundary stelae, king-lists, and temple inscriptions; wisdom literature; royal and private letters; fictional narratives; and religious hymns. The included written sources represent a wide range of Egyptian chronology, from pre-dynastic palettes to Third Intermediate Period inscriptions, with the majority of examples dating to the Middle and New Kingdoms. The Third Intermediate Period and following eras were characterized by foreign rulers, including the ‘Libyans’ and ‘Nubians’, the Assyrians and Persians, and finally, the Greeks and Romans, who adopted Egyptianized roles in temple ritual and relief in order to assume the role of the Egyptian king. Because the Egyptian culture and royal ideology of these periods were influenced by the foreignness of Egypt’s kings, an understanding of the Egyptian conception of ethnicity during those periods would be best addressed separately.8

This thesis organizes the selected ethnic groups by the four cardinal directions, befitting of one of the many kinds of associations through which the Egyptians understood their neighbors. This work begins with the ethnic groups associated with the west and proceeds clockwise around the Egyptian cosmos to end with the peoples of the south. This approach allows greater maneuverability in assigning ethnic groups to their appropriate cardinal direction. In this work, each ethnic group has been assigned to one of the four cardinal directions based on the Egyptian perception of where they fit in the ancient political topography, not based on our modern understanding of their actual

---

8 Ethnic relations, assimilation, and adaptation in these periods have nevertheless been studied. For some examples, see: Simon Davis, Race Relations in Ancient Egypt: Greek, Egyptian, Hebrew, Roman (London: Methuen and Co., 1951); K. Goudriaan, Ethnicity in Ptolemaic Egypt (Amsterdam: J. C. Gieben, 1988); Per Bilde, Ethnicity in Hellenistic Egypt, (Aarhus: Aarhus University Press, 1992); and Janet H. Johnson, "Ethnic Considerations in Persian Period Egypt," in Gold of Praise: Studies on Ancient Egypt in Honor of Edward F. Wente (Chicago: The Oriental Institute, 1999).
geographical origins. In many cases, however, these orientations were not concrete, resulting in shifting associations between two cardinal directions, as with the Aamu, who were first primarily associated with the east and then with the north. In this situation the ethnic group is included in the dominant orientation determined by the most meaningful and prominent association. Some ethnic groups fulfilled a political and religious role in the Egyptian landscape that was not necessarily consistent with their topographical location (for example, the inhabitants of the Mediterranean Sea are the only ethnic groups located true north of Egypt). The association of the Aamu with the north in New Kingdom written sources fulfilled an important cosmographical purpose, although their actual geographical location was to the northeast.

Prior Scholarship

Scholarly work concerning Egypt’s foreigners has primarily been one of two types: 1) an examination of how Egyptians viewed foreign peoples in general (in terms of chaos vs. order, and “us” vs. “them”) or 2) a historical review of the archaeological, textual and visual evidence of a single ethnic group.9 Both of these types of studies miss the differentiation in Egyptian perceptions of distinct ethnic groups. Outside of these categories, there are a number of notable works that attempted to understand foreigners through textual analyses:

Sir Alan Gardiner laid the groundwork for a textual study of ancient ethnic groups with his publication of Amenemope’s Onomasticon in Ancient Egyptian Onomastica (1947). Approximately fifty-six foreign peoples and locations are included in the list, but many are illegible due to a large lacuna in the papyrus. Gardiner presents the significant textual appearances of each ethnonym or toponym, with a cursory bibliography. His comments on these ethnic groups were restrained by the limitations of the text; Gardiner recognizes that the list is largely restricted to the foreign peoples that were the most familiar to the Egyptians during the Ramesside period, when the papyrus was composed. In addition to the historically narrow sampling of foreign peoples, the majority of the list can be identified as toponyms, not ethnonyms. Gardiner’s translations and comments are

---

a significant contribution to the topic, but cannot be considered a comprehensive
discussion of foreign peoples in Egyptian texts.

The first significant work to deal with Egypt’s traditional enemies, known as ‘The
Nine Bows,’ was Eric Uphill’s article “The Nine Bows” (1966). As a construct, the Nine
Bows appear first in the Early Dynastic period but feature predominantly in New
Kingdom royal and political propaganda. Uphill’s aims were to highlight their major
textual appearances, identify their geographical origins, and illustrate the shifting
identities of Egypt’s enemies overtime, without being exhaustive on the topic. The article
fruitfully details the individuality of these ethnic groups along with their possible
homelands, but it does not form any conclusions about Egyptian perceptions of them as a
people, or their place in the Egyptian awareness of ethnicity.

The first work on the epithets of foreigners in Egyptian texts is David Lorton’s
article “The So-Called ‘Vile’ Enemies of the King of Egypt (in the Middle Kingdom and
Dyn. XVII)” (1973). This seminal work examined the uses of the word most commonly
associated with foreigners in Egyptian texts: hsy. Lorton traces the history of the word
through Middle and New Kingdom royal and private inscriptions and compares the
contexts of each use. He concludes that in many instances, a more accurate translation of
hsy is “defeated” rather than the traditional “wretched,” or “vile.” Lorton’s methodology
for analyzing the use of a single word, hsy, should be applied to other epithets and
descriptions of foreigners. Lorton’s slightly later work The Juridical Terminology of
International Relations in Egyptian Texts Through Dyn. XVIII (1974) contained valuable
discussions of the common titles wr and hkh, including lists of all known instances of the
titles. His conclusions from their contexts about the use of both terms enriched our
understanding of foreign rulers in Egyptian texts.10

Topos und Mimesis (1988), a largely literary examination of references to
foreigners in Egyptian texts, was a unique contribution to the topic. Antonio Loprieno
presented two major Egyptian interpretations of foreigners in Egyptian texts: the
Foreigner Topos, or stereotypical, overarching “theme” of the chaotic outsider; and the
Foreigner Mimesis, or the humanization of foreigners who accept Egyptian ways and/or
language. The bulk of his analyses are applied to the Story of Sinuhe (which exhibits

10 Refer to the discussion of these titles in the Conclusion on page 102.
mimetic roles) and the Instruction to Merikare (the foreigners in which he considered to be topoi). He does not attempt to diversify his “thematic” and “rhematic” literary divisions by examining which ethnic groups they were applied to and whether there are patterns.

Dominique Valbelle’s *Les Neuf Arcs: L’Egyptien et les étrangers de la préhistoire à la conquête d’Alexandre* (1990) is a historical examination of Egypt’s relationships with its regional neighbors from the Pre-Dynastic Period through the Late Period. The title, which refers to Egypt’s traditional enemies, is misleading; the work covers Egypt’s relationships with the major foreign ‘races,’ which she illustrates were far more complex than that of only enemies. She focuses on how Egyptian foreign policy, evident already during Dynasty 0, dictated different responses to foreign powers, through the construction of fortifications along Egypt’s borders, the forced displacement of foreign captives, and the commercial exploits of Punt and the Levant. She makes special note of the foreign peoples who inhabited Egypt, including the ‘Hyksos’ and foreign kings of the Third Intermediate Period. Valbelle’s work provided an essential overview of the Egypt’s relationship with neighboring peoples on a State and private level, but was not intended to divulge or compare Egypt’s perceptions of those neighboring peoples’ ethnic identities.

A recent and significant work on the classification of ethnic groups is Andrés Diego Espinel’s *Etnicidad y territorio en el Egipto del Reino Antiguo* (2006). His book is a partially lexical, partially visual examination of ethnicity and foreignness as related to each of the major territories of the Egyptian world, including Egypt. The first part is dedicated to the examination of geographical and ethnic terms used by the Egyptians to describe their world, on both the macro and micro scale. His exploration of ethnicity is restricted to the Old Kingdom, thus narrowing the scope of his evidence and missing the opportunity to explore the nuanced ethnic identities of Egypt’s neighbors overtime. Few, if any, textual examples for each ethnonym are included within the brief, individual examinations of each ethnic group, presumably due to the restricted time period from which he drew them. His noteworthy contribution to the study of Egypt’s regional neighbors was his categorization of Egyptian exonyms into types: “Términos genéricos,” “Pseudoetnóminos,” and “Etnóminos.” The categorization of Egyptian exonyms in this
work as ‘primary’ or ‘secondary’ does not follow Espinel’s in every instance, perhaps because a better understanding of these terms can be made through the examination of their use throughout a greater portion of Egyptian history.
Chapter 1. Ethnicity, Ethnonyms, and a Vocabulary of Foreignness

Within academia, studies of ethnicity, ethnic identities and ethnonyms are relatively recent, and were even more recently welcomed into Egyptology. ‘Ethnicity’ is a modern term derived from the Greek word ἐθνος, ethnos, meaning “nation,” or “people.” An individual’s ethnicity is only a single element of their multi-faceted identity, but it is an element that is easily expressed and perceived. In practice, ethnicity is a means of classifying oneself and others as elements of their societies. Conceptually, ethnicity is “the recognition of a contrast between ‘us’ and ‘them.’” This recognition is two-sided: the characterization of the self, and the characterization of the ‘other,’ which results in the awareness of the differences between them. Therefore, ethnic identities are formed either reflexively or through external assessment. The recognition of ethnic difference manifests itself culturally in a number of ways, including through the use of ethnonyms. Ethnonyms, the study of ethnic group names, constitutes a branch of Onomastics. Reflective of the dualistic forms of ethnicity (internal and external), ethnonyms have two types: endonyms, or autonyms, the name used reflexively by an ethnic group, and exonyms, the name applied to an ethnic group by an external source. This thesis deals entirely with exonymous use in ancient Egyptian written sources. Exonyms often emphasize a characteristic visible to the external culture, as will be illustrated with examples in the Egyptian language.

---

11 For an overview of literature see: Smith, Wretched Kush: Ethnic Identities and Boundaries in Egypt’s Nubian Empire, 1-55.
18 Kenton L. Sparks, Ethnicity and Identity in Ancient Israel (Winona Lake: Eisenbrauns, 1998), 107, note 44.
Anthropologists have created numerous theoretical models for identifying ethnicity within the last century, and there is no definitive model. For the purpose of this thesis, Hutchinson and Smith’s six main features of ethnic groups as outlined in their book will be considered:

1. A common proper name, to identify and express the ‘essence’ of the community
2. A myth of common ancestry, a myth rather than a fact, a myth that includes the idea of a common origin in time and place and that gives an ethnie a sense of fictive kinship
3. Shared historical memories, or better, shared memories of a common past or pasts, including heroes, events and their commemoration
4. One or more elements of common culture, which needs not be specified but normally include religion, customs or language
5. A link with a homeland, not necessarily its physical occupation by the ethnie, only its symbolic attachment to the ancestral land, as with diaspora peoples
6. A sense of solidarity on the part of at least some sections of the ethnie’s population

A society’s ethnic identity is built upon these shared characteristics, or “index features.” These features are not stagnant, but they are fluid and adaptive and can vary in effectuation from person to person. What determines a person’s ethnicity cannot be objectively defined. Ethnicity is neither intrinsic nor permanent. Ethnicity is also situational and an individual may have multiple, even contradictory, ethnic identities. A popular example from ancient Egypt of how the reflexive and imposed ethnic identities may conflict is that of Heqanefer, a Great One (wr) of Miam (modern Aniba) during the late 18th Dynasty. In a scene from the tomb of the Egyptian Viceroy of Nubia (or “King’s Son of Kush”) Huy, Heqanefer is shown in the Egyptian visual style for southern

---

20 Hutchinson and Smith, Ethnicity, 6-7.
21 Hutchinson and Smith, Ethnicity, 24.
23 Especially in the modern world, immigration, adoption and international travel facilitates the blending of cultural practices and merging of ethnic identities.
24 Smith, Wretched Kush: Ethnic Identities and Boundaries in Egypt’s Nubian Empire, 173.
foreigners: adorned with feathers, gold earrings, and a brightly patterned kilt and sash. Yet his name, Heqanefer, and the titles he held were in the Egyptian language. His rock-cut tomb, located at modern Toshka East, was carved in the Theban style and included Egyptian funerary elements such as Funerary Figurines and a pectoral, as well as hieroglyphic texts and reliefs. The image of Heqanefer created by these contrasting depictions is dualistic: the Egyptians’ portrayal of his ethnic origins in Huy’s tribute scene as distinctly foreign, and his personal portrayal as an Egyptian official in his own tomb. Heqanefer’s shifting identity exemplifies one possible way that ethnic identities are situational, and how at times, an individual may choose to emphasize certain aspects of their ethnicity, when at other times they may minimalize it.

While many of the above features would be difficult to identify for an ethnic group based entirely from second-party sources (i.e. a second culture’s observations), some are amply found applied to Egypt’s neighbors in Egyptian texts. This work examines how the Egyptians identified the “index features” of their foreign neighbors as recorded in Egyptian texts. Externally imposed ethnicity is an active and powerful aspect of interaction between people groups. It may have little to do with the objective truth of who the people group is. The Egyptian perceptions of their foreign neighbors that are discussed here may not be true to the actual identities of the ethnic groups, but detail how the Egyptians categorized and understood them.

The ancient Egyptians were aware of the difference between them and their regional neighbors as early as the formation of the Egyptian state. Their methods of indicating this difference in visual and written culture developed quickly, for the purpose of unification by emphasizing the difference between internal and external cultural

---

27 Refer to the tomb section and plan: Simpson, “Nubia: The University Museum – Yale University Expedition,” 32.
identities. There was no word original to the Egyptian language that meant ‘ethnicity,’ or ‘ethnic group,’ although they were certainly aware of the differences between ethnic groups. The Hymn to the Aten contains a partial image of the world, selecting three regions to represent the ethnic diversity known to the Egyptians: Kharu to the north, Kush to the south, and Egypt between them:

\[
h₃swt \ h₃r \ kₕ \{sn\} \ 31 \ km\ l\ k\ s\ nb\ r\ st.f\ ir.k\ \ hₚ\ rt.s\ n\ w\ ð\ nb\ hₚ\ ry\ r\ wn\ mw.f\ hₚs\ b\ ðₚw.f\ nsw\ \ wp.w\ m\ md\ wt\ kd\ w.sn\ m\ mitt\ \ in\ mw.sn\ st\ ny\ st\ ny.k\ hₚst\ yw\ 32
\]

“the foreign lands of Kharu, Kush and Egypt, you put every man into his place. You provide their portion, each one having according to his food. His lifetime is counted out. Tongues are separate by speech, and their natures likewise. Their skins are distinguished; you distinguish the foreigners.”

The Egyptian language contained a plethora of ethnonyms for their regional neighbors. While it is possible that these ethnonyms were orthographically similar to their neighbors’ autonyms (if indeed they had such autonyms), it cannot be guaranteed.

---


31 These signs are problematic – it is possible that the s should have been tꜣ (Gardiner Sign-list N 16) to translate as “the land of Egypt.”


34 Schneider notes that even acculturated foreigners in Egypt were unable to free themselves from their original ethnonyms, but the continued use of the ethnonyms “cannot be taken as indicators of a specific degree of ethnicity.” Thomas Schneider, “Foreigners in Egypt: Archaeological Evidence and Cultural Context,” in *Egyptian Archaeology* (Malden: Wiley-Blackwell, 2010), 144.

35 Modern examples of the potential differences and similarities between exonyms and autonyms are the English name for the European country Germany and the native name: Deutschland, or the English name for the Eurasian country Russia, and the native name: Rossiya.
The Egyptian conception of the world featured their homeland, *kmt*, “the Black Land,” at the center of the universe. The 30th Dynasty map of the cosmos on the lid of the sarcophagus of Wereshnefer illustrates the regions of the Egyptian world: the realm of the gods in the innermost sphere, surrounded by the Egyptian nomes, and then surrounded again by the regions of the foreign peoples (Fig. 2). The intimate relationship between the gods’ homeland and Egypt in this map reflects the Egyptians’ consideration that Egypt was a part of the ‘ordered’ world (*mA*), and outside of Egypt was chaos (*isft*). Humankind according to the Egyptians consisted of four, broad types, usually referred to in Egyptology as ‘the Four Races,’ which were visually and nominally distinct from each other: Egyptians (*rmT*), Nehesyu, Aamu, and Tjehnu (Fig. 3).  

---

These four ‘races’ were not the only ethnic groups known to the Egyptians, nor were these the only ethnonyms the Egyptians used for their neighbors, but they were sometimes used as representatives of the western, southern and northeastern regions. Anthony Leahy described the Egyptian awareness of their foreign neighbors:\(^\text{37}\):

The Egyptians had specific names for many geographical areas outside their frontiers, but since the precise origin of foreigners was rarely of importance to them, generic terms covering large areas, such as \(\text{3m}w\) (‘amu), “Asiatic,” were preferred.

This approach in Egyptology ignores the ethnic variations among Egypt’s neighbors that were both present and acknowledged in Egyptian written records. It is interesting to note that while there were four ‘types’ of people, the Egyptians divided the world outside of Egypt into four cardinal directions:

---

“I gave to you valor and strength against all foreign countries. I made your authority and fear of you in every land, the dread of you as far as the four supports of heaven.”

“I (the king) gave to him (Osiris) the lands of the south and the north united, not lacking the west and the east of the land.”

The formula in royal literature for naming representative foreign lands located at each of Egypt’s four borders, sometimes as gifts from the gods, existed from the Old Kingdom. The inhabitants of each region were called upon based on their cardinal direction to represent the four corners of the world in texts that symbolically ensured Egyptian dominion over them. These references to the four cardinal directions performed the same functions as lists of the Nine Bows did – to symbolically extend the king’s dominion over every region of the world and over all of their inhabitants. The stele of Amenhotep III from his Memorial Temple is a good example of this – in Amun’s speech to the king he names each cardinal direction and its inhabitants: Kush to the south, stt to the north, Tjehnu to the west, and Punt at the sunrise (east). This formula that attributed representative ethnic groups to each of the four cardinal directions was neither permanent nor consistent – it varied to reflect the foreign powers of the time. Its use lasted even into the Ptolemaic Period when it was recorded at the Temple of Edfu with slight variation:

---


40 For example, the ‘three races’ of bound prisoners, albeit without ethnonyms, from the mortuary temple of Sahure in: Ludwig Borchardt, *Das Grabdenkmal des Königs Sáhu-re* (Leipzig: J. C. Hinrichs, 1913), plate 5.

Kush to the south, the Aamu to the north, the Tjemeh to the west, and the Shasu to the east.\footnote{42}{Giveon, Les Bédouins Shosou Des Documents Égyptiens, 164.}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Transliteration</th>
<th>Translation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pdty</td>
<td>“foreigner”\footnote{44}{Faulkner, A Concise Dictionary of Middle Egyptian, 97. Erman and Grapow, Wörterbuch der Aegyptischen Sprache I, 570.}}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ṛ-pdtyw</td>
<td>“foreigners”\footnote{45}{Faulkner, A Concise Dictionary of Middle Egyptian, 146.}}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rwty</td>
<td>“outsider, stranger”\footnote{46}{Faulkner, A Concise Dictionary of Middle Egyptian, 147. Erman and Grapow, Wörterbuch der Aegyptischen Sprache II, 405.}}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>šmīw</td>
<td>“foreigners”\footnote{47}{Faulkner, A Concise Dictionary of Middle Egyptian, 266. Erman and Grapow, Wörterbuch der Aegyptischen Sprache IV, 470.}}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ꜱ</td>
<td>“interpreter”\footnote{48}{Erman and Grapow, Wörterbuch der Aegyptischen Sprache I, 159.}}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Table 1: Some Terms for Foreigners

An additional element of this work is a discussion of the Egyptian ‘vocabulary of foreignness’ or the words the Egyptians used to refer to, categorize, and describe foreigners. Aside from their use of ethnonyms for their regional neighbors, the Egyptian language contained a number of general terms for foreigners (Table 1). These terms often
ended with the “throw-stick,” determinative (Gardiner Sign-list T 14), and the hills determinative (Gardiner Sign-list N 25). Thutmose III’s stèle from Gebel Barkal is a perfect example of an Egyptian text that employs all forms of the Egyptian ‘vocabulary of foreignness.’ It lauds the military might of the Egyptian king and his subjugation of all peoples and lands. The phraseology is both general and specific, pinpointing the names and homelands of numerous Egyptian neighbors (mntyw stt, hryw-śy, ḫ3mv, w3w3t, etc.), their orientations outside of Egypt (rsyw, mḥtyw), as well as including a number of descriptors (nbdw-kd, ptdyw, bṣṭt, psd3t-pdt, ḫrw, etc.) in an attempt to describe ‘the other.’ The descriptions used in Egyptian rhetoric about foreigners can be categorized as two types: first, forms of humiliation and second, judgment of character. Used primarily in political narratives, the Egyptians employed a ‘vocabulary of humiliation’ that involved the degradation of foreign and domestic enemies for the purpose of celebrating the power of the Egyptian king and upholding his leadership of the State by depicting him righteously defeating chaos (isft) and maintaining justice (mḥt). Judgments of character appear in fictional and historical narratives, in which a description of the ethnic group, as an active character in the story, was needed for the audience, and also in royal and non-royal correspondence. Other means of discussing foreignness was through the use of analogies with animals or objects. Three simile relationships reoccur repeatedly in New Kingdom texts: the king (as a lion) devouring foreigners (as cattle); the king (as a falcon) catching foreigners (as small birds); and

---

51 A discussion of foreign prisoners in New Kingdom Egyptian texts, including the Egyptian terms for their prisoners of war, and descriptions of humiliating forms of execution, is included in: Mark D. Janzen, “Iconography of Humiliation: The Depiction and Treatment of Bound Foreigners in New Kingdom Egypt,” (PhD diss., The University of Memphis, 2013), 220-260.
52 Teeter specifies that often these comparisons were with “wild, and hence unpredictable animals.” Emily Teeter, “Animals in Egyptian Literature,” in *A History of the Animal World in the Ancient Near East* (Leiden: Brill, 2002), 268-269.
the king threshing the foreigners (as grain or straw).\textsuperscript{55} Other comparisons were made to \textit{ddft}, “snakes,”\textsuperscript{56} and \textit{pnw}, “mice.”\textsuperscript{57} Further examples of this phenomenon will be discussed with individual ethnic groups.

Aside from the terms in Table 1, which were used homogenously for foreign peoples, Egyptians also talked about their neighbors using more personable terms, including \textit{rmT}, “people,”\textsuperscript{58} \textit{nywtyw}, “townsmen,”\textsuperscript{59} and \textit{mryt}, “underlings” or “servants,”\textsuperscript{60} terms that were primarily applied to Egyptians. The use of these terms was not restricted to situations of the foreigner-mimesis,\textsuperscript{61} but could also be used to refer to the distant ‘other.’ This vocabulary creates a dualistic picture of ethnicity in Egyptian texts: words existed exclusively for outsiders that indicate perceived difference, and other words, applied to both foreigners and Egyptians, indicate a perceived similarity.

Stemming from these basic observations of the way Egyptians described foreignness, the following chapters present further textual evidence for their considerations of individual ethnic groups, as arranged by their cardinal orientation, beginning with Egypt’s western neighbors.

\textsuperscript{55} Epigraphic Survey, \textit{Later Historical Records of Ramesses III}, plate 82: column 37; plate 83: column 42; and plate 86: column 49.
\textsuperscript{56} Kitchen, \textit{Ramesside Inscriptions: Historical and Biographical IV}, 4: line 13.
\textsuperscript{57} Note that this word is now lost but was recorded by Maspero. Kitchen, \textit{Ramesside Inscriptions: Historical and Biographical IV}, 21: line 3 and note 3a.
\textsuperscript{59} Faulkner, \textit{A Concise Dictionary of Middle Egyptian}, 126.
\textsuperscript{60} Faulkner, \textit{A Concise Dictionary of Middle Egyptian}, 111.
Chapter 2. The Westerners

The peoples who inhabited the western desert were considered by the ancient Egyptians to be distinct ethnic groups as early as the Predynastic period. The nomadic and pastoral lifestyles of these western neighbors generated almost nothing in the way of permanent, archaeological imprints on their cultural landscape. To make the task of exploring their ethnic identities even more difficult, none of the peoples in Egypt’s western desert employed a written language that could provide insight into how they conceptualized their own ethnicity (including through the use of autonyms and toponyms) or which index features they relied upon as a community to differentiate themselves from outsiders, if they did so at all.\(^\text{62}\) Without an archaeological record or first-hand records, historians are left with the task of reconstructing their ethnic identity based on little evidence. This chapter will present some of the index features of Egypt’s western neighbors from the Egyptians’ perspective.

**Ethnonyms and Homelands**

As early as the Sixth Dynasty, Egyptians employed two common names for these now comprehensively dubbed “Libyan” peoples: \textit{Tjehnu}, the oldest, first appeared on the Early Dynastic ‘Libyan Palette’\(^\text{63}\) with a simplistic orthography of \begin{itemize}
    \item \text{ ◊}
    \item \text{:flexed arms}
    \end{itemize}
and also on an ivory cylinder of King Narmer depicting rows of bound peoples.\(^\text{64}\) The other common ethnonyms, \textit{Tjemeh}, first appeared in the Old Kingdom autobiographies of Weni\(^\text{65}\) as \begin{itemize}
    \item \text{ ◊}
    \end{itemize}
and Harkhuf\(^\text{66}\) as \begin{itemize}
    \item \text{ ◊}
    \end{itemize}. Throughout their longstanding use, \textit{Tjehnu} and \textit{Tjemeh} were terms that referred to both the geographical places and the ethnic groups that inhabited them. In close association with the \textit{Tjehnu} and

---

\(^{62}\) For example: religious practices, dress, historical symbols, or language. Hutchinson and Smith, \textit{Ethnicity}, 85-89.


\(^{66}\) Sethe, \textit{Urkunden des Alten Reichs}, 125.
Tjemeh, several other ethnonyms appeared in late New Kingdom texts. The ‘Onomasticon of Amenemope,’ composed during the Ramesside Period when the threat of invasion from the west was at its highest, begins the section of foreign lands and peoples with the Tjemeh, Tjehnu, Meshwesh (often abbreviated “Ma” in Egyptian texts), and Rebu. Their frequent appearances together during the Ramesside Period has been taken as evidence of their ‘Libyan’ identities, but this does not confirm that they shared a common geographic or ethnic origin, only that the Egyptians associated them with one another.

The Egyptians recognized that the ethnonym itself was essential for the longevity of the ethnic group. A speech recorded by Ramses III during his second war against the Westerners begins by boasting:

\[ i \, dd \, n \, p^3 \, hrw \, n \, msws \, ptr \, rf^3 \, fhr \, rn.k \, r \, nhh \, dt \]

---

67 No doubt that when conjuring names of foreign peoples for the composition of the list, the Libyans would have been among those the scribes would first think of. Gardiner, Ancient Egyptian Onomastica I, 113-4.


69 Otherwise translated as Libu or Labu. The earliest appearance of the Rebu in Egyptian texts is from the reign of Ramses II. David O’Connor, “Egypt, 1552-664 BC,” in The Cambridge History of Africa (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1997), 919. Cooney, “Egypt’s Encounter with the West: Race, Culture and Identity,” Appendix C.

70 The term ‘Libyan’ will be avoided in this thesis for its homogenous treatment of these ethnic groups.

71 This follows the first of the six elements of an ethnie according to Hutchinson and Smith, Ethnicity, 6. Refer to page 9 for the list of elements.

72 Kitchen, Ramesside Inscriptions: Historical and Biographical V, 45.

73 Kitchen interprets the second eye determinative (Gardiner Sign-list D 6) to be a mistake for r (Gardiner Sign-list D 21): Kitchen, Ramesside Inscriptions: Historical and Biographical V, 45: note 13a. Edgerton and Wilson agree with this interpretation: William F. Edgerton and John A. Wilson, Historical Records of Ramesses III: The Texts in Medinet Habu volumes I and II (Chicago: The Oriental Institute, 1936), 63 and note 3a.
“Say to the fallen of the Meshwesh: See now, your name will be destroyed for ever and ever!”

The use of a common, proper ethnonym that has the ability to carry the “essence” of the people within itself is an important element of an ethnic identity.⁷⁴ Especially in contexts of war, the name carries the weight of the enemy’s formidable and unity within itself. The Egyptians ensured their enemies’ destruction with written spells that contained their enemies’ names, both personal names and ethnonyms,⁷⁵ on figurines or pots, which were then smashed or burned.⁷⁶ By destroying their enemies’ names, the Egyptians believed they also destroyed the threat they posed.

**Orientation: The Western Homelands**

The association of the *Tjemeh* and *Tjehnu* with the western regions of the Egyptian world is present in the Old, Middle and New Kingdoms. The earliest explicit placement of *Tjehnu* in the west appears in the 6th Dynasty autobiography of Harkhuf, during the reign of Pepi II.⁷⁷ Harkhuf records that he found the ruler of *Yam* (a southern region)⁷⁸ heading to the land of the *Tjemeh*:

![Figurine](image)

*r hwi tmH r kfr imnt n pt*

“in order to smite the Tjemeh at the western bend of the sky.”

The 12th Dynasty ‘Story of Sinuhe’ begins with the death of King Amenemhat I, while his son Senusret (I) is away leading a campaign against the *Tjemeh*.⁷⁹ Senusret I, returning to Egypt with *Tjemeh* prisoners and cattle, is met by messengers sent from the royal court⁸⁰:

---

⁷⁵ For examples, refer to the execration texts included in this work on pages 74-75.
“to the western side in order to inform the king’s son (of his father’s death).”

From the recto inscription of a New Kingdom stele erected by Amenhotep III at his mortuary temple is a speech that Amun gives to the king promising to deliver to him the foreigners from the four cardinal directions, south, north, west and east:

\[
\text{r gs imnty r rdlit rh s3 nsw}
\]

“I turn my face to the west and I cause a wonder for you. I cause you to conquer the Tjehnu.”

This text called upon the Tjehnu as the western representative of the Egyptian cosmos. It will be considered again for its placement of other ethnic groups. The Egyptians also used the Tjehnu as one of the three foreign ‘races’ whose representatives are smited by the Egyptian king to show his dominion over the entire world:

The Rebu and Meshwesh were also associated with Egypt’s west, although this association does not assure that the ethnic groups originated there. They were described approaching Egypt from the west:

\[
\text{wn rb mswš sndm hr kmt iw īti.w n3 dmiw p3 rwd imnt}
\]

“the Rebu and the Meshwesh were dwelling in Egypt, plundering the towns and the western shore (of the Nile).”

---

82 The text was also copied by Ramses III. Kitchen, *Ramesside Inscriptions: Historical and Biographical* V, 97.
83 Mentuhotep Nebhepetre II smiting the three races (ṣtḥw, sṭtyw, thnw) in Elisa Fiore Marochetti, *The Reliefs of the Chapel of Nebhepetre Mentuhotep at Gebelein* (Leiden: Brill, 2010), 51. Also refer to footnote 40.
85 These names (Rebu and Meshwesh) are examples of group writing. As such, they are transliterated without the vowels, following Junge. Friedrich Junge, *Late Egyptian Grammar: An Introduction* (Oxford: Griffith Institute, 2001), 41-44, and especially 42.
In at least one case, the *Tjehnu* performed a northern role in the Egyptian cosmography. The caption beside a scene of Ramses II smiting a man clearly marked as a *Tjehnu* in the Beit el-Wali temple\(^8^6\) further adds the description:

\[\text{ptpt h'ist mḥty, “trampling the northern foreign land.”}\]

In the temple, the *Tjehnu* join scenes of ethnic groups representing the north and east on the northern walls.\(^8^7\) This grouping of western, northern, and eastern neighbors on the north side, while the representative of the south (*Kush*) take up the southern side of the temple, is an example of a convenient grouping of the northernmost neighbors rather than a redefinition of *Tjehnu* as a northerner. It does indicate that in the mind of the Egyptians, the *Tjehnu* were more comparable for categorization with the Northerners and Easterners than with the Southerners.

During the Ramesside Period when Egypt experienced a steady encroachment of various immigrating peoples from the west, the Westerners were often discussed together in Egyptian texts. The texts from this period illustrate the united front that the Egyptians perceived coming toward them from the west. At times, the discourse indicates that the Egyptians considered the ethnonyms ‘*Tjehnu*’ and ‘*Tjemeh*’ as “umbrella” terms for the western ethnic groups,\(^8^8\) under which various other western peoples could be described\(^8^9\):

\[\text{tfi thmw iryw ḥdt iw.sn twt ḏmḥ nn r^-c.sn m ṭb spdw mšwš}\]

“The *Tjehnu* are in motion, making a plot. They are assembled, united without their limit, consisting of *Rebu*, *Sepedu* and *Meshwesh.*”

---


\(^{8^7}\) The ethnic identities of the northerners are only identifiable through their visual stylization; the texts clarifying their ethnicities are now destroyed. Epigraphic Survey, *The Beit el-Wali Temple of Ramesses II*, plates 11-14.

\(^{8^8}\) Edgerton and Wilson, *Historical Records of Ramesses III: The Texts in Medinet Habu volumes I and II*, 20.

\(^{8^9}\) Kitchen, *Ramesside Inscriptions: Historical and Biographical V*, 12.
The Tjemeh have come, united in one place, consisting of Rebu, Sepedu and Meshwesh.”

In both of these examples the Tjehnu and Tjemeh are mentioned first, likely because their longstanding presence in Egyptian cultural awareness made them the most familiar of the Westerners to the Egyptians, perhaps even synonymous with the western regions. Adding to these more general ethnic terms, the Egyptians specified exactly which western peoples they were referring to, here, the Rebu, Sepedu and Meshwesh.

As seen in the examples above, these western peoples were often referenced en masse, but this did not preclude the Egyptian understanding that each ethnic group inhabited its own distinct, cultural land:

“The land of Tjemeh fled, they went running, and the Meshwesh, [they] rose up, [taking shelter] in their land.”

This provides evidence of individuality among the western ethnic groups, lending validity to the idea that they should be treated distinctly, with discrete societal leadership and goals. A description from Merneptah’s Poetical Stele briefly describes what the Egyptians thought of the lifestyle that the Rebu enjoyed in their own homeland:

---

90 Kitchen, Ramesside Inscriptions: Historical and Biographical V, 22: line 12.
91 The Sepedu only appear in the inscriptions of Ramesses III at Medinet Habu. Bates notes their orthographic similarity to Esbet, another ethnonym only mentioned in the Medinet Habu records, and proposes that they may be the same people group. Bates, The Eastern Libyans: An Essay, 47.
92 Kitchen, Ramesside Inscriptions: Historical and Biographical V, 24: lines 4-5.
93 Petrie, Six Temples at Thebes, plate 14: row 10.
Together, these texts show that the Egyptians were aware that a distinct homeland existed for each of the western peoples, an essential element of recognizing an ethnic group. They also perceived enough compatibility among them that their collaboration, as in instances of war with a common enemy, was a natural outcome.

**Common Culture: Language, Dress, and Customs**

While there is no extant evidence of the type of languages spoken by the Westerners or what those languages were called by the people who spoke them, Spell PT 301 does indicate that they spoke a language foreign to the Egyptians:

\[ \text{itti n.k wrtt m $\text{\text{\textcircled{3}}} \text{\text{\textcircled{5}}} w \ wrrw \ c\ ? \ hntyw \ thnw} \]

“Take for yourself the wrtt-crown from the great and mighty jabberers who are over Tjahnu.”

Faulkner commented that the word $\text{\text{\textcircled{3}}} \text{\text{\textcircled{5}}} w$, “jabberers,” is intended to give the impression that when the Egyptians heard foreigners speak it was only unintelligible babble. The word was used for the speakers of any foreign language, and in this text linked an unspecified foreign language to the land of Tjahnu. Another indication of the linguistic differences between the Egyptians and the Westerners is found in a Ramesside text from Deir el-Medina:

---


96 See it also applied to the Nehesyu on page 87.

He made them (the Rebu and Meshwesh) cross the river, and brought into Egypt, they are put in fortresses of the mighty king. They heard the speech of the people (Egyptians), while serving the king, and he obstructed their language.”

There are fewer descriptions of the Westerners’ cultural dress as might have been expected because of how brightly and intricately the Egyptians visually portrayed their clothing. The Poetical Stele of Merneptah, also called the “Israel Stele,” was written to commemorate the king’s victory over the Westerners, and includes all of their most common ethnonyms: the Tjeme, the Tjehnu, the Meshwesh and the Rebu. It contains a noteworthy image of the Rebu ruler as he flees from Egypt after his military defeat and makes an important comment on the cultural dress of the Rebu:

\[
\text{wr hsy hrw n rb wr fm nfr w}^{99} \text{ grh n w}^{99} \text{ f bn mhw t hr tp f}
\]

“The defeated, fallen Great One of the Rebu fled in the end of the night alone by himself, without a feather on his head.”

Later in the text, this ruler of Rebu is cursed by his own people, and called:\n
\[
\text{wr hsf s3y bin mhw t}
\]

“a Great One, repressed by fate and evil of feather.”

---

These are two rare references to a cultural element of the western peoples that was essential to their visualization in Egyptian art, and possibly one of their index features: the feather worn on their head.

Egyptian military protocol for counting the dead enemy involved the removal of enemy soldiers’ right hands and phalli. Merneptah’s Poetical Stele includes the phrases ḥnnw krnt and ḥnnw m krnt, “phalli with foreskins” several times in the lists of slain enemy soldiers.¹⁰¹ The Egyptians noted that the phalli collected from the Rebu soldiers were uncircumcised, even explicitly contrasting this attribute with that of other defeated enemies “who did not have foreskins.”¹⁰² This detail about the Rebu provides a glimpse into the cultural customs of this ethnic group, and can be categorized as one of their ethnic index features, something visible to an external culture that made their ethnicity instantly recognizable.¹⁰³ The Egyptians’ awareness of this feature is reverberated centuries later when Piankhy, founder of the 25th Dynasty, deposed several kinglets in Egypt, and allowed only one of the four kings to enter his palace because the others were uncircumcised, and as a result, impure.¹⁰⁴ Together, these texts illustrate a number of cultural features among the western peoples that the Egyptians linked with them: a foreign language, cultural dress, and cultural traditions.

**Associations, Epithets, and Descriptions**

The unique association of Egypt’s western neighbors with the sky in the mind of the Egyptians has already been seen in the autobiography of Harkhuf, as quoted above,

---


¹⁰² The Sherden, Shekelesh and Ekwesh are described as circumcised. They originated from “the foreign lands of the sea.” Manassa, *The Great Karnak Inscription of Merneptah*, 163.


¹⁰⁴ Tormund Eide, *Fontes Historiae Nubiorum: Textual Sources for the History of the Middle Nile Region Between the Eighth Century BC and the Sixth Century AD* I (Bergen: University of Bergen, 1994), 111 and 117.
where the *Tjemeh* were placed “at the western bend of the sky.” This association of the western regions with the sky is also present in Spell PT 570\textsuperscript{105}:

\[ ntrw \ niwyw \ ihm-sk \ hns \ t\ tnw \]

“O, local gods, indestructible stars\textsuperscript{<s>}, which traverse the land of *Tjehnu.*”

The association with the “indestructible stars” continues in Spell PT 665C\textsuperscript{106}:

\[ wn \ nk \ s \ 6 \ hsf.w \ twnw \ \ldots \ ss.k \ r \ ihm.w-sk \]

“For you, the six door-bolts\textsuperscript{107} (which) ward of *Tjehnu*, are opened … grasp the hand of the indestructible stars.”

Cooney interprets both of these mortuary texts that refer to the western land of *Tjehnu* as metaphorical in meaning.\textsuperscript{108} The West was the place to which the deceased traveled after death, where Osiris was known as the “First of the Westerners.” While the land of *Tjehnu* in these texts was more likely in the realm of the afterlife than that of the geographical world, its association with the west persisted.

The majority of Egypt’s descriptions of her western neighbors come from the so-called “Libyan Wars” during the Ramesside period. These interactions likely tainted their perception with a militarized quality and obscured perceptions of western ethnicities that might have been more accurate during a time of peace. During Ramses III’s second war against the Westerners, the *Meshwesh* and *Tjemeh* were called\textsuperscript{109} \textsuperscript{109} \textsuperscript{109} \textsuperscript{109} \textsuperscript{109} (for

\textsuperscript{105} Sethe, *Die Altägyptischen Pyramidentexte nach den Papierabdrücken und Photographien des Berliner Museums II*, 295.


\textsuperscript{107} Spalinger identified these “six door-bolts” with the six regions surrounding Egypt. Spalinger, “Some Notes on the Libyans of the Old Kingdom,” 131.

\textsuperscript{108} Cooney concludes that this *Tjehnu* is likely a mythical one, not a geographical one: “the Land of *Tjehnu* was one of the last places through which the deceased king... had to pass before becoming an Imperishable Star.” Cooney, “Egypt’s Encounter with the West,” 112.

\textsuperscript{109} Kitchen, *Ramesside Inscriptions: Historical and Biographical V*, 63.
3ḥw) “miserable”110 and bsḏ “languishing,” or “weak”. The two most common epithets for Egypt’s enemies were used frequently for the Westerners: ḫrw, “fallen,”111 and ḫsy, alternatively translated as “wretched,” “despicable,” “defeated,” “weak,” or “vile.”112 Mereyey, ruler of the Rebu is called bwt “an abomination” and ṣḥwr rn.f, “his/whose name is cursed.”113

The inscriptions at Medinet Habu contain a form of humiliation that compares Westerners to women114:

\[
dẖi.t₃ n tmḥ nn prt.sn mšwš št₃.sn n ḫṛyt.i
\]

“I defeated the land of Ṭjemeh; their seed does not exist. The Meshwesh writhe through the fear of me.”

The word št³ is used to express writhing caused by birth pangs115 and is appropriately demarcated with a pregnant woman determinative (Gardiner Sign-list B 2). This text contains a parallel destruction of these westerners by ensuring the Ṭjemeh men could not reproduce and by reducing the Meshwesh to the harmless status of pregnant women. Another example of this is a lexical comparison between Meshesher,116 ruler of the Meshwesh, and womanly experiences. Meshesher is described as being117:

---

110 Erman and Grapow, Wörterbuch der Aegyptischen Sprache I, 12.
112 Lesko, A Dictionary of Late Egyptian I, 388. Faulkner, A Concise Dictionary of Middle Egyptian, 204.
114 Petrie, Six Temples at Thebes, plate XIV: row 9.
115 From the ‘First Libyan War’ of Ramesses III. Kitchen, Ramesside Inscriptions: Historical and Biographical V, 20.
116 Lesko, A Dictionary of Late Egyptian II, 12.
117 Cooney, “Egypt's Encounter with the West,” 192-193.
118 Edgerton and Wilson, Historical Records of Ramesses III: The Texts in Medinet Habu volumes I and II, 79: Note 23e.
The word pg3 literally means “to open” or “to extend” and the orthography is typically completed with (Gardiner Sign-list A 2) or (Gardiner Sign-list D 40) as the determinative. In the same text, the Meshwesh and the Tjemeh were made “limp” or “spread out” by the king, written with the determinative of a woman giving birth rather than (Gardiner Sign-list A 61). The implication of both texts is the transformation of these western enemies into women who are humiliatingly “opened” and “spread out” by the Egyptian king.

A recurring form of rhetoric in Egyptian texts is the use of similes, particularly for explaining the foreignness of their neighbors by comparing them with animals or animal behavior. The intention of these comparisons was not only the humiliation of the foreigner, but also the exaltation of the Egyptian king. A stele established at Buhen by Thutmose III describes Tjehnu submitting to the king’s power, and coming before him with tribute on their backs: [...] mi ir tsmw, “like dogs do.”

Characteristics that the Egyptians considered specific to the western peoples also exist. Two perceptions of the western peoples reappear frequently in Egyptian discourse: they were not content with their geographical boundaries, and they actively plotted the ruin of Egypt. In the Egyptian worldview the boundaries of Egypt had not been established by men, but by the gods, and upheld an essential demarcation between chaos

118 From his ‘Second Libyan War.’ Lesko, A Dictionary of Late Egyptian I, 158.
119 Lesko, A Dictionary of Late Egyptian I, 340.
120 Second Libyan War of Ramesses III. Edgerton and Wilson, Historical Records of Ramesses III: The Texts in Medinet Habu volumes I and II, 81: Note 32d.
122 Sethe, Urkunden der 18. Dynastie IV, 809.
123 A similar text is found in Merneptah’s Great Karnak Inscription, in which a ruler is compared to a dog, but because of lacunae it is unclear if this comparison was meant for Mereyey the Rebu ruler, or a ruler of one of the Sea Peoples. Manassa, The Great Karnak Inscription of Merneptah, plate 6.
and order, alien and familiar. As a result, an invasion of foreign peoples represented more than a military threat; it was an offense to the gods and the termination of the established order. The Egyptian kings during the Ramesside period made an effort to repel the western invaders, and spoke about them as bringers of evil to Egypt.

When Ramesses III constructed a temple dedicated to Thoth at Hermopolis he also built a wall surrounding it, made especially for:

\[
s'\hat{s}t \ h3styw \ thnw \ wn \ th3 \ t3\hat{s}.sn \ dr \ hry-\frt
\]

“repelling the Tjehmu foreigners who violate their boundary since of old.”

In another text he expounds on his destruction of the three western peoples:

\[
p\breve{3} \ t\breve{3} \ tmh \ spd \ m\hat{s}w\hat{s} \ wn \ m \ it\breve{3} \ hr \ s'\breve{d}3 \ kmt \ hrw \ nb
\]

“the Tjemeh, Sepedu, and Meshwesh who were capturing and ruining Egypt every day.”

The word \(s'\breve{d}3\), “to ruin,” or “to harm,” is used again to describe the hearts of the Tjemeh, Rebu, Sepedu and Meshwesh:

\[
ib.[sn] \ mh \ m \ s'\breve{d}3 \ hr \ pn\frt,
\]

“[their] hearts are filled with wrongdoing, and possessing perversity.” (literally: “being upside down”)

The Egyptians emphasized that the Westerners had made \(shrw\) “plans” for Egypt and were actively scheming against it:

---


127 Lesko, *A Dictionary of Late Egyptian* II, 16.

The Egyptians clearly perceived the *Rebu* as the most sinister ethnic group among the Westerners. They are described as “mobilizing” combined armies against Egypt, leading what might be an attack against the *Tjehnu*, and mentioned in what appears to be a record of accusation that their bad advice was to blame for the war with the Egyptians. In Merneptah’s record of his war against the Westerners, the blame is placed on the *Rebu* for the coming of the *Shekelesh* and *Teresh*, perpetuating their image as conniving initiators.

The Egyptian perception of the character of these western peoples during the late New Kingdom was that they were scheming and conniving, and eagerly planned the downfall of Egypt. This was clearly the result of their unified encroachment on Egyptian land and plundering of Egyptian towns during the Ramesside period.

There are a number of other ethnic groups, some of which are only mentioned once in Egyptian texts that are briefly associated with the *Meshwesh* and *Rebu* invasion during the reign of Ramses III. Because of their appearance with other, unmistakably western neighbors they have conventionally been categorized as other ‘Libyan’ ethnic groups or tribes. Little can be said about these obscure ethnic groups; their ethnic and historical identities as well as origins remain especially dubious.

---

132 A speech by an unspecified group of people that may have been intended for the general enemies of Egypt, or the Westerners as a whole, says: “The *Rebu* caused our confusion as well as [their own], for we listened to their counsels, and [now] our heat is taken away and we are upon the way [of] crime like <them>.” Edgerton and Wilson, *Historical Records of Ramesses III: The Texts in Medinet Habu* volumes I and II, 84.
134 Including the *Sepedu, Imukehek, Qeheq, Qeyqesh, Esbet, Ekbet, Shai, Hes and Beqen*. It is even unclear if some are simply an orthographic error of another ethnonym. Bates, *The Eastern Libyans: An Essay*, 46-7. Cooney assess that it is possible some of them might be better characterized as ‘Sea Peoples’ than as Libyans. Cooney, “*Egypt’s Encounter with the West: Race, Culture and Identity*,” 165-167.
Summary

Modern historians are unable to study the ethnicities of Egypt’s western neighbors in their own language. Instead, they have to rely entirely on sources external to their culture, such as those left by the Egyptians and, later, the Greeks. The application of the general ethnonym ‘Libyan’ to all tribes and lands (Meshwesh, Rebu, Tjehnu, Tjemeh, etc.) as is the convention for English translations, causes general confusion and lack of specificity in modern scholarship. It has led to the gross oversimplification that all of the western peoples should be categorized as ‘Libyan,’ a pre-conception that is now hard to reverse. Referring to all of Egypt’s western neighbors with the same name destroys any possibility of grasping their distinctiveness, and as a result, the essence of what forms their individual ethnic identities. Three main features that identify an ethnic group can be found in Egyptian texts concerning Egypt’s western neighbors:

1. The use of common proper names (Tjehnu, Tjemeh, Meshwesh, Rebu, and others) for referring to individual ethnic groups
2. The awareness of cultural elements, including a distinct language that was dissimilar to the language spoken by the Egyptians (Tjehnu); practice of a custom (Rebu) uncommon to the Egyptians; and an established article of adornment (Rebu)
3. The awareness of distinct homelands for each ethnic group (Tjehnu, Tjemeh, Meshwesh, Rebu)
Chapter 3. The Northerners

The Egyptian awareness of northerners as an embodiment of foreignness, and the north as the delineation of the end of the Egyptian world, was recorded in writing as early as the 2nd Dynasty reign of Khasekhemwy on two stone vessels. In the Egyptian cosmography the northern border was located at the Mediterranean Sea, reflective of Egyptian topography. References to the “northland” and “northerners” meant the marshes of the Egyptian Delta before the Middle Kingdom. Egypt’s ethnic neighbors located true north were the island inhabitants, but they did not function as the northern neighbors in cosmographic statements until the New Kingdom.

The ‘Sea Peoples’

While applying the term ‘Sea People’ to a number of unique ethnic groups is homogenizing, it is at least accurate (Table 2). Because of their historical significance in the Mediterranean region, these peoples have been extensively studied, relying heavily upon comparison with sources from other ancient cultures, including the Hittites, due to restricted information available in Egyptian written records. The majority of their appearances in Egyptian sources are restricted to the Ramesside Period, when a

---

138 Redford, “Egypt and Western Asia in the Old Kingdom,” 126. See also his Table 1 for the 1st Dynasty label of King Den “smiting the East,” with a stylistically identifiable northern neighbor.
140 The Danuna, Sherden and Lukka appear as early as the Amarna Letters from the reigns of Amenhotep III/IV. For the Danuna see Amarna letter EA 151; for the Sherden see Amarna letters EA 81, 122, 123; for the Lukka see Amarna letter EA 38. William L. Moran, The Amarna Letters (Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1992).
number of ethnic groups who were intrinsically linked to “the islands” or “the sea” attempted to invade and settle in Egypt.\textsuperscript{141} However, the Egyptians’ awareness of islanders living in the \textit{wld-\(wr\)}, literally the “Great Green,” or “the Sea,”\textsuperscript{142} predates the appearance of the ‘Sea Peoples.’\textsuperscript{143} The existence of the islands in their greater geographical awareness appears in texts as early as the reign of Senusret I, in the tale of Sinuhe.\textsuperscript{144}

Table 2: Sample of Texts Associating Ethnic Groups with Islands or the Sea

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ethnic Group</th>
<th>Islands</th>
<th>Sea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sherden</td>
<td></td>
<td>K, AS, MH, HP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lukka</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Damuna</td>
<td>HP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shekelesh</td>
<td>MH</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tjeker</td>
<td>MH, W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teresh</td>
<td>MH, R</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ekwesh</td>
<td>K, A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weshesh</td>
<td>HP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---


\textsuperscript{142} Faulkner, \textit{A Concise Dictionary of Middle Egyptian}, 56.

\textsuperscript{143} \textit{iww hryw-\(lb\) wld-\(wr\)}, “islands which are in the midst of the Great Green” are included in Thutmose III’s Poetical Stele: Sethe, \textit{Urkunden der 18. Dynastie} IV, 616.

\textsuperscript{144} \textit{iww nw wld-\(wr\)}, “islands of the Great Green”: Gardiner, \textit{Notes on the Story of Sinuhe}, 81.

\textsuperscript{145} Abbreviations for Texts in Table 2: HP = Harris Papyrus; K = Karnak Inscription; AS = Papyrus Anastasi II; A = Athribis Stele; MH = Medinet Habu; R = Rhetorical Stele; W = Wenamun.

In the Qadesh Battle inscriptions from the reign of Ramesses II, the Sherden\textsuperscript{146} are included among the Egyptian troops,\textsuperscript{147} and the Lukka\textsuperscript{148} among the large Hittite coalition.\textsuperscript{149} In the reign of his son, however, the Sherden exhibited a change in alliance, and fought against the Egyptian army and alongside many other peoples of the sea. There are four extant sources for Merneptah’s war with these peoples, the most important of which is the Great Karnak Inscription, now badly fragmented.\textsuperscript{150} This inscription recorded a war against the Rebu, one of Egypt’s western neighbors, who led an army comprised of the Shekelesh, the Lukka, the Sherden,\textsuperscript{146} the Teresh\textsuperscript{148} and the Ekwesh.\textsuperscript{148}

Years later, the Sherden were listed again among the Egyptian army\textsuperscript{152} in Ramesses III’s records of repelling a coalition of people groups from the isles,\textsuperscript{153} which included the\textsuperscript{154}:

\textit{Shekelesh} and who were joined by the Peleset.\textsuperscript{155}

\textsuperscript{146} No. 268 in: Gardiner, \textit{Ancient Egyptian Onomastica} I, 194-199.
\textsuperscript{148} No. 247 in: Gardiner, \textit{Ancient Egyptian Onomastica} I, 127-8.
\textsuperscript{151} Manassa, \textit{The Great Karnak Inscription of Merneptah}, plate 4: lines 13-14.
\textsuperscript{152} Epigraphic Survey, \textit{Earlier Historical Records of Ramesses III}, plate 29: line 39.
\textsuperscript{154} Note that the orthography for these ethnic groups is rarely consistent, even within the same source.
\textsuperscript{155} No. 270 in: Gardiner, \textit{Ancient Egyptian Onomastica} I, 200-205.
The Egyptians considered two details important for identifying these ethnic groups: they were located geographically north of Egypt, and they inhabited islands in the sea. These two descriptors reoccur frequently in conjunction with these ethnonyms. An inscription of Ramesses III at Medinet Habu describes two of these ethnic groups as northerners\textsuperscript{158}:

\textit{iry xAs \textit{w} m\textit{Htt nwT m prst Tk}}

“the northern foreign lands were trembling in their bodies, namely the Peleset and the Tjeker[er…].”

In Merneptah’s inscription at Karnak the Ekwesh, Teresh, Lukka, Shekelesh and Sherden are also called\textsuperscript{159}:

\textit{mH[tyw] iw.[w] n iww nbw}, “the northerners who came of all islands.” Another line from the same text reiterates their northern-ness, and also their aquatic origins\textsuperscript{160}:

\textit{ist rf h\textit{swt m\textit{Htt nty m n3y.sn iww hr nwT m h\textit{w}.sn}}

“now then, the northern foreign lands who are in their islands, are trembling in their bodies.”

---

\textsuperscript{156} No. 244 in: Gardiner, \textit{Ancient Egyptian Onomastica} I, 124.


\textsuperscript{158} Epigraphic Survey, \textit{Earlier Historical Records of Ramesses III}, plate 28: line 51.

\textsuperscript{159} Manassa, \textit{The Great Karnak Inscription of Merneptah}, plate 2: line 1.

\textsuperscript{160} Epigraphic Survey, \textit{Earlier Historical Records of Ramesses III}, plate 37: lines 8-9.
In the historical section of the Harris Papyrus, composed during the reign of Ramesses IV but recording events from the reign of his father, Ramesses III, the *Danuna* were linked directly to the islands\(^{161}\):  
\[d\text{mwn}n\text{3 m} n\text{3y.sn iw}w, \text{“Danuna in their islands.”} \]  
In the same text, the *Sherden* and *Weshesh* were called\(^{162}\):  
\[n p\text{3 ym, “from the sea.”} \]

During Ramesses III’s war against these ‘Sea Peoples’ the ethnic groups are frequently named *en masse*, representing what the Egyptians apparently saw as a united front\(^{163}\):

\[t\text{ly.w iwnmkt m prst tkr s3krs3 d}m\text{wnn3 w3}3\text{3}3 \text{t}w \text{dmd} \]

“their confederation, namely the *Peleset*, *Tjeker*, *Shekelesh*, *Danuna*, and *Weshesh*, lands united.”

As a “confederation” of peoples, they are described having *shrw* “plans”\(^{164}\) for Egypt, in the same way the western peoples, as combined foreign forces, were also described at Medinet Habu. The *Peleset*, *Tjeker* and *Danuna* are each individually called *hrw*, “fallen.”\(^{165}\) On a stele of Ramesses II from Tanis, the *Sherden* are described as having a\(^{166}\):

\[b\text{stw h}3\text{ty}, \text{“rebellious of heart.”} \]

While the narrative describes the Sea Peoples in groups, no doubt to reflect their combined strength, this did not preempt the Egyptians from individualizing some of them. In the tally of the hands and phalli of dead enemies, the *Sherden*, *Shekelesh* and

---


\(^{162}\) Erichsen, *Papyrus Harris I*, 93: line 1.


\(^{165}\) Epigraphic Survey, *Earlier Historical Records of Ramesses III*. For *Danuna* and *Peleset*: plate 44; For *Tjeker*: plate 43.

\(^{166}\) William Flinders Petrie, *Tanis - Part II: Nebesheh (Am) and Defenneh (Tahpanhes)* (London: Gilbert and Rivington, 1888), plate 2: fig. 78.
Ekwesh are commented upon for being circumcised. These brief comments on the cultures of some of the ‘sea peoples’ probably reflect an increased interaction between the Egyptians and a few of these groups, making them suited for more informed descriptions.

The Peleset is also the only ethnic group to be described with a homeland more specific than “the islands” or “the Sea.” On the Rhetorical Stele of Ramesses III, among a list of Sea Peoples, the Peleset is referred to as expressing awareness of a homeland. At Medinet Habu when the rulers of Kush, Kode, Tjemeh, and Tjehnu are individually called hsy, “defeated,” the mention of the Peleset specifies only \( \text{h3swt prst} \), “the countries of Peleset.”

At Medinet Habu the Peleset are described:

\[ \text{co prst k3p m dmi.sn} \]

“the Peleset are suspended, being hidden in their towns.”

At Medinet Habu, named alongside the rulers (wr hsy) of Hatti and Amor, who are given the typical ruler title and epithet, the leaders of the Peleset and Tjeker are called \( \text{53} \), “magnate.” Perhaps because of their nomadic, migratory status during the early 20th Dynasty, the Egyptians did not recognize the Peleset or Tjeker leaders as proper rulers. In the Report of Wenamun, written at the end of the 20th Dynasty, Wenamun travels to Dor, a Tjeker town where he meets Beder, the Great One (wr) of Dor. At this point the Egyptians recognized an established homeland for the Tjeker and could identify

---

170 Epigraphic Survey, *Later Historical Records of Ramesses III*, plate 118. The Peleset are also the only ‘Sea People’ included in these scenes and captions.
172 Note that the Sherden and the Teresh are included in the same list, but without any titles. Giveon, *Les Bédouins Shosou Des Documents Égyptiens*, 138-139.
173 This may be corroborated by the application of \( \text{53} \) to the Shasu leaders, who were nomads, although Egyptian records contain many references to the Shasu homeland. Refer to pages 58-63.
permanent locations within the Tjeker homeland, such as the town (dmít) of Dor. It is reasonable to assume that this change in titles for the Tjeker from š in the early 20th Dynasty to wr in the late 20th Dynasty could be attributable to their change from a migratory people to a stationary one.

The Report of Wenamun also contains mention of n i-r-s, “the land of Alasiya,” generally identified as the island of Cyprus. Wenamun reaches this land by fate of the wind after leaving the Tjeker harbor. An ethnonym for the town’s inhabitants is not included in the narrative, but they are twice called rmt, “people.” There are two other notable descriptions of Alasiya in the Report: first, the leader of Alasiya is a woman:

\[ h₃-ti-b₃ r₃ \text{ wr n p₃ dmi} \]

“Hatiba, the Great One of the town.”

Second, Wenamun’s pronounces that Alasiya is a place where right is always done:

\[ i. \text{ir. tw grg n dmi nb i.} \text{ir. tw m₃ti n p₃ t₃ n i-r-s} \]

“One does evil in every town, (but) one does justice in the land of Alasiya.”

---

180 Note that while her name is determined by a seated woman (Gardiner Sign-list B 1), the title wr has not been feminized.
While the extant copy of Wenamun’s Report abruptly ends after Wenamun reaches Alasiya, providing little else about its inhabitants, Wenamun’s association of m3’t, “truth” or “justice” with the islanders is notable.

The people of Keftiu

The ethnic identity of the people of Keftiu is obscure in Egyptian texts; the items that the Egyptians obtained by trade from them appear far more often in Egyptian records than the peoples do themselves. Wainwright identified only a single use of the Egyptian exonym kftiwi,¹⁸² Keftiui (and the projected plural of Keftiuiu), although it is impossible to know if the ethnonym experienced wider use or not.¹⁸³ While the name of their homeland is very clear,¹⁸⁴ kfAtiw and kftiw, their orientation varies: they are associated with the west, the north, and occasionally the island rulers, who were grouped separately. The people of Keftiu have been included with Egypt’s northern neighbors in the present work in recognition of its association with the islands¹⁸⁵ and its inclusion with northern neighbors in several topographical lists.¹⁸⁶

¹⁸³ Other references to an individual from Keftiu are genitival, direct or indirect.
¹⁸⁶ As further evidence, Wainwright illustrated that in topographical lists, the people of Keftiu were grouped with ‘northern’ locations: Naharen, Upper and Lower Retenu, Kheta, Qadesh, Tunip and others: G.A. Wainwright, “Asiatic Keftiu,” American Journal of Archaeology 56 (1952): 198. In the tombs of Amenemhab (TT 85) and Kenamun (TT 162) the people of Keftiu are also included with the rulers of Retenu: Nina M. Davies, “Foreigners in the Tomb of Amenemhab (No. 85),” The Journal of Egyptian Archaeology 20 (1934): 190.
In the Hymn of Victory from the reign of Thutmose III, Amun identifies each region of the Egyptian world and grants the Egyptian king dominion over them. In this list Keftiu is located to the west of Egypt\textsuperscript{187}:

\begin{verbatim}
ii.n.i dl.i titi.k b imnty k\^{f}3tyw isy \^{h}r \^{s}f\^{s}f\^{i}
\end{verbatim}

“I came to cause you to trample on the western land, Keftiu and Isy (Cyprus) being in awe.”

A text that located Keftiu to the north of Egypt is found in the Book of the Day from the tomb of Ramesses VI\textsuperscript{188}:

\begin{verbatim}
niwt.sn pw k\^{f}3tyw iw hprw.sn m iww nw pt m w\^{\ddot{a}}d-wr m\lott
\end{verbatim}

“their town, it is Keftiu. They came into existence in the islands of the sky, in the northern Great Green.”

In a scene of foreigners bringing tribute in the tomb of Rekhmire, the rulers of Keftiu arrive with the people of the islands\textsuperscript{189}:

\begin{verbatim}
wrw nw k\^{f}3tyw iww hr\^{y}-ib nw w\^{\ddot{a}}d-wr
\end{verbatim}

“Great Ones of Keftiu and the islands in the midst of the Great Green.”

The people of Keftiu were linked with the Aamu in a spell from the London Medical Papyrus, in which words in the Keftiu language were to be spoken to cure a northern disease\textsuperscript{190}:

\begin{verbatim}
\textsuperscript{\textasciitilde}nt nt nt^\textasciitilde-\textasciitilde mw m \ddot{d}d n k\^{f}3tyw
\end{verbatim}

“Spell for the ntnt-Aamu (disease), in the saying of Keftiu (language):…”\textsuperscript{191}

\textsuperscript{187} Sethe, Urkunden der 18. Dynastie IV, 616.
\textsuperscript{188} Strange, Caphtor/Keftiu: A New Investigation, 87.
\textsuperscript{189} Tomb of Rekhmire: Sethe, Urkunden der 18. Dynastie IV, 1098.
\textsuperscript{190} Walter Wreszinski, Der Londoner Medizinische Papyrus (Brit. Museum Nr. 10059) und der Papyrus Hearst (Leipzig: J. C. Hinrichs, 1912), 151: no. 32.
There are two ways to interpret this association: that the people of Keftiu were similar to the Aamu in the minds of the Egyptians and so categorized together in this instance, or that the Egyptians encountered a foreign illness considered to be of northern/eastern origins, and they believed that the people of Keftiu had managed to cure it.

These brief mentions demonstrate that the Egyptians identified the Keftiu as a distinct ethnic group with a unique ethnonym and cultural homeland (even if they were uncertain of its precise geographical orientation). They were also aware of a language of Keftiu enough to either preserve a coherent spell in the foreign language, or imitate its phonemic principles at some length. The textual sources for the people of Keftiu are so limited that they do not even appear with epithets or descriptions of a positive or negative kind.

**The Aamu and the Setyu**

As discussed, Egypt’s cosmographic “north” was the marshes of the Delta and its northern boundary was at the sea. After the beginning of the Middle Kingdom, the role of the northerner was newly assigned to the ʿAmw and sttyw who had represented the eastern neighbors,\(^{192}\) while the ‘northland’ had previously referred to the Egyptian Delta. This shift is visible in their lingering eastern association even into the New Kingdom, as the Shasu replaced them in the role of easterner.

An important distinction should be remembered when discussing northern ethnic group names and place names, which are misleadingly handled by the English translations of “Asiatic” and “Asia” for distinct ethnonyms and toponyms. Many toponyms that are conventionally translated as “Asia” in English publications are not lexically related to the ethnonyms translated as “Asiatic,” as the English translations are. For example, Upper and Lower Retenu (rtnw) and Naharen (nhrn)\(^{193}\) are toponyms, and the ethnic groups who resided there were differently named (ʿAmw or sttyw).

\(^{192}\)Refer to the Prophecy of Neferty on page 48.

\(^{193}\)There is, however, at least one instance of Naharen transformed into a title that is evocative of an ethnonym: nhry. Erman and Grapow, *Wörterbuch der Aegyptischen Sprache* II, 286. Gardiner, *Ancient Egyptian Onomastica* I, 173.
The Egyptians employed numerous terms and ethnonyms for their northern neighbors (Table 3). Their written records indicate that the Egyptians used the primary ethnonyms ⲱw msw[l] and sttyw to which a number of “secondary” ethnonyms could be applied, including the mntw, the hryw-snny (a nisbe adjective literally meaning: “those who are upon the sand”), and the mnsw-snny (a nisbe adjective literally meaning: “those who travel the sand”). Three of these ethnonyms (⢨w, sttyw, and mntw) appear independently in written records. Three (hryw-snny, mnsw-snny, and mntw) can be tentatively dubbed “secondary ethnonyms.” Two are explicitly linked with a desert lifestyle – it is easy to imagine how these epithets for the northern/eastern desert peoples could evolve into ethnonyms in their own right through consistent usage.

An example of the way the Egyptians used Aamu as an ‘umbrella term’ for all the northern peoples can be found in the Qadesh Battle Inscriptions. In the lengthy prayer

---

194 Phyllis Saretta, “Egyptian Perceptions of West Semites in Art and Literature during the Middle Kingdom,” (PhD diss., University of California, Berkeley, 1997), 28-34.
196 “Asiatic” in: Faulkner, A Concise Dictionary of Middle Egyptian, 255. The earliest extant instance of sttyw is inscribed on an ivory throw-stick from the 1st Dynasty tomb of Qaa, above the relief of a man. De Wit, “Enemies of the State: Perceptions of ‘otherness’ and State Formation in Egypt,” 235-6. In this work, this ethnonym will be transcribed as Setyu in English. Note, however, that its hieroglyphic orthography is different from that of the southern ethnonym sttyw, also transcribed as Setyu in English. Early uses of stt and sttyw may have been for a Delta town, before the term was expanded to include other northern peoples: William A. Ward, “Early Contacts between Egypt, Canaan, and Sinai: Remarks on the Paper by Amnon Ben-Tor,” Bulletin of the American Schools of Oriental Research 281 (1991): 12.
197 “Beduin” in: Faulkner, A Concise Dictionary of Middle Egyptian, 110. Redford credits Hermann Kees with the likely idea that this ethnyn, and the name of the Egyptian god Monthu, originate from the same root word meaning “to be wild”: Redford, “Egypt and Western Asia in the Old Kingdom,” 126 and footnote 15. In this work, this ethnyn will be transcribed as Montiu in English, following Redford.
198 “Sandfarers” in: Faulkner, A Concise Dictionary of Middle Egyptian, 133. Also commonly translated as “sand-farers” or “sand-travelers.” Redford concluded that this term was used first as an attribute of an ethnic group, and later evolved into an ethnyn of its own right: Redford, “Egypt and Western Asia in the Old Kingdom,” 126.
199 Espinel labeled them “pseudo-ethnonyms” to indicate their nominal function, but their difference from primary ethnonyms: Andrés Diego Espinel, Etnicidad y territorio en el Egipto del Reino Antiguo (Barcelona: Institut d’Estudis del Pròxim Orient Antic, 2006), 118.
200 Examples of this phenomenon occurring in modernity are the evolution of the terms: “hillbilly,” “gypsy,” and “bedouin.”
Ramesses II spoke at the battle, the king refers to all his enemies gathered there, including the Hittites and their large coalition of northerners, as Aamu:

\[ ih \ hr \ ib.k \ nn \ 5mw \ imn \ hsy \ hm.w \ ntr \]

"what is your opinion of these Aamu, O Amun, wretches who are ignorant of god?"

This observation of godlessness, or the implication that the Aamu worshiped gods unknown to the Egyptians, mirrors the descriptions of other godless foreigners or worshippers of Seth. In the eyes of the Egyptians, the Aamu did not worship the true, i.e. Egyptian, gods.

The Middle Kingdom Story of Sinuhe is a unique text that provides insight into the life of the Aamu, and more specifically, the lifestyle of an outsider (Egyptian) who successfully adopted their homeland and culture. Along Sinuhe’s journey out of Egypt he chronicles numerous places along the frontier between Egypt and the northern countries:

\[ rdi.n.i \ w3t \ n \ rd<wy.i> \ m \ hd \ dmi.n.i \ inbw-hk3 \ iry \ r \ hsf \ sttyw \ r \ ptpt \ nmiw-%s\]

“I made a path for <my> feet northwards. I reached the Walls of the Ruler, which were made in order to ward off the Setyu, in order to trample those-who-travel-the-sand.”

In the same story, when Sinuhe has cause to praise the Egyptian king (Senusret I), he says of him:

---

204 Gardiner, *Notes on the Story of Sinuhe*, 129.
205 The Aamu with which Sinuhe lived were from the land of Retenu. In Thutmose III’s Hymn of Victory they are called \( 5mw \ nw \ rtwn, \) “Aamu of Retenu”: Sethe, *Urkunden der 18. Dynastie* IV, 615.
“he does not think about the north foreign lands (for) he was made in order to
smite the Setyu, in order to trample those-who-travel-the-sand.”

The Stele of Sobek-khu includes two ethnonyms in conjunction with each other in a
record of a northern campaign of Senusret III:207

\[
\text{nn k3l.f h3swt mhtt ir.n.tw.f r hwi sštyw r ptpt nmiw-ṣ5y}
\]

Two lines later he continues his intent: 208

\[
wD3 hmf m ḥd r ṧḥt mnTw stt
\]

“his Majesty set out northwards in order to overthrow the mnTw of stt.”

On a stele near Aswan from the reign of Thutmose II, the king
named Egypt’s northern boundary at 209

\[
mHty r pHww stt,
\]

“northern (boundary) at the marshes of Setet.” The association of the north with the
marshes of the Delta in the Old and Middle Kingdoms shifted to absorb the new northern
boundary by perpetuating the association with marshes further north of the Delta.

---

208 Note that in both orthographies the plurality is indicated by (Gardiner Sign-list N 33A),
the sign for sand and other kinds of granules, rather than the typical strokes.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>'3mnw</th>
<th>sttyw</th>
<th>ḫryw-ṣ5y</th>
<th>mntw</th>
<th>nmnw-ṣ5y</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Autobiography of Wenit</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inscription of Pepy-Nakht</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teaching for Merikare</td>
<td>FIP</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inscription of Mentuhotep II</td>
<td>FIP</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prophecy of Neferty</td>
<td>MK</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instructions of Amenemhat I</td>
<td>MK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Story of Sinuhe</td>
<td>MK</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stele of Sobek-khu</td>
<td>MK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kamose Inscriptions</td>
<td>SIP</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admonitions of Ipuwer</td>
<td>SIP?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obelisk of Hatshepsut</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qadesh Inscriptions</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Northern Primary and Secondary Ethnonyms in Sample Texts
Amenhotep II erected a stele at Amada, just north of the Second Cataract, that contains the memorable account of seven Great Ones of Tikhsy who were hung on the walls of Napata in 3-sty as a display of victory:

\[ \text{dr ntt iti.n.f rsyw wψf.n.f mhtyw} \]

“since he had seized the Southerners and he had subdued the Northerners.”

This text describes the Egyptian king’s might extending to the north, as represented by the rulers of Tikhsy, and to the south, as represented by the inhabitants of 3-sty, and in both cases their inhabitants are explicitly identified according to their geographical orientation. On a stele of Ramesses II, the Setyu are again identified in the north:

\[ \text{fh sttyw hψk dmit.sn ptpt.n.f hψswt mhty} \]

“the Setyu are destroyed, their towns are captured after he trampled the northern foreign countries.”

In the Instructions of Merikare, set during the First Intermediate Period, the king describes the same Walls of the Ruler as encountered by Sinuhe, to Egypt’s east. Interestingly, although the inscription from the reign of her husband placed the “marshes of Setet” at his northern border, Hatshepsut’s obelisk at Karnak cast the Montiu of Setet in the role of the eastern neighbor:

---

210 In the Theban tomb of Amenemhab (TT 85) the inhabitants of the location Tikhsy were called Aamu. Georg Ebers, “Das Grab und die Biographie des Feldhauptmanns Amén em héb,” Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft 30 (1876) plate 2: line 20. Another negative reference to the inhabitants of Tikhsy, though again not with an ethnonym, can be found in a letter of Amenhotep II: Wolfgang Helck, Urkunden der 18. Dynastie IV (Berlin: Akademie-Verlag, 1955), 1344. For an excerpt from this letter, refer to page 91.

211 Helck, Urkunden der 18. Dynastie IV, 1297-1298.

212 From his Year 2 Stele at Aswan. Kitchen, Ramesside Inscriptions: Historical and Biographical II, 344. It is the Setyu whom Mentuhotep Nebhepetre II smites as representative of Egypt’s northern enemies in a scene from his Temple at Gebelein, alongside Setyu (southerner) and Tjehnu (westerner) men. Marochetti, The Reliefs of the Chapel of Mentuhotep at Gebelein, 51-52.


“the eastern (boundary) as far as the marshes of Setet, and the Montiu of Setet are in my grasp.”

The Prophecy of Neferty, the extant copies of which were composed during the New Kingdom but detail the events of the reign of Sneferu (4th Dynasty), contains an image of the Aamu coming from the east:

\[ iw \ hrwyw \ hpr \ hr \ i\bbti \ iw \ 5mw \ h3t \ r \ kmt \]

“The enemies appear in the east, the Aamu having descended into Egypt.”

In addition to the etymologies of the “secondary” ethnonyms hrwyw-šty and nmiw-šty that are lexically built on their associations with sand, the Aamu and Setyu as ethnic groups were associated with the desert. The foreigners in the Admonitions of Ipuwer, elsewhere identified in the text as the Setyu, are equated with the desert, and their invasion into Egypt is described: \[ ms \ d\sr \ h\st \ t \], “indeed, the desert is throughout the land.”

In the Prophecy of Neferty, the Aamu are compared to \[ i\swt \ h\st \ ], “desert herds,” that presume to rest in Egypt beside the Nile.

The Teaching for King Merikare contains advice for the new king from his elderly father, penned before the Aamu ruled in the Egyptian Delta (see ħk3w-ḥ3swr below). The text

---


220 Note the use of the “throw-stick” determinative (Gardiner Sign-list T 14), not necessary in the orthography, qualifying the foreignness of the desert.

221 From Papyrus Petersburg 1116B. Helck, *Die Prophezeiung des Nfr.tj*, 33.
contains descriptions of the environment of the *Aamu*, and classifies their lifestyle as one of hardship\(^{222}\):

\[ is \ 3\text{mw} \ hsy \ ksn \ pw \ n \ bw \ ntf \ im \]

“now, the wretched *Aamu*, it is difficult for the place which he is in.”\(^{223}\)

\[ 3\text{hw} \ w \ <m> \ mw \ st\text{3}w \ m \ h\text{t} \ 3\text{w} \ w\text{3}\text{wt} \ iry \ ksn \ m-\text{c} \ qww \]

“sufferer of water, short of trees, and many are their\(^{225}\) paths made difficult from the mountains.”

It continues\(^{226}\):

\[ n \ hmsi.f \ m \ st \ w\text{c}t \ s\text{3}t\text{3} \ n \ g\text{3}\text{wt} \ dbn. \ <w \ h\text{3}s> \ t \ m \ rdwy.fy \]

“he does not dwell in one place, but occupied by want, goes around <the desert>\(^{227}\) on his feet.”

The vocabulary used in Egyptian texts to discuss the *Aamu* and *Setyu* is typical of discussing foreignness. In terms of their character as an ethnic group, several Egyptian documents provide an image of the northerners as a quarrelsome and immoral people, described as murderers, thieves, and endless fighters. Pepi-Nakht, a man who held the

\(^{222}\) From Papyrus Petersburg 1116A. Helck, *Die Lehre für König Merikare*, 55.

\(^{223}\) This line has been much discussed, with two common interpretations: either that the *Aamu* live in a difficult environment, as would befit the following lines, or that the area itself is made difficult by the presence of the *Aamu* A. Demechik, “A Note to §141 of Sir A.H. Gardiner’s Egyptian Grammar,” *Göttinger Miscellen* 134 (1993): 29. Lichtheim, *Ancient Egyptian Literature* I, 108: note 14.

\(^{224}\) Refer to variant text from Papyrus Carlsberg VI, which contains an *m*. Helck, *Die Lehre für König Merikare*, 55.


\(^{226}\) Helck, *Die Lehre für König Merikare*, 55-56. Note that this transcription is reconstructed from two separate papyri (Papyrus Petersburg 1116A and Papyrus Carlsberg VI) due to lacunae in each.

\(^{227}\) This is Helck's interpretation, which cannot be corroborated by either of the two papyri also included in his work.
title “overseer of foreign countries” under Pepi II, records a journey to the land of the
Aamu to retrieve the body of an Egyptian official who had been killed along with his
army by:

\[\text{\textit{smw nw hryw-smy}, “the Aamu of those-who-live-upon-the-sand” and calls them} \]

\[\text{\textit{smAwh}, “ones who kill.”} \]

In the Instruction to King Merikare the Aamu are described as perpetual fighters:

\[\text{\textit{iw.f hr c'h3 \textit{dr rk hr n kni.n.f n gr kni.tw.f n smi.n.f hrw m c'h3}} \]

“he is always fighting since the time of Horus. He does not conquer; moreover, he
is not conquered. He does not announce the day of fighting.”

In the Prophecy of Neferty, the author describes their thieving lifestyle:

\[\text{\textit{hpj smw m hp's.sn sh.sn ibw ntyw hr smw nhm.sn htrw hr sk3}} \]

“the Aamu travel by their strength. They terrorize the hearts of those upon the
harvest (farmers). They seize the ploughing oxen.”

The label of the Aamu as a thief is reiterated in the Instruction to King Merikare:

\[\text{\textit{mi t'w sh'n smi'yt}} \]

“like one who steals, who is repelled by society.”

A large variety of animals were used in comparison with the northern peoples
(Table 4), for a variety of purposes. In the Instructions of Amenemhat, the king boasts:

\[\text{\textit{}}\]

---

228 Sethe, *Urkunden des Alten Reichs*, 132.
229 Sethe, *Urkunden des Alten Reichs*, 134.
232 From Papyrus Petersburg 1116A. Helck, *Die Lehre für König Merikare*, 56.
235 Note that the determinative for oxen is not a bovine animal, but the Seth animal.
236 From Papyrus Petersburg 1116A. Helck, *Die Lehre für König Merikare*, 56.
“I caused that I make the Setyu go walking as dogs.” (or “do the dog-walk”) \(^\text{238}\)

Causing them to behave as dogs before the Egyptian king projects an image of submission, obedience and loyalty. Neferty prophesies that the Aamu will immigrate to live in northern Egypt alongside the Egyptians like marsh birds \(^\text{239}\):

\[
iw \, 3\text{pdw} \, drd\, r\, mst\, m\, \text{sdt}\, \text{nt}\, \text{t}\, \text{b-}\, \text{mhw}
\]

“stranger birds will breed in a plot of the Delta.”

A similar description was used by a man in a letter to his wayward son on an ostracon found at Deir el-Medina, in which the Aamu are compared to birds living in the Delta. \(^\text{240}\)

The Annals of Thutmose III records the defeat of Megiddo \(^\text{241}\) by the Egyptian army \(^\text{242}\):

\[
skw.\, sn\, sdr\, m\, stsy\, \text{mi}\, \text{rmw}\, m\, k^\text{c}\, \text{b}\, \text{snw}
\]

“their troops lying prostrate like fish in the bend of a net.”

Using birds and fish as figurative expressions visualizes the chaotic community of foreigners that the Egyptians perceived they created for themselves even away from their homeland. The imagery of schools of fish or flocks of birds evoke their number and their behavior.

---


\(^{238}\) Also refer to the land of Retenu described behaving as dogs that belong to the Egyptian king in the Story of Sinuhe: Hans Goedicke, “Three Passages in the Story of Sinuhe,” *Journal of the American Research Center in Egypt* 23 (1986): 173. For further discussion of the dog as an allegory in Egyptian texts, see: José M. Galán, “What is he, the dog?” *Ugarit-Forschungen* 25 (1993).

\(^{239}\) From Papyrus Petersburg 1116B. Helck, *Die Prophezeiung des Nfr.tj*, 27.


\(^{241}\) The inhabitants of Megiddo were Setyu as was mentioned in the record of Thutmose III’s siege of Megiddo: Sethe, *Urkunden der 18. Dynastie IV*, 661.

Merikare is advised by his father that the *Aamu* is\(^{243}\):

\[ msh \ hr \ mryt.f \], “a crocodile on his bank.” The crocodile on its bank evokes an image of watchfulness and the perpetual threat of an attack. The Instruction of Dua-Khety (also known as The Satire of the Trades), describes a tradesman who journeys abroad into foreign lands and is at risk of attack by wild animals or thieves. He was perpetually\(^{244}\):

\[ snd.w \ m3lw \ hn\^{*} \ c\text{3}mw \]

“afraid of lions and the *Aamu*.”

Lions and crocodiles were both used as clear analogies for strength, formidability and danger. The Egyptian king was also compared to lions and crocodiles in royal inscriptions.\(^{245}\) These texts illustrate that the Egyptians perceived the *Aamu* as a potential threat on a political level, and also on a personal level.

**The ‘Hyksos’**

The ancient group known widely as ‘the Hyksos,’ are deceptively studied in Egyptology as a unique ethnic group, although they were clearly identified in ancient Egyptian literature as *Aamu*.\(^{246}\) The name ‘Hyksos’ originates from a Hellenized version of the Egyptian title \[ hkw3w-\text{hswt} \] or “rulers of foreign lands.”\(^{247}\) The *Aamu* who ruled northern Egypt during the Second Intermediate Period adopted the use of this title, although the title \[ hkw3 \] itself predates them\(^{248}\) and appeared in royal and non-

---

\(^{243}\) Helck, *Die Lehre für König Merikare*, 59.

\(^{244}\) Wolfgang Helck, *Die Lehre des dw3-Htjj II* (Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz, 1970), 94.

\(^{245}\) Shih-Wei Hsu, “Figurative Expressions Referring to Animals in Royal Inscriptions of the 18th Dynasty,” *Journal of Egyptian History* 6 (2013): 10 and Table 1.

\(^{246}\) For a discussion of possible lexical origins of *Aamu*, see: Saretta, “Egyptian Perceptions of West Semites in Art and Literature During the Middle Kingdom,” 18-25; Donald B. Redford, *Egypt, Canaan, and Israel in Ancient Times* (Cairo: American University in Cairo Press, 1995), 32.


royal compositions as a term for unspecified northern rulers. Because the *hk3w-h3swt* embody a unique interaction between the Egyptians and the *Aamu*, (the *hk3-h3swt* were foreigners living within traditional Egyptian geographical boundaries) they are discussed, albeit briefly, in the present work separately from the references to the *Aamu* who lived outside of Egypt.

In the Inscription of Kamose, king of the Theban 17th Dynasty, the references to the *Aamu* who called themselves kings in northern Egypt carries a distinct tone of distaste and unsuitability:

\[\text{wr m lw} \text{t-w}_r \text{t k} \text{m kš hmsi.kwi sm3.kwi m śi\'m nhsy s nb hr fdk.f}\]
\[m \text{tš kmt psš tš hn"į.}1\]

"a Great One" is in Avaris and another is in *Kush*. I am seated being united with an *Aamu* and a *Nehesy*. Each man possessing his portion in this Egypt, the land is divided with me!"

As foreigners who dared to enter Egypt and live off of Egyptian land and resources, the *Hyksos* are depicted as a destructive force in Egypt. The Stele of Kamose, an Egyptian king in southern Egypt at the end of the Second Intermediate Period, contains his judgment concerning:

---


250 Though in either case, the *Aamu* were “north” of the Egyptians – Kamose says he *hdi.n.l.*, "sails northwards" to meet them. Alan H. Gardiner, “The Defeat of the Hyksos by Kamose: The Carnarvon Tablet, No. 1,” *The Journal of Egyptian Archaeology* 3 (1916): 104.


252 It is interesting to note that while *hk3-h3swt* was the origin of 'Hyksos,' here the Egyptian king referred to them with a separate political title: *wr*.

“the destruction they make inside this Egypt, they who made them (Egyptians) serve for the Aamu when they overran Egypt, their mistress.”

Although she ruled Egypt years after the ḥk3-ḥ3swt had already been expelled from the Delta, Hatshepsut’s obelisk at Karnak perpetuated this characteristic:

iw ts.n.i stpt ḫ3t-ς dr wn ḟ3mw m-k3b n t3-mḥw ḫwty-ṛrt ṣm3w m-k3b.sn ḫr ṣḥn
iryt

“I raised up what was ruined, for the first time since the Aamu were in the midst of the Delta at Avaris, the foreigners in their midst destroying what was made.”

Kamose recorded it was his desire to nḥm, “rescue” or “take away” Egypt from the foreign rulers.

The narrative of Apophis (an ḣ3m) and Seqenenre (an Egyptian) introduces another element of their ideology:

[*h*.n] nsw ipp[y] ḫ.w.s. ḫr ir n.f swth m nb iw.f tm b3k n ntr nb nty m p3

---


255 Spalinger noted that Avaris is demarcated by the foreign land determinative (Gardiner Sign-list N 25) rather than the typical city determinative (Gardiner Sign-list O 49), which conveys a political statement regarding the foreignness of Avaris’ rulers. Anthony Spalinger, “A Garland of Determinatives,” *The Journal of Egyptian Archaeology* 94 (2008): 142.


“[then] King$^{259}$ Apophis, l.p.h., made for himself Seth as lord, and he did not serve any god who was in the land in its entirety, [except] Seth.”

Seth as the god of deserts, and of foreignness, naturally associated with the Egyptian neighbors, regardless of whom they worshiped in actuality.$^{260}$ Hatshepsut’s obelisk adds that they were not ordained rulers of Egypt, i.e., not Egyptians because$^{261}$:

$hkJn.sn.m hmt r\ddot{l}$, “they ruled without Re.”

The ethnic groups discussed in this chapter are by no means the only northern peoples the Egyptians were aware of or wrote about. These were selected because of the multitude of Egyptian sources about them and the insight they provide into Egypt’s conceptualization of their foreign neighbors. Other northern ethnic groups include the Kharu,$^{262}$ the Fenku$^{263}$ and possibly the Apiru$^{264}$ (see Appendix).

**Summary**

As was the case with Egypt’s western neighbors, but to a lesser degree, the English all-inclusive translations “Asiatic” and “Asia” for northern ethnonyms obscure the ethnic distinctions being made by the ancient Egyptians in their texts. This obscurity is exacerbated by the Egyptians’ use of seemingly overarching terminology of their own for northerners who inhabited a number of individual homelands, but who were identified by the same proper names. Three main features that identify an ethnic group can be found in Egyptian texts concerning Egypt’s northern neighbors:

---

$^{259}$ Note that although he was a foreigner, the composer of the narrative chose to give him the proper Egyptian royal title.

$^{260}$ Note the association of the Westerners with Seth, as well.


$^{262}$ The Kharu sometimes performed the role of the northern neighbor in royal cosmographic statements. For examples, refer to pages 11 and 60 of the present work.


$^{264}$ John A. Wilson, “The Eperu of the Egyptian Inscriptions,” *The American Journal of Semitic Languages and Literatures* 49 (1933) – Wilson considers ‘prw as a word that embodied foreignness to the Egyptians, but did not refer to a unique ethnic group.
1. The use of common proper names, though with some overlap, for referring to individual ethnic groups (Aamu, Setyu, Keftiui, Sherden, Shekelesh, Tjeker, Peleset, Danuna, Lukka, Ekwesh, Teresh)

2. The awareness of cultural elements, including a language dissimilar to the language spoken by the Egyptians (Keftiui); religious practices dissimilar to those of the Egyptians, either by their association with Seth (the ‘Hyksos’) or their ignorance of Egyptian gods (Aamu); practice of a custom specific to some ethnic groups (Sherden, Shekelesh, Ekwesh); and cultural or political leaders with tailored titles (Peleset, Tjeker, people of Alasiya)

3. The awareness of homelands, even multiple, for each ethnic group (Aamu, Setyu, Montiu, Keftiui, Peleset, Tjeker, Danuna, Alasiya)
Chapter 4. The Easterners

The pre-dynastic docket of King Den smiting the Easterners\textsuperscript{265} illustrates the early awareness of foreign peoples located to the east.\textsuperscript{266} A spell from the Papyrus Bremner-Rhind for overthrowing Apophis makes particular mention of $\text{mdt t hsmw nfr}$, “Easterners of every desert”\textsuperscript{267} in the list of enemies to be defeated on behalf of the Egyptian king.

\textit{The Shasu}\textsuperscript{268}

As was established in the previous chapter, until the Middle Kingdom the $\text{Bdwb}$, $\text{mtw}$, $\text{hrw}$ and $\text{mntw}$ (all those ethnonyms conventionally translated as the English “Asiatic”) were categorized as eastern neighbors. Befitting this, the \textit{Shasu} did not play the role of the Easterners until relatively late in Egyptian chronology. The \textit{Shasu}\textsuperscript{269} are found in Egyptian texts with the standard orthography $\text{Shasu}$, beginning in the New Kingdom reign of Thutmose II.\textsuperscript{270} There are two proposed origins of their name: a \textit{nisbe} derived from the Egyptian word $\text{nsw}$ meaning “to travel”\textsuperscript{271} or “to traverse,”\textsuperscript{272} thought to refer to their supposed nomadic lifestyle, or from

\begin{flushright}
\textsuperscript{265} It reads: $\text{sp tpy skr iobt}$, “first time of smiting the Easterners.” De Wit, “Enemies of the State:
\textsuperscript{266} Although, by artistic characteristics, the Easterner that the king is smiting was probably an \textit{Aamu}.
\textsuperscript{268} Erman and Grapow, \textit{Wörterbuch der Aegyptischen Sprache} IV, 412.
\textsuperscript{269} For a complete collection of the \textit{Shasu} appearing in Egyptian written sources, see: Giveon, \textit{Les Bédouins Shosou Des Documents Égytiens}. Also see a follow-up article: William A. Ward, “The Shasu “Bedouin”: Notes on a Recent Publication,” \textit{Journal of the Economic and Social History of the Orient} 15 (1972).
\textsuperscript{270} Note that Ward did not consider the \textit{Shasu} to be a true ethnic group (he calls them “wandering free-booters”), while Giveon treats them as a unique people group and attempted to extrapolate their culture, religion and language from the limited resources.
\textsuperscript{271} Giveon, \textit{Les Bédouins Shosou Des Documents Égytiens}, 219. For a possible attestation of the
\end{flushright}
the Semitic שָׁסָה, *shasah*, “to plunder” or “to pillage.”

The name *Shasu* was used by the Egyptians for both the ethnic group and for their homeland. They appear in Egyptian written records relatively late in Egyptian chronology, and their assignment to a cardinal direction in the Egyptian political world occurs later still. While not explicit, a portion of the Annals of Thutmose III contains mention of encountering *Shasu* along a route north of Egypt:

> rnpt 39 ɪst ẖm.f ḥr ḫist ṛnw m ṭḏt mḥty 14 욱 n ḥt tt ḫt šmnt [... n] iht ḫrw n ššw
> “Year 39: now, His Majesty was at the foreign land of Retenu on the 14th northern campaign of victory after going […] the fallen of *Shasu.***

In the historical narrative of the Battle of *Qadesh*, Ramesses II and his army encounter two *Shasu* spies (Fig. 4) allied with the Hittite army while traveling north to *Qadesh*:

> ii.in ššw 2 m n3 n mhwt ššw r ḫd n ḫm.f m nšy.n snw nty m ṭšw n mhwt m-di ḫ rw n ḫt ḫi ḫw n ḫm.f ḫd ḫw n ḫm.f rt qškw n pr-ŠŠ w.s. mtw.n ḫw n n ššw n m-dī ḫ rw n ḫt
> “Then two *Shasu* arrived from the tribe of *Shasu* in order to speak to His Majesty, saying: ‘Our brethren who are the magnates of the tribe who are in the hand of (in

---


275 Kitchen, *Ramesside Inscriptions: Historical and Biographical*II, 103-104.
allegiance with) the fallen of Hatti caused that we come to his Majesty in order to say: we will be servants for the Pharaoh, l.p.h., and we will flee from the hand of the fallen of Hatti."\textsuperscript{276}

This text reveals two further characteristics of the \textit{Shasu} people that the Egyptians preserved in their record of the event. First, that the \textit{Shasu} could be subdivided into \textit{mhwt},\textsuperscript{277} “families” or “tribes,”\textsuperscript{278} which were identified by individual names (although in this case the family name is the same as the ethnonym). Second, that the highest political authority among the \textit{Shasu} were referred to as \textit{mj}\textsuperscript{279} “magnate”\textsuperscript{280} by the Egyptians instead of one of the usual titles for foreign rulers: \textit{wr} or \textit{hk}. This linguistic distinction from the leaders of other foreign peoples no doubt reveals the perceived difference between their political hierarchy, which made \textit{Shasu} leaders deserving of a distinct title.\textsuperscript{281}

Additionally, it may reflect the level of respect the Egyptians had for these lesser-

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
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\end{figure}

\textsuperscript{276} Note that this transcription is reconstructed from two sources (Luxor Temple and the Ramesseum) due to lacunae in each record.
\textsuperscript{277} Erman and Grapow, \textit{Wörterbuch der Aegyptischen Sprache} II, 114.
\textsuperscript{278} Lesko, \textit{A Dictionary of Late Egyptian} I, 196.
\textsuperscript{279} Another example of this title used for the \textit{Shasu} elite exists at Medinet Habu: \textit{mj n snwy n Š}[sw].
\textsuperscript{280} Faulkner, \textit{A Concise Dictionary of Middle Egyptian}, 37.
\textsuperscript{281} See also the \textit{Peleset} and \textit{Tjeker} on page 38.
influential leaders, that they were not regarded powerful enough for the title “Great One,” or “ruler.”

The above two examples of the Egyptians encountering the Shasu in the north correspond well to a Ramesside text that associates the Shasu with the northern/eastern people the Aamu. The association is made in the Papyrus Anastasi I, a “satirical letter”\(^{282}\) addressed to a soldier-scribe,\(^{283}\) in which a groom is described\(^ {284}\):

\[
\text{itt.n.f spyt sw ćk m n3 nty bin sw šbn m n3 mhwt ššsw ily.f sw m k3i n ć3m}
\]

“He carried off what remained and he joined with those who are evil; he consorts with the Shasu tribe, and he makes himself in the manner of an Aam.”

These texts reveal the placement of the Shasu in the Egyptian worldview was partially a northern one. Contrary to these categorizations, the Shasu were alternatively associated with the east. On a stele of Ramesses II from Tanis, the Shasu are named as Egypt’s eastern neighbors in the Egyptian cosmos as divided into four cardinal directions\(^ {285}\):

\[
mki.k kmt wsḥ tś.k hǐk.k ḥr kš thnw ššsw iww ḥr ib wįd-wr
\]

“You protect Egypt; your boundary is extended wide. You capture (or plunder) Kharu, Kush, Tjehnu, Shasu, and the islands in the midst of the sea.”

Following the formula of naming a foreign representative from each cardinal direction, it is apparent that the Shasu are identified here as the eastern neighbor. As we have already seen, the Tjehnu were consistently western neighbors, and Kush was unequivocally located at the southern boundary. Here, Kharu plays the northern compliment to Kush,

---

\(^{282}\) Dated by Gardiner to the reign of Seti II. Alan H. Gardiner, *Egyptian Hieratic Texts - Series I: Literary Texts of the New Kingdom I* (Leipzig: J. C. Hinrichs, 1911), 1.


and the *Shasu* play the eastern compliment to the *Tjehnu*. Another inscription of Ramesses II again placed the *Shasu* in the East. The king is described transplanting the *Aamu* (northerners) to the south of Egypt, and the *Nehesyu* (Southerners) to the north of Egypt, and doing likewise to Egypt’s eastern and western neighbors 286:

\[
\text{di.n.f } \hat{\text{s}}\hat{\text{s}}w \ r \ t\hat{\text{b}} \ h'\hat{\text{b}}t \ g\hat{\text{r}}.n.f \ t\hat{\text{h}}n.w \ h'r \ n3 \ tswt \ m\hat{\text{h}} \ n\hat{\text{t}}w \ n \ k\hat{\text{d}}.n.f
\]

“He placed *Shasu* in the land of the west. He established *Tjehnu* upon the hills, filling the fortresses he had built.”

Whether or not this records the actual forced displacement of foreign captives, or only ensured the symbolic disassociation of each ethnic group from their homeland, thereby disseminating their threat of invasion, is unclear. The *tswt* “hills” 287 in this text appear several other times in Egyptian records that describe the *Shasu* homeland, and are discussed below.

The Egyptian awareness of the *Shasu* homeland *t3 *$\hat{s}$sw is frequently expressed in topographical lists of the Eighteenth Dynasty. 288 A list of *Shasu* toponyms at the Temple of Soleb from the reign of Amenhotep III 289 provide the names of several localities in the land of the *Shasu*, and potentially the ethnic divisions that inhabited each locality 290:

\[
t3 \ *smt \ \text{and} \ t3 \ *yhw
\]

---


287 *tswt* has conventionally been translated “hills” or “ridges” but a recent analysis recommended the translation: “sand dunes.” Vassiliev, “The Localization of the Shasu-Land of Ramesses II’s Rhetorical Texts,” 166.


A further allusion to the *Shasu* borders occurs in the Wilbour Papyrus, from the reign of Ramesses V. The text describes an Egyptian expedition of the areas surrounding *Shasu*, and uniquely includes ordinal directions to emphasize all of the areas explored, including: $mh$-$imnt$, “north-west” and $rsy$-$imnt$, “south-west.” References like these to the *Shasu* homeland and specific places therein confirm that the Egyptians perceived of the *Shasu* as an ethnic group of their own – sharing a unique name with their place of origin.

The hills of *Shasu*-land previously mentioned appear in the records of Seti I’s war against the *Shasu*:

```
 n3 n hrw n ššsw šn.sn bds n3y.sn 3w n mhwtd dm m bwr w 3h 3hr n3 [n] tswh n hr šsp.sn šh3 ḫmnw w ë 3hr sm3 sn-nw.f bwr hm.sn hwp n 3h
```

"The fallen of the *Shasu* are plotting rebellion; their magnates of the tribes are gathered in one place, standing upon the hills of *Kharu*. They initiate turmoil and conflict; one therein killing his fellow. They have no regard for the laws of the palace."

These hills are referenced later in an inscription from the Ramesside temple at Tell el-

---

292 Note that ordinal directions are extremely rare in ancient Egyptian texts.
295 Note that this time the hills inhabit a northern foreign land, *Kharu*. This may indicate the *Shasu* territorial boundaries overlapped with those of the *Kharu*, or that the geographical feature was a characteristic of a larger region.
Retaba in Wadi Tumilat:

\[ \text{ir hryt ⁷t m t³ n ₄₄sw h₃k.f n₃y.sn tₕₗt sm³ hr.sn} \]

“Making a great slaughter in the land of Shasu, he plunders their hills and slays them.”

The repeated mention of the \textit{tswt} in the land of the \textit{Shasu} stresses that they were a principal feature of the \textit{Shasu} landscape in the Egyptian conception of the \textit{Shasu} homeland. These “hills” may have been a “descriptive placename”\footnote{Kitchen, \textit{Ramesside Inscriptions: Historical and Biographical} II, 304: line 14. Giveon, \textit{Les Bédouins Shosou Des Documents Égyptiens}, 114.} used by the \textit{Shasu} to refer to a specific, or even a number of specific locations in their homeland that the Egyptians were aware of and adapted for use.

The satirical letter in \textit{Papyrus Anastasi I} contains advice from one scribe to another regarding travel and work. In one section, the author attempts to illustrate his experience to the other scribe by describing his travels in foreign places. Among the long-winded narration is a description of other elements of the \textit{Shasu} homeland:\footnote{Bright, “What IS a Name? Reflections on Onomastics,” 674-677.}

\[ \text{bw ṣmt.k r ₇ n ₄₄sw hryt ¹³ pdt m₃₃ [bw] d₉₅s.k w₃t r p₃ mgr pt kk.ti m hrw sw rwd m ₃wn-t ḥr i-n-r-n ₄₄ p₉₉.w ḥrt ₄₄₄₄ t miww r ₃byw ḫmtw inh.w m ₄₄₄₄sw ḥr w₃t.f} \]

“You have not gone to the region of the \textit{Shasu}, with the troops of the army. You have [not] walked the road to the \textit{Mgr}; the sky being dark when day, and it is
prosperous with *awenet* trees,\(^{299}\) and oaks, and cedars that reach the sky; lions are more numerous than leopards and hyenas,\(^{300}\) and being surrounded by *Shasu* on his road.”

Other comments on the *Shasu* homeland come from additional Ramesside sources. A series of letters written by the High Priest of Amun Amonrasonther during the reign of Ramesses IX\(^{301}\) describes\(^{302}\):

\[ n^3 \ hrw \ n \ $\delta$sw \ n \ mw-$kd$ \ nty \ hms \ m \ k$h$k \]

“The fallen of the *Shasu* of the place of inverted waters\(^{303}\) who reside in *QehQeh.*”

The text goes on to include the intentions of these specific *Shasu*, who\(^{304}\):

\[ wn \ itt \ r \ th$^3$ \ $p^3$ \ $B$ \ n \ kmt \]

“were coming in order to violate the land of Egypt.”

The same word *th$^3$* “to violate” or “to transgress”\(^{305}\) was used to describe the *Tjehnu* who did not respect the boundaries between Egypt and the west; in both situations foreigners had intentions of entering Egypt and this was met with contempt by the Egyptians because it disrupted the natural order of the world.

Only a few written sources give us an indication of how the Egyptians perceived the *Shasu* culture. The Great Harris Papyrus contains a clear list of cultural elements of

---

\(^{299}\) Gardiner guesses this is a cypress tree. Staves (\[ \text{囗} \]) were made from this tree. Gardiner, *Egyptian Hieratic Texts* I, 21.

\(^{300}\) Gardiner identifies only one other use of the word, which included a dog determinative. Gardiner, *Egyptian Hieratic Texts* I, 21. See also: Erman and Grapow, *Wörterbuch der Aegyptischen Sprache* III, 198.


\(^{305}\) Lesko, *A Dictionary of Late Egyptian* II, 216.
the Shasu lifestyle that were captured by Ramesses III⁵⁰⁶:

\[
\text{iry.i shkshkt s'\textit{r} m mhwt s\textit{3}sw h\textit{f.j} n\textit{3}y.sn mhrw h\textit{3}r w m}
\]
\[
rmt.w h\textit{t.w n\textit{3}y.sn i3wt m-mitt}
\]

“I destroy Seir, namely, Shasu tribes. I plunder their tents(?) with their people, their possessions and their herds likewise.”

This text in conjunction with Papyrus Anastasi VI, which contains a letter from a scribe regarding the Egyptian border, creates an image of the Shasu’s nomadic lifestyle. The scribe reports that the Shasu were entering Egypt to use Egyptian pools.⁵⁰⁷ The scribe also assigned a specific place of origin to these Shasu⁵⁰⁸:

\[
gr\textit{h.n m [dit] s}\textit{s} n\textit{3} mhwt s\textit{3}sw n idwm p\textit{i} htmw
\]

“we have finished permitting the Shasu tribes of Edom to pass the fortress….”

In the “satirical letter” of Papyrus Anastasi I there is a vague and critical portrayal of the Shasu. A curious portion of the text also seems to refer to their physical size⁵⁰⁹:

\[
t\textit{3} g\textit{3}wt nh\textit{3}.ti m s\textit{3}sw k\textit{3}p h\textit{r}y n\textit{3} b\textit{3}i\textit{t}^{\text{310}} \text{wn im.sn n mh} 4 n^\circ 5\text{ fnd.sn}^{\text{311}} \text{n rdwy hs3 hr}
\]

³⁰⁷ Their intention was to access Egyptian wells \(r s'\text{nh} n\text{3}w h\text{f.j} n\text{3}y.sn\) “in order to sustain their herds.” Alan H. Gardiner, Late Egyptian Miscellanies (Brussels: Bibliotheca Aegyptiaca, 1937), 76: line 15.
³¹⁰ Lesko, A Dictionary of Late Egyptian I, 126.
bw ʿn ib.sn bw sdm.w n swnwn
“The narrow road being infested with Shasu hidden under the thickets; some among them are either of four cubits or of five, from their nose to feet; fierce of face, their heart is not friendly, and they do not listen to flattery.”

Likely because the Shasu only appear in records of a single war against the Egyptians, and other interactions with them were minimal, there were seemingly few opportunities to apply the vocabulary of humiliation to them as an ethnic group. In the inscriptions of Seti I’s war against them, they are called ınd bštw “rebels,” and hrw “fallen,” as was typical of describing foreign enemies. Hans Goedicke proposed that the phrase šsḥ ḫr ḥst, “antelope in the desert,” in Papyrus Lansing might be a metaphorical reference to the Shasu. His argument is strengthened by the orthographic similarities between the words including the use of (Gardiner Sign-list Aa 18) in both, and by the intrinsic link between a desert animal and nomadism. But, as we have seen from the textual evidence presented, the Shasu were not more greatly associated with the desert than any other foreign people.

**The Puntites**

The land of Punt is frequently attested in Egyptian written records, first in the Palermo Stone and consistently afterward until the Late Period as the

---

311 Gardiner suggests this word is a corruption of fnd, “nose.” Gardiner, *Egyptian Hieratic Texts* I, 71.
313 Epigraphic Survey, *Reliefs and Inscriptions at Karnak* IV, plate 5: line 12.
315 Epigraphic Survey, *Reliefs and Inscriptions at Karnak* IV, plate 3: line 3; plate 5: line 13;
316 Gardiner, *Late Egyptian Miscellanies*, 102: line 11.
317 Hans Goedicke, “Papyrus Lansing 3,9-3,10,” *Journal of the American Research Center in Egypt* 7 (1968): 129. The šsḥ is typically considered to be the bubalis antelope.
destination of Egyptian expeditions and the origin of valued commodities.\textsuperscript{321} Punt carried a symbolic significance, as the source of exotic goods including myrrh, which the Egyptians considered ritually sacred and invaluable, to the extent that Punt was often referred to in the Egyptian language as: \textit{\textit{t3-ntr}}, “god’s land.”\textsuperscript{322} An examination of the textual evidence, however, reveals an odd absence of Punt’s inhabitants themselves, especially for a location so highly respected by the Egyptians. There are no extant records of wars against Punt, or of Puntites immigrating to Egypt in large groups. Additionally, the great difficulty of reaching distant Punt lessened the actuality of frequent interactions with the Puntites. These facts greatly affected their presence (or lack of it) in Egyptian written records.

Having reached Punt, however, the Egyptians recognized the Puntites as advantageous in obtaining valuable goods. Egyptian expeditions reached Punt both over land and by sea.\textsuperscript{323} Upon reaching Punt, Egyptians established their tents\textsuperscript{324}:

\[
m \textit{htyw-5ntyw nw pwnt hr-gswy wd-[wr]}
\]

“in the myrrh terraces of Punt beside the Sea.”

Aside from Punt’s association with the sea, in this case the Red Sea at Egypt’s eastern border, Punt was also consistently associated with the east, the sunrise, and the

\begin{thebibliography}{99}


\bibitem{324} Edouard Naville, \textit{The Temple of Deir el Bahari III} (London: Egypt Exploration Fund, 1898), plate 69.
\end{thebibliography}
horizon. On the Poetical Stele of Thutmose III, already discussed for assigning Keftiu and Isy to the west, Punt, called here “god’s land.” is located in the east:

\[\text{ii.n.i di.i titti.k t3 b3by hnd.k ntyw m ww nw t3-ntr}\]

“I came to cause you to trample on the eastern land; you trample those who are in the districts of god’s land.”

On the stele of Amenhotep III from his mortuary temple, Punt is located at the sunrise. This time, Punt is described as multiple “countries”:

\[\text{di.i hr.i r wbn bi3yt.i n.k di.i iwt n.k h3swt nw pwnt}\]

“I turn my face to the sunrise, I make a marvel for you: I make come to you the countries of Punt.”

Despite Punt’s association with the Red Sea and the sunrise, Punt also exhibited associations with the south and southern peoples. The base of Hatshepsut’s fallen obelisk at Karnak was the first to locate Punt to the south:

\[\text{tAS.i rsy r idb nw pwnt, “my southern boundary is at the lands of Punt.”}\]

Here, again, Punt inhabited a plurality of lands, perhaps indicating it stretched across a number of cultural territories. The only Late Period source to mention Punt is a stele from an unknown reign

---

326 Sethe, Urkunden der 18. Dynastie IV, 615: lines 10-12.
327 Punt, located at the sunrise, acts as the eastern element of the cosmos in this Hymn. Petrie, Six Temples at Thebes, plate 12: line 30.
329 Much ink has been spilt in an effort to coax Punt’s actual geographical location from the expedition texts and reliefs. Kitchen insists that Punt was in East Africa: Kitchen, “The Elusive Land of Punt Revisited,” 29. Meeks makes the case that Punt was in Arabia: Meeks, “Locating Punt,” 78. Punt probably embodied a massive, shifting region; it remained sufficient in Egyptian written records to associate Punt with the east, and place the great emphasis on the commodities themselves they obtained. Put cogently by Jacke Phillips: “…it is not inconceivable that the term was successfully applied to several areas trading the same products to Egypt: Punt equals myrrh, therefore myrrh equals Punt.” Jacke Phillips, “Punt and Aksum: Egypt and the Horn of Africa,” The Journal of African History 38 (1997): 439.
of the 26th Dynasty that corroborates Hatshepsut’s assignment of Punt to the south, and connected Punt to the source of the inundation:

\[ nn \] m33 nn nn sdm nn hwit r dw n pwnt 5nd pw hwit m šw rsy

“this is [not] seen, this is not heard – Rainfall upon the mountain of Punt, it is scarce, namely rain, in the southern lakes.”

Despite Punt’s many attestations in Egyptian historical and religious compositions, the Egyptians’ perceptions of the Puntites’ ethnic identity is conspicuously silent. In the Hymn to Amun from Papyrus Leiden I 350, the inhabitants of Punt are simply labeled \[ imyw pwnt \], “those who are in Punt,” and the only further description is of them bringing sweet-smelling incense to the god. The 5th Dynasty autobiography of Harkhuf describes a dancing dwarf from Yam that was brought to Egypt for an anxious King Pepi II, likened to the one brought from Punt for King Isesi, although nothing else is said about it. In the autobiography, Punt is called the

\[ t3ḥtyw, \] “land of the horizon-dwellers,” an epithet used not only for distant places but also for the realm of the Egyptian gods. A stele of Mentuhotep IV dedicated to Min in Wadi Hammamat provides an additional association of tḥ-ntr with the horizon, and the realm of the gods:

\[ šps p₃wty ḫnty st m t3ḥtyw sḥ ntr ḫnk m nḥ sšy ḫry ntr w3ẖ ntr pn im.f st.f wẖ bt nt šḫmḥ-ib ḫryt-tp ḫsmooth tḥ-ntr \]

---

“O Venerable one, primeval god, foremost of the position in the land of the horizon-dwellers, the palace of the god is presented with life, the sacred nest of Horus in which this god is refreshed is his pure place of enjoyment upon the hills of god’s land.”

Amun calls himself the creator of Punt in the inscriptions at Deir el-Bahari:  
ir.. n.<i> n.i s<y> r sibly ib.i, “<f> made it for myself in order for my heart to tarry.” These texts reveal Punt’s uniqueness among the neighboring lands and peoples known to the Egyptians. Because Punt was located at the south-easternmost horizon, at essentially the ‘edge’ of the human world, it warranted a special, divine designation of “god’s land.” As an extension, it is possible that, in the minds of the Egyptians, the inhabitants of “god’s land” were endowed with some sacredness.

Although the texts and reliefs are incomplete and poorly preserved, the most attractive and complete record of Egyptian-Puntite relations is from the 18th Dynasty expedition to Punt recorded at Hatshepsut’s mortuary temple of Deir el-Bahrari. In only two instances at Deir el-Bahari, however, are the inhabitants of Punt referred to by an ethnonym: pwntyw, “Puntites,” and nw pwntyw, “of the Puntites” (referring to a commodity) and nothing meaningful is said about their ethnicity. The inscription lists a group of Puntites brought back to Egypt with their children by this expedition, but they are only called mryt, “subjects” or “serfs.” The Egyptians were, however, aware of leaders among the Puntites. Their leaders were called wr, “Great One,” as was common for labeling foreign rulers of moderate influence. A register of reliefs at Deir el-Bahari contains a row of men who are labeled

---

337 Naville, The Temple of Deir el Bahari III, plate 84.
338 Note that the second ir should be read as the r of purpose.
339 See also Amun’s titles associating him with Punt and Medja on page 76.
341 Naville, The Temple of Deir el Bahari III, plate 77.
342 From Amun’s speech to the Queen: Naville, The Temple of Deir el Bahari III, plate 84: line 15.
343 Below the trees: Naville, The Temple of Deir el Bahari III, plate 78.
344 Erman and Grapow, Wörterbuch der Aegyptischen Sprache II, 106.
345 Naville, The Temple of Deir el Bahari III, plate 69.
wrw nw pwnt, “Great Ones of Punt,” below a register of Southerners also labeled wrw, “Great Ones,” of Irem and Nemiu.\(^{346}\)

The Deir el-Bahari texts include a curious epithet unique to the Puntites\(^{347}:\)
\[\text{hbstyw, “bearded.”}\] The physical characteristic “bearded” was also used to describe myrrh harvesters in the Ptolemaic Period, and the Egyptian king himself when he offered myrrh to the gods.\(^{348}\) The beard may have been a physical feature that the Egyptians associated with the gods, and so became associated with the myrrh (and Puntites) that was harvested for them.\(^{349}\) In another place, the Puntites’ submission to the Egyptian queen is likened to the behavior of tswm, “dogs.”\(^{350}\) It is interesting to note that in the inscriptions at Deir el-Bahari, Punt and Puntites are not given negative epithets of any kind, while Kush is called hst, “wretched” or “defeated,” within the same text Punt is mentioned.\(^{351}\) This may be due to the simple fact that Punt never necessitated defeating or subduing, as the word hst implies.\(^{352}\)

A unique attribution of ethnicity to the land of Punt is briefly alluded to in the Festival of Min at Medinet Habu.\(^{353}\) A man who takes part in the Festival and solely recites a hymn to Min is labeled\(^{354}: nths n pwnt, “Nehesy of Punt.”\(^{355}\) As is explored in the next chapter, the term Nehesy(u) was used by the

---


\(^{347}\) Naville, *The Temple of Deir el Bahari* III, plate 84: line 15.


\(^{350}\) See, for example, the deceased assuming the divine beard of Osiris in their transformation of identity for the afterlife. Gay Robins, “Hair and the Construction of Identity in Ancient Egypt, c 1480-1350 B.C.” *Journal of the American Research Center in Egypt* 36 (1999): 68.


\(^{352}\) Refer to page 84 for full text.


\(^{354}\) The same text was also inscribed at the Ramesseum: Henri Gauthier, *Les fêtes du dieu Min* (Cairo: Imprimerie de l’Institut Français d’Archéologie Orientale, 1931), 200-202.


\(^{356}\) Note that his artistic representation is that of a typical Egyptian man. While he is identified as a foreigner there is nothing in the visual record to corroborate this. Epigraphic Survey, *Medinet Habu* IV: Festival Scenes of Ramesses III, plate 203.
Egyptians to refer to their southern neighbors – the inhabitants of *Kush* and the various other political factions that existed in modern-day north Sudan. This text corroborates the connection between Punt and the southern countries, but may reflect the myth of Min’s exotic origins as an element of the Festival, more so than it identifies the inhabitants of Punt as a *Nehesy*.\(^\text{357}\)

**The Medjay**\(^\text{358}\)

The inquisition of the ethnic identity of the medjay,\(^\text{359}\) *Medjay* and the location of their homeland *Medja*\(^\text{360}\) in Egyptian written records is on going in Egyptology, most specifically targets the relationship between the ethnic group apparent in Old Kingdom texts and the official titles frequent in New Kingdom texts. The oldest references to the *Medjay* seem to reference an ethnic group associated with Egypt’s southern neighbors; did the name refer to an ethnic group throughout, or when was the title *Medjay* adopted for the title of “policeman” during the New Kingdom?

In the late Old Kingdom, the perception of the *Medjay* is that of a people belonging to the *Nehesyu*, the southern neighbors and the inhabitants of such polities as *Wawat*, *Kush*, *Irtjet* and *Yam*.\(^\text{361}\) The earliest reference to the land of *Medja* is recorded in the Dahshur Decree of Pepi I\(^\text{362}\), where it was listed alongside *Yam* and *Irtjet*\(^\text{363}\) but the only foreign peoples mentioned in the text (later) are *Nehesyu*. Twice in the autobiography of Weni the inhabitants of *Medja* are associated with the southern regions. Among the Egyptian army formed to repel the *Aamu*, Weni records representatives of foreign lands also:\(^\text{364}\)

---

\(^\text{357}\) Gauthier, *Les fêtes du dieu Min*, 89.


\(^\text{359}\) For the purposes of this thesis medjay will be transliterated *Medjay*. Other common spellings include: Mazoi, Mezaiu, Medjai, Madjai, and Mejay.

\(^\text{360}\) For a list of locations within the land of *Medja* see: Kate Liszka, ““We have Come to Serve Pharaoh:” A Study of the Medjay and Pangrave as an Ethnic Group and as Mercenaries from C.2300 BCE until C. 1050 BCE” (PhD diss., University of Pennsylvania, 2012), 146-147.

\(^\text{361}\) O’Connor, “The Locations of Yam and Kush and Their Historical Implications,” 27.


\(^\text{363}\) An individual is named *imy-r nw mdj imim [i]rtt*, “overseer of Medja, Yam and Irtet.”

Near the end of the inscription is the record of barges made from acacia wood:\(^{365}\):

\[
\text{ist hkw3w h3swt nw irtt w3w3t i3m md3 hr st3 ht r.s}<n> \\
\text{“then, the rulers of the foreign lands of Irtjet, Wawat, Yam, Medja conveyed the} \\
\text{timber to them.”} \\
\]

Another such Old Kingdom association comes from the reign of Meryenre, where again, 
the rulers (hk\(^3\)w) of Medja, Irtjet and Wawat pay obeisance to the Egyptian king 
together.\(^{366}\) In an Execration Text from Giza the inhabitants of the land of Medja were 
also identified as Nehesyu:\(^{367}\):

\[
nhs nb sbt.f m irt<\text{t}> w3w3t shtf i3m k33w n\text{h}i m3sit md3 mtrti \\
\text{“every Nehesyu who rebels in Irtjet, Wawat, Satju, Yam, Kaau, Ankhi, Masit,} \\
\text{Medja, and Meterti.”} \\
\]

A similar association of the lands of Medja and Wawat appear in a 6\(^{th}\) Dynasty letter in 
which

\[
ts\\tswt nt md3 w3w3t, “the troops of Medja \\
\]

\(^{366}\) Sethe, *Urkunden des Alten Reichs*, 110: line 15. Note that an apparent duplicate of this text, albeit 
with lacunae, from the same reign exists: Sethe, *Urkunden des Alten Reichs*, 111. 
\(^{367}\) Jurgen Osing, “Ächtungstexte aus dem Alten Reich (II),” *Mitteilungen des Deutschen 
and Wawat” are mentioned. Clearly, in the Old Kingdom the Egyptians believed the inhabitants of Medja were similar enough to the inhabitants of southern (i.e. ‘Nubian’) polities that they could be identified by the same ethnonym, Nehesyu.

In the Middle Kingdom the Medjay, perhaps through increased interaction or immigration into Egyptian areas, experienced the development of a unique ethnonym in Egyptian sources: the topo-ethnonym (demonym) mḏyw. The appearance of this demonym relays that in the Egyptian mind the Medjay were deserving of representation distinct from the Nehesyu, and one that tied them to their homeland. This development is illustrated in yet another execration figure, this one from the early Middle Kingdom that differentiates between the Medjay and the Nehesyu:

\[
\text{mdtw nb nw wb3t-spt nhsyw nb nw w3w3t k3 s3't bks}
\]

“all the Medjay of Webet-Sepet; all the Nubians of Wawat, Kush, Shaat, Beqes.”

The Instructions of Amenemhat I also made a distinction between the two of these topo-ethnonyms, and compares each to a separate animal:

\[
iw qnbw.n.i mAiw in.n.i msHw iw dAir.n.i [wA]wAyw in.n.i mḏyw
\]

“I captured lions and I took crocodiles. I seized the [Wa]wayu; and I took the

---

371 Georges Posener, Cinq Figurines D’Envoûtement (Cairo: Institut Français d’archéologie orientale du Caire, 1987), 45-47.
373 From the ostracon Petrie 77. Helck, Der Text der “Lehre Amenemhets I. für seinen sohn,” 76-77.
Here, lions and crocodiles are not similes simply for the purpose of derision: through comparison with these two ethnic groups, they relay the power of the people, and the expertise of the King in subduing them.

The mention of the Medjay in Egypt during a time of peace in the Instructions of Ipuwer from the Middle Kingdom or Second Intermediate Period may describe a group of Medjay soldiers who were under Egyptian employ:

\[m\dot{d}\dot{z}yw \, n\dot{d}m.\, w \, hnt\circ \, kmt, \text{“the Medjay are friendly with Egypt.”}\]

The 17th Dynasty biography of Sobeknakht at El Kab recorded a military alliance of the Medjay with Egypt’s southern and eastern neighbors against a threat presented by Kush:

\[snhp\, n.f \, w\dot{y}t \, w\dot{a}w\dot{a}t \, [\ldots] \, nbt \, h\dot{\dot{z}}\, swt \, nw \, hnt-h\dot{n}-nfr \, pwnt \, m\dot{d}3\]

“he (Kush) stirred up the families of Wawat, […] the countries of Khent-hen-nefer, Punt and Medja.”

In the badly preserved inscription from the chapel of Mentuhotep Nebhepetre II at Gebelein, the Medjay fulfill the role of the eastern neighbors, opposite the Tjemeh as the western neighbors. Further evidence of Medja’s association with the east is found in the Hymn to Amun-Re in Papyrus Boulaq XVII:

---

374 Refer to the Aamu also compared to a crocodile on page 52.
375 Gardiner, Admonitions of an Egyptian Sage, 90.
379 It is unclear due to the lacuna if the ethnynym or the toponym is meant here for the Medjay.
“His fragrance the gods love, when he comes from Punt. Great One of dew (when) he descends <from> the Medjay.”

The hymn also describes incense and myrrh coming from Medja, commodities that were closely associated with Punt and the east.\textsuperscript{382} The associations between the two lands continue through related titles of Amun: the lord (\textit{nb}) of the Medjay and ruler (\textit{hk3}) of Punt.\textsuperscript{383}

The awareness of the Medja homeland persisted in Middle Kingdom tomb biographies as a location from which Egyptian officials received goods from the \textit{hk3w h3swt}, “rulers of the foreign lands,” of Medja.\textsuperscript{384} By far the most interesting written records of the Medjay are a pair of Dispatches sent by unknown scribes at the fort of Semna to an unknown individual during the reign of Amenemhat III.\textsuperscript{385} The scribe, who dutifully noted the day’s happenings, recorded the emergence of several Medjay from the desert, associating them directly with a nomadic lifestyle.\textsuperscript{386} Dispatch No. 3 records the discovery of Medjay individuals by Egyptian and Medjay scouts in their employ\textsuperscript{387}:

\begin{center}
gm.n.n st hr rsy p3 ‘d hr hrw t3 hti nt šmw
\end{center}

“We found them south of the desert-edge\textsuperscript{388} under the engraving of Shemu.”

Semna Dispatch No. 5 contains a further association of the Medjay with the desert\textsuperscript{389}:

\textsuperscript{382} Luiselli, \textit{Der Amun-Re Hymnus des P. Boulaq 17 (P. Kairo CG 58038)}, 26.


\textsuperscript{386} Liszka, “‘We have Come to Serve Pharaoh,’” 269-272.

\textsuperscript{387} Smither, “The Semnah Despatches,” plate 3a: line 12.

\textsuperscript{388} \textit{‘d}, translated here as “desert-edge,” is defined: “das Land am Wüstenrande an der Grenze des regelmaßig bewässerten Gebietes.” Erman and Grapow, \textit{Wörterbuch der Aegyptischen Sprache} I, 239.

\textsuperscript{389} Smither, “The Semnah Despatches,” plate 5a: lines 7-8.
swdj3-lb.k snb.ti nh.ti r ntt mdjyw 2 mdjyw3t 3 […] 2 h3i.w hr h3st

“Be informed, may you be well and living, that 2 Medjay men and 3 Medjay women and 2 […] descended from the desert.”

These Medjay were so considered so acquainted with the desert that the Egyptians of the fort inquire of them its condition, before they were dismissed [to] their desert.” These dispatches say more about the association of the Medjay to the desert than any other written source, and it is upon these brief lines that much of their character in modern scholarship is based.

In the New Kingdom, the term mdjyw was transformed into an element in numerous titles for individuals who formed communities of policemen or institutional guards. The textual evidence for the Medjay ethnicity during this period is scarce. The land of Medja did not disappear from written records, however. It became the duty of the Viceroy of Nubia to collect revenue from Medja.

---

390 Note the feminine form of the ethnonym in the hieroglyphs.
391 To which they reply: n sdm.n hwt nbt lw t h3st hr [mt] m- hkr, “We do not hear anything; the desert is [dying] from hunger.” Smither, “The Semniah Despatches,” plate 5a: lines 9-10.
394 Gardiner, Ancient Egyptian Onomastica I, 73-89. Liszka, “‘We have Come to Serve Pharaoh,’” 316-375. Some scholars believe that the title Medjay was adapted for the policemen because the original members of the police force had been, ethnically, of Medjay-descent: Stephan Johannes Seidlmayer, “Nubier im Ägyptischen Kontext im Alten und Mittleren Reich,” in Akkulturation und Selbstbehauptung: Beiträge des Kolloquiums am 14.12.2001 (Halle-Wittenberg: Orientwissenschaftliches Zentrum der Martin-Luther-Universität, 2002), 99.
Summary

Surprisingly little can be extrapolated from Egyptian written records about their eastern neighbors, in comparison to other orientations. Unsurprisingly, they are associated with both the deserts and the coasts, as is consistent with Egypt’s eastern borders. Few wars, if any, were conducted against these neighbors, presumably providing little opportunity to apply the vocabulary of humiliation to them. Three main features that identify an ethnic group can be found in Egyptian texts concerning Egypt’s eastern neighbors:

1. The use of common proper names for referring to individual ethnic groups (*Shasu*, *Puntites*, *Medjay*)
2. The awareness of cultural elements, including their lifestyle (*Shasu*); the division into sub-cultural units or families (*Shasu*); a trademark physical characteristic (*Puntites*); and cultural or political leaders with tailored titles (*Shasu*, *Puntites*, *Medjay*)
3. The awareness of homelands for each ethnic group (*Shasu*, *Punt*, *Medja*) as well as a distinct topographical element of their homeland (*Shasu*)
Chapter 5. The Southerners

Interaction between Egyptian and southern cultures are consistently attested for thousands of years, appearing in written records during the first Egyptian dynasties, but certainly occurring even before then. Egyptians and their southern neighbors inhabited a similar environment, connected by the flow of the Nile from south to north and broken only by the six cataracts. Traditionally, the First Cataract in modern-day Aswan (ancient Swenet) marked the boundary line between Egypt and the southern lands, although during periods of expansion and colonization, especially during the 13th and 18th Dynasties, direct Egyptian influence extended as far as the Fourth Cataract. It was within Egyptian interests to establish forts along the southern Egyptian border, between the second and third cataracts, as well as towns and temples at strategic points further south to maintain administration in the area.

Ethnonyms and Homelands

The earliest extant use of the toponym $t₁-sty$, “Land of the Bow,” for the lands south of Egypt was during the 1st Dynasty reign of King Aha. During the Middle Kingdom, the Egyptian word for the bow was modified for use as the nisbe adjective $styw$ to denote the inhabitants of $t₁-sty$. An early example of

---

this is found on a fragmented stele of Khasekhemwy from Hierakonpolis, on which a 
bow is carved above the head of an enemy to identify his identity as a southern 
neighbor.\textsuperscript{402} It also appears numerous times in the Pyramid Texts.\textsuperscript{403} Another early 
toponym $\text{\t3-nhs}$, “Land of the Nehesy” appears in the 4\textsuperscript{th} Dynasty on the 
Palermo Stone\textsuperscript{404} and anticipated the appearance of $\text{\t3-nhsy}$, \textsuperscript{405} \textit{Nehesy}, the 
primary ethnonym for all of Egypt’s southern neighbors. \textit{Nehesyu} is conventionally 
translated “Southlander,”\textsuperscript{406} “Nubian,”\textsuperscript{407} or, in archaic translations, “Negro.”\textsuperscript{408} By the 
middle of the Old Kingdom,\textsuperscript{409} more specific toponyms for southern polities\textsuperscript{410} can be 
found in Egyptian texts, including: $\text{\t3-mdj}$, \textsuperscript{411} \textit{Medja}, $\text{\t3-yam}$, \textsuperscript{412} \textit{Yam}, $\text{\t3-ww}$, \textsuperscript{413} \textit{Wawat}, $\text{\t3-irtj}$, \textsuperscript{414} \textit{Irtjet}, and $\text{\t3-setj}$, \textsuperscript{415} \textit{Setjau}.\textsuperscript{416} By the New 
Kingdom, Egyptian written records include contact with the major southern polities of

\textsuperscript{402} De Wit, “Enemies of the State,” 243-244.
\textsuperscript{404} Record of Sneferu relocating thousands of men, women and cattle. Jiménez-Serrano, “Two 
Different Names of Nubia before the Fifth Dynasty,” 142. Trigger believes this was to: “pacify the 
region and perhaps also to secure manpower for their projects in the north.” Bruce G. Trigger, “New 
\textsuperscript{405} Faulkner, \textit{A Concise Dictionary of Middle Egyptian}, 137. Erman and Grapow, \textit{Wörterbuch der 
Aegyptischen Sprache} II, 303.
\textsuperscript{406} Erman and Grapow, \textit{Wörterbuch der Aegyptischen Sprache} II, 303.
\textsuperscript{407} ‘Nubia’ and ‘Nubian’ are the most common names by which Egyptologists refer to the southern 
lands (modern-day Sudan) as a whole, and their inhabitants in general. These terms will be avoided 
for the purpose of this thesis because of the impression of ethnic homogeneity they promote.
\textsuperscript{408} Junker believes that this term does not in any way indicate the “blackness” of the ethnicity, but 
was used generally for Egyptian neighbors to the south and south-east, only later to be applied to 
Egypt’s black neighbors: Hermann Junker, “The First Appearance of the Negroes in History,” \textit{The Journal of Egyptian Archaeology} 7 (1921): 124-125. Redford, on the other hand, believes that its very 
definition was “bronzed/burnt”: Donald B. Redford, \textit{From Slave to Pharaoh: The Black Experience of 
Ancient Egypt} (Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 2005), 5.
\textsuperscript{409} Gerald E. Kadish, “Old Kingdom Egyptian Activity in Nubia: Some Reconsiderations,” \textit{The Journal of 
Egyptian Archaeology} 52 (1966).
\textsuperscript{410} For a complete compendium of southern toponyms see: Zibelius-Chen, \textit{Afrikanische Orts- und 
Völkernamen in hieroglyphischen und hieratischen Texten}.
\textsuperscript{411} Refer to the \textit{Medjay} on page 72.
\textsuperscript{412} Julien Cooper, “Reconsidering the Location of Yam,” \textit{Journal of the American Research Center in 
48.
Irem\textsuperscript{414} and Kush, along with continued records of Wawat.\textsuperscript{415} The Egyptians considered the inhabitants of these countries to be Nehesyu, although in some cases separate ethnonyms developed for these polities, such as w\textsuperscript{3}w\textsuperscript{3}yw\textsuperscript{416} for the people of Wawat, and k\textsuperscript{3}w\textsuperscript{417} for the inhabitants of Kush, appearing only infrequently in written records.

Similar to their treatment of the northerners, the Egyptians employed a number of “secondary ethnonyms” for their southern neighbors, including iwntyw\textsuperscript{418} (translated interchangeably as “pillar people,” “Bedouin,” “tribesmen,” “bowmen,”\textsuperscript{419} or, archaically, “troglodytes”), which was likely a nisbe adjective derived from lwnt, “bow.”\textsuperscript{420} This moniker appears in Old Kingdom literature, as at the Mortuary temple of Sahure where it was named alongside the Montiu.\textsuperscript{421} It has been consistently translated as a pejorative in Egyptology,\textsuperscript{422} especially through the heavy use of “troglodytes,” although the word itself, and the contexts in which it appears, do not lend themselves to this interpretation. The Southerners are also called pdty, “bowmen,” or “foreigners,”\textsuperscript{423} although this designation was not exclusive to them.\textsuperscript{424} Both of these labels were designed to function as nicknames that call attention to a cultural characteristic for which the Southerners were known; they were not proper ethnonyms.

---

\textsuperscript{415} Morkot, The Black Pharaohs: Egypt’s Nubian Rulers, 59-90.
\textsuperscript{416} Refer to the Instruction of Amenemhat I on page 74.
\textsuperscript{417} William Flinders Petrie, A Season in Egypt, 1887 (London: Field and Tuer, 1888), plate 13, no. 340.
\textsuperscript{418} Note the existence of an Egyptian fort in Lower Nubia named xsf iwntyw, “Repelling the Iuntyu.” Gardiner, “An Ancient List of the Fortresses of Nubia,” 185.
\textsuperscript{419} Note that the Egyptian god Min is called hrw-tp iwntyw, “foremost of the bowmen.” Kuentz, “Autour d’une conception égyptienne méconnue: l’Akhit ou soi-disant horizon,” 122: line 18.
\textsuperscript{421} Borchardt, Das Grabdenkmal des Königs Sáhu-re, plate 5.
\textsuperscript{422} Vinogradov suggested that its absence from the texts of the 25\textsuperscript{th} Dynasty might indicate it did, in fact, have an insulting connotation. Alexey K. Vinogradov, “On the Rendering of the Toponym T3 STJ,” Chronique d’Égypte 75 (2000): 230: note 36.
\textsuperscript{423} Faulkner, A Concise Dictionary of Middle Egyptian, 97.
\textsuperscript{424} Espinel, Etnicidad y territorio en el Egipto del Reino Antiguo, 127.
Orientation: The Southern Homelands

The Land of the Nehesy, the “Land of the Bow,” and the other topographically proximate lands named above consistently represented the south in Egypt’s political world. While the north was associated with the marshlands of the Delta or of stt, the south was associated with the wind. Akhenaten, largely criticized for his apparent disregard for expanding his political borders, was nonetheless aware of the geographic elements of his world and proclaimed his dominion over them:

\[\text{rsy mi mhtt imnt ìbt […] hry-ib n wìd-wr m hnw n kì.f tì. <f> -rsy r c tìw mìtt r shìwt îtn}\]

“The south, as well as the north, the west and the east [and the islands] in the midst of the sea are in praise to his kì. <His> south-land is at the region of the wind, (his) northern is at the shining of Aten.”

The Hymn to the Aten, also composed during the reign of Akhenaten, is a religious work that describes the world and all of its elements as the creations of the god. In one instance it names the northern (Kharu) and southern (Kush) regions of the world, and Egypt between them. Seti I’s stele at Qasr Ibrim also expresses his dominion over the entire world by naming the symbolic north and south:

\[\text{tìs.f rìsy r c tìw mìty dd.f – phwy wìd-wr}\]

---

426 From the ‘Hymn to the Rising Sun’ in the tomb of Ahmose. Note that most of this inscription is now damaged and was reconstructed by Davies for publication from photographs and through comparisons with 2 other versions of this hymn. Norman de Garis Davies, *The Rock Tombs of El Amarna III: The Tombs of Huya and Ahmes* (London: Egypt Exploration Fund, 1905), plate 29. William J. Murnane, *Texts from the Amarna Period in Egypt* (Atlanta: Scholars Press, 1995), 156.
427 Refer to page 11 for text.
429 Lesko, *A Dictionary of Late Egyptian II*, 276.
“his southern boundary is at the region of the wind, the northern (boundary), it penetrates the border of the sea.”

Spell 162 of the Coffin Texts, designed to offer the deceased control of the four winds also associates the wind with the south and, more specifically, the Southerners\textsuperscript{430}:

\begin{center}
\textit{rsrw pwrwnhs rsyt}
\end{center}

“it is the south-wind, the south-wind as a Nehesy of the south.”

Historical records provide much documentation of the Egyptians’ contact with their southern neighbors, specifically descriptions of sailing southward, or upstream along the Nile, to the southern countries. Travel between Egypt and the regions of their southern neighbors was arguably the easiest for the Egyptians to reach, by journeying up the Nile; the regions to the north, west and east were only approachable across a sea, desert, or mountain range. This fact made maintained control of the southern regions essential.

The earliest written records of Egyptian expeditions to the south contain an awareness of numerous foreign countries and their inhabitants. The Autobiography of Ahmose, son of Abana, records a southern campaign during the reign of Ahmose I. The inscription includes both a primary and a secondary ethnonym for the Southerners\textsuperscript{431}:

\begin{center}
\textit{wn.in.f hr hntyt r hnt-\textit{hn}-nfr r sksk iwntyw styw}
\end{center}

“he (the king) went on a southern voyage to Khent-hen-nefer in order to destroy the Setyu bowmen.”

Both iwntyw and styw are conventionally translated individually as “bowmen,” although Setyu functions as a proper topo-ethnonym and iwntyw as an informal moniker. In the

\textsuperscript{430} Adriaan de Buck, \textit{The Egyptian Coffin Texts vol. II: Texts of Spells 76-163} (Chicago: The Oriental Institute, 1938), 397-398.

\textsuperscript{431} Sethe, \textit{Urkunden der 18. Dynastie} IV, 5.
same inscription, but from Ahmose’s service to Amenhotep I, these Setyu-bowmen are mentioned again, though this time the name of their homeland is specified:

\[
iw.f\;m\;ḥnty\;r\;kš\;r\;swš\;tšw\;kmt\;wn.in\;ḥm.f\;hr\;skr\;iwny\;w\;styw\;pf\;m\;ḥr-ib\;mš\;f
\]

“he (the king) was on a southward voyage to Kush in order to extend the boundaries of Egypt. Then, his Majesty struck down that Setyu-bowman in the heart of his (the Setyu) army.”

As established in the previous chapter, the land of Punt was occasionally mentioned alongside these southern countries, as in the Deir el-Bahari inscriptions of Hatshepsut’s expedition. What is notable about these inscriptions is the perpetual use of hsy, “wretched,” or “defeated,” to designate Kush, while Punt is listed simultaneously but without an epithet:

\[
ḥrp\;bšw\;n\;pwnt\;špssw\;n\;tšnt\;m-ct\;nw\;hšswt\;rsyt\;nw\;bškw\;n\;kš\;ḥst\;gšw\;nt\;tš-nhs
\]

“bringing the wonders of Punt and the riches of god’s land, together with the tribute of the southern countries, and of the revenues of defeated Kush, and the tribute of the land of the Nehesy.”

A similar text in which a southern land is given the special designation hsy is the stele of Amenhotep III from his mortuary temple. It identifies Kush as the representative of the south in the Egyptian cosmography:

\[
di.i\;ḥr\;ir\;rsy\;bišy.i\;n.k\;di.i\;pḥr\;n.k\;wrw\;kš\;ḥst\;hr\;inw.sn\;nb\;ḥr\;psd.sn
\]

---

433 Naville, The Temple of Deir el Bahari III, plate 77.
“I turn my face to the south; I make a miracle for you: I cause the Great Ones of defeated Kush to surround you, under all their tribute upon their backs.”

This text is a notable one: Amun presents the king with each of the four directions of the earth along with their inhabitants, as representatives of the whole earth to signify his dominion over its entirety. Of the four lands included (Kush, sīt, Tjehnau, and Punt) only the southern neighbor is given an epithet: hṣyw. This phenomenon will be explored below further.

**Common Culture: Language, Dress, and Physical Characteristics**

Egyptian written sources contain some descriptions of the Southerners’ culture that agree with their bright and intricate representation in Egyptian visual sources. Papyrus Anastasi IIIA, a single-sheet papyrus containing a list of luxury items and other trade goods from the south provides a vivid description of Southerners brought to Egypt and their adornments:\footnote{From Papyrus Anastasi IIIA 6-7. Gardiner, *Late Egyptian Miscellanies*, 33: lines 8-10.}

```
nḥṣyw nfrw n kš n-š3w ḫbs bh₃ iw.w tby m t<b>wt ḫd tḥy m
sfry nḥy.sn krmt r ḏrw`
```

“fine Nehesyu of Kush fit for giving a fan’s shelter. They are shod with white sandals and clad in fine linen(?) , their bracelets on (their) hands.”

Papyrus Koller contains a similar description of a different southern ethnic group and their ornamentation, with similar language. Paser, an Egyptian official\footnote{Possibly a “King’s Son of Kush,” although that specific title is not given: Gardiner, *Late Egyptian Miscellanies*, 118: line 15. Also refer to: Gardiner, *Egyptian Hieratic Texts I*, 40: note 1.} penned a letter to an unnamed southerner, presumably a ruler, regarding the presentation of tribute to Egypt. He invited the southerner to imagine the scene\footnote{From Papyrus Koller 4, 5-7. Gardiner, *Late Egyptian Miscellanies*, 119: lines 14-16.}:
These ‘types’ of Nehesyu may have referred to the inhabitants of the different southern polities, such as the Wawayu, Kashu, and Terek already mentioned. In addition, Paser also mentioned the inhabitants of Irem:

-irmi knw r-h3t p3 inw

“many Irem-folk at the head of the tribute.”

These texts highlight two items of adornment that the Egyptians associated with the southern cultures: bracelets (krmt) and fans (bh3). That they are mentioned among the accouterment of two separate southern ethnic groups (Nehesyu and Terek) may indicate the homogenous nature of the Egyptians’ perception of southern cultures and peoples. This conclusion is supported by the frequent appearance of numerous southern ethnic groups in Egyptian tribute scenes in tandem.

Despite the absence of a written language unique to the southern peoples until Meroitic, their spoken language (or languages) were notably foreign to the Egyptians,

---

441 This ambiguous garment is not unique to the Southerners but appears in the same text worn by an Egyptian: Gardiner, Late Egyptian Miscellanies, 118: line 11. For other texts that mention this garment see: Gardiner, Egyptian Hieratic Texts I, 40: note 4.
443 Gardiner, Late Egyptian Miscellanies, 119: line 11.
444 Following Caminos’ transliteration: Caminos, Late-Egyptian Miscellanies, 438 and note (4,3-4) on page 444.
as is commented upon in the Sallier Papyrus. The letter details a scribe’s frustration with his stubborn student⁴⁴⁷:

\[\text{tw.k } m\text{-di.i } m \text{nḥṣyw } 3\text{r.ti } iw \text{ in.f } m \text{ p3 } inw\]

“You are in my employ as the jabbering Nehesyu, when he is brought with the tribute!”

This description of the sounds of the Nehesyu language uses the same word the Egyptians used in the Old Kingdom reference to the language of Tjehnu. The word 3r probably served as an amiable imitation of sounds and words unknown to the Egyptians, and its use confirms that the language of the Nehesyu was not familiar to the Egyptians.⁴⁴⁸

A medical papyrus in Berlin (B. Papyrus 3038) contains a method for determining if a woman will give birth that comments on a physical difference between the Nehesyu and the Aamu⁴⁴⁹:

\[\text{ky m33 rdit rh.kwi “h” st m wnwt nt dw3t ir gm.k twt nw irt.st w” mi 3mw kt ti mi nḥṣy nn msi.s ir gm.<k> st m inm n w” iw.st r msi}\]

“Another observation causing that I know: when she stands in the reveal of a doorway - if you find the pupils of her eye (that) one is like an Aamu, and another is like a Nehesy, she will not give birth. If <you> find them having the appearance⁴⁵⁰ of one,⁴⁵¹ she will give birth.”

⁴⁴⁷ From Papyrus Sallier I 8.1. Gardiner, Late Egyptian Miscellanies, 85: lines 10-12.
⁴⁴⁸ See note 77.
⁴⁵⁰ While the hieratic clearly reads inm, “skin,” perhaps inw, “appearance,” “color,” or “character,” was meant. Lesko, A Dictionary of Late Egyptian I, 33.
⁴⁵¹ Or, “if you find them as the same color.”
While this papyrus does not specify the type of difference meant by this text between the eyes of the Aamu and the eyes of the Nehesyu (color, expression, shape, or size), it indicates that the Egyptians were so aware of the physical differences between the two ethnicities that the author did not need to specify how to identify that difference.

**Associations, Epithets, and Descriptions**

A common description of the Southerners in written sources is their propensity for bštw, “rebellion.” The inscription of Thutmose II from Aswan contains a lengthy discussion of a rebellion in Kush. The text contains the announcement of the rebellion and the king’s response *en force*:

“One came in order to inform his Majesty that: defeated Kush are fallen into rebellion, those who are serfs of the lord of the Two Lands! <They> are plotting of the rebels’ plan, readying to strike the Egyptians, namely, stealing these cattle of the fortress which your father built out of his victories.”

Thutmose IV, the great-grandson of Thutmose II, left a similar inscription of a rebellion.

---


One came to say to his Majesty: ‘The Nehesy is descending in the area of Wawat; he planned a rebellion against Egypt! He is assembling to himself all the foreigners and the rebels of another country.’”

Amenhotep III also recorded a rebellion in Kush:

“One came in order to say to his Majesty: ‘The fallen of defeated Kush has plotted rebellion in his heart.’”

Another such rebellion was recorded during the reign of Akhenaten. These texts follow a formula: a messenger makes an announcement to the king that the Southerners were actively planning harm against Egypt, or against the Egyptians themselves, and were assembling a force of “rebels.” While other foreign peoples were also called “rebels,” the frequency of the “rebellions” in the south indicates a special relationship with the Egyptians, one that required continual intervention and management.

The autobiography of Ameny from his tomb at Beni Hassan contains the earliest application of hsy, “defeated,” to the Southerners. What is notable about this text is

---

457 For the iw.tw formula, see Anthony J. Spalinger, Aspects of the Military Documents of the Ancient Egyptians (London: Yale University Press, 1982), 1-33.
458 Refer to page 37 for the Sherden and page 66 for the Shasu.
459 Identified by Lorton as the earliest use of hsy in a historical text. Lorton, “The So-Called “Vile” Enemies of the King of Egypt (in the Middle Kingdom and Dyn. XVIII),” 66. Sethe, Urkunden des Mittleren Reiches, 14.
that Kush was not described as hsy until after the Egyptian king travelled south to “overthrow” them⁴⁶⁰:

sn[i] kš m ̀hnty] m i n.n.i drw tš i n.n.i inw nb.i hst.i ph.s pt ñh(274,133),(353,153) n hm.f
wd³ m htp shr.n.f hfty.f m kš hst

“I passed by Kush going southward; I reached the limits of the land. I brought away the tribute of my lord, and my praise it reached the sky. Then, his Majesty set out in contentment, (for) he had overthrown his enemies in defeated Kush.”

The Southerners were not the only foreigners to which this term (hsy) was applied; it appears in historical and political texts more than any other term concerned with foreignness.⁴⁶¹ Lorton concluded that the term emphasizes the “defeat” of the ethnic group, not the intrinsic “wretchedness.” Its frequent application to the Southerners stemmed from the absolute necessity for them to be, and to remain defeated, not necessarily because the threat they represented was greater than that of other foreign groups, but because their threat was closer to home.

The Stele of Senusret III established at Uronarti includes the most aggressively berating example of Egyptian perceptions of Southerners. In the text the king first establishes his authority south of Egypt⁴⁶²:

iw ir.n.i tš hnty itw.i, “I have made the border going southward (more than) my forefathers.” Then he addresses the character of the Nehesyu⁴⁶³:

---

⁴⁶¹ Lorton devoted an article to exploring the use and accuracy of the translations of this term. Lorton, “The So-Called “Vile” Enemies of the King of Egypt (in the Middle Kingdom and Dyn. XVIII),” 68.
A letter by the king to Amenhotep II’s Viceroy of Nubia (or “King’s son of Kush”465):

Usersatet warned him to beware of the Nehesy and their magic while he was with them466:

\[\text{ky dd n p3 s3-nsw m n3t n nhshy m-kf3t s3w.tw r n3y.sn rmn 3ly.sn h3k3w} \ldots\]
\[\text{m ir sdm n mdwt.sn m dfr wpwt.sn}\]

“Another saying for the king’s son: do not be lenient to the Nehesy. Indeed, one should take care against their people and their magicians… Do not listen to their words. Do not search out their messages!”

This text indicates that distrust and caution were recommended for Egyptian officials for dealing with the southern neighbors, and gives at least one cause: their use of magic.

---

464 The \(m\) before \(iwms\) should have been an \(\text{\textbar}\) making \(\text{\textbar}\)ims, a variant spelling of \(iwms\). Faulkner, A Concise Dictionary of Middle Egyptian, 13.
466 Helck, Urkunden der 18. Dynastie, 1344.
467 Another inscription with a similarly aloof practice of interacting with the Southerners is the Middle Kingdom graffito of Khuisobek, who said he performed his duties: “without placing a Nubian in my heart.” Josef W. Wegner and Josef Wegner, “Regional Control in Middle Kingdom Lower Nubia: The Function and History of the Site of Areika,” Journal of the American Research Center in Egypt 32 (1995): 150.
Historical texts are not the only ones to contain insight into the Egyptians’ perceptions of the Southerners. A figurative comparison of southern ethnic groups with animals from a historical inscription has already been included in Chapter 4: “I captured lions and I took crocodiles. I seized the Wawayu; and I took the Medjay.” The 18th Dynasty Instruction of Ani, not to be confused with the well-known Book of the Dead of Ani, contains instructions to a scribe. In one section, the author names several animals, including a dog, a monkey and a goose, and describes how they should normally behave, followed by a reference to the Nehesyu:

\[\text{twtw} \ < hr > \ \text{sb3 nhsyw mdw t rm t n kmt \ h3r \ h3sty nbt mitt i dd} \ \{i\} \ \text{iry.i m i3wt nbt sdm.k rN.k p3 i r i.w} \]

“One teaches the Nehesyu the language of the people of Egypt, the Kharu and any foreign land likewise. Say: ‘I will act as all the animals.’ You should listen and you should learn what they do.”

In another letter to a wayward student the author makes a similar allegory of the south:

\[\text{p3 kry } h r \ \text{sdm m dw t in.tw. f } h r \ k s \]

“The ape understands speech, (yet) he is brought from Kush.”

Within academic study, these texts are usually presented as examples of the foreigner topos - the way in which all foreigners, as outsiders, were pejoratively portrayed both

---

468 Refer to page 74 for text.
470 Refer to the variant text in P. Louvre E 30144 (formerly P. Guimet 16959) in which this seated man is absent. Quack, Die Lehren des Ani: Ein neuägyptischer Weisheitstext in seinem kulturellen Umfeld, 335. Volten comments that this error for \( \dd \) occurs twice in this papyrus: Aksel Volten, Studien zum Weisheitsbuch des Anii (Copenhagen: Levin & Munksgaard, 1937), 29, 160.
471 From the Bologna Papyrus 1094. Gardiner, Late Egyptian Miscellanies, 3: lines 15-16.
visually (as bound captives or subjugated enemies) and textually (as ‘wretched’ or ‘rebels’). On the contrary, the purpose of these letters was not the degradation of the Southerners. They create an arena in which the innate differences and functions of the Southerners and the Egyptians could be explored allegorically. The moral for the students was that all people, like animals, are prescribed actions and behavior according to their identity (an ape, an animal foreign to Egypt, was competent in its functions even when it was removed from its natural environment), and not that the Southerners were comparable to apes. These animals, and foreigners, were used as positive examples of beings fulfilling their purpose, as the disobedient student was not.

The autobiography of Ahmose, son of Abana, contains other descriptions of the Southerners. The Setyu are called hrw “fallen,” and styw pf hsy, “the defeated Setyu.” Their behavior is described as bsi n c h3st, an “intrusion” to the desert region. Also during the reign of Thutmose I, the Southerners are called nbdw-qd on the Tombos Stele - a nfr-hrw construction translating as “those of bad character,” although this epithet was not exclusively applied to the Southerners.

Summary

The Egyptians always had a clear awareness of their southern neighbors – the primary and secondary ethnonyms and the toponyms appear in written records early on in Egyptian chronology and maintain much consistency throughout. The south was associated with the wind. Southerners were often discussed as “rebels” engaging in

---

472 As in: Smith, Wretched Kush, 27.
473 Sethe, Urkunden der 18. Dynastie IV, 8.
475 bsi is generally used to describe a flow of water: Erman and Grapow, Wörterbuch der Aegyptischen Sprache I, 474.
476 Lepsius, Denkmäler aus Aegypten und Aethiopien III, plate 5a.
477 Lepsius, Denkmäler aus Aegypten und Aethiopien III, plate 61.
478 Also see its application to foreign rulers in general: Lepsius, Denkmäler aus Aegypten und Aethiopien III, plate 61.
“rebellion” against Egypt. The word *hsy* was applied to Southerners more frequently than other foreign groups because their geographic proximity to Egypt made it essential that they remain “defeated.” Three main features that identify an ethnic group can be found in Egyptian texts concerning Egypt’s southern neighbors:

1. The use of multiple, common proper names (in many cases topo-ethnonyms), and ‘pseudo-ethnonyms’ for referring to individual ethnic groups (*Nehesyu*, *Setyu*, *Wawayu*, *Kashu*, *Terek*, *Irmi*)

2. The awareness of cultural elements, including items of personal adornment (*Nehesyu* and *Terek*); a distinct physical characteristic (*Nehesyu*); and a language foreign to the Egyptians (*Nehesyu*)

3. The awareness of a homeland for each ethnic group (*Wawat, Irem, Kush, Yam, Irtjet, Satju*)
Concluding Remarks

This work highlights the ethnonyms used by the Egyptians for their neighbors, as well as their developed ‘vocabulary of foreignness.’ Because the origins of these ethnonyms remain uncertain, they should be categorized as exonyms, a name applied to the ethnic group by an outsider. The exonym may or may not reflect the name that the ethnic group uses reflexively, its endonym, but the relationships between Egyptian ethnonyms and their neighbors’ endonyms unfortunately cannot be determined with any degree of precision. The Egyptian ethnonyms can be divided into two types: primary ethnonyms and secondary ethnonyms. In the Egyptian language, primary ethnonyms were occasionally formed with the *nisbe*, plural ending –*tyw* to the Egyptian name for the homeland. Secondary ethnonyms were often formed in one of two ways: by referencing the group’s living environment or an ethnic characteristic. For the purpose of this thesis the determination of secondary ethnonyms was based on several factors, including their symbiotic use with other ethnonyms, and the etymology of their root words. Primary ethnonyms received the most frequent use, although they could also be accompanied by a secondary ethnonym, perhaps for clarification or perhaps as a cultural comment. While in some cases it is impossible to ascertain whom exactly the Egyptians were discussing, it appears that they could use more than one primary or secondary ethnonym for the same ethnic group.

This thesis presented a sampling of Egyptian texts that provide insight into the ancient Egyptians’ perceptions of their neighbors. These examples were selected based

---

480 Note that endonyms and ethnonyms can also be used to refer to external and internal place names and not only to ethnic names. For an explanation of these types of ethnonyms in modern contexts, see the following: Drago Kladnik, “Semantic Demarcation of the Concepts of Endonym and Exonym,” *Acta Geographica Slovenica* 49 (2009): 396; Paul Woodman, “The Nature of the Endonym,” Typescript for the 25th UNGEGN session (Nairobi: 2009); Bright, “What IS a Name? Reflections on Onomastics,” 671.

481 Proschan, “We are all Kmhmu, Just the Same’: Ethnonyms, Ethnic identities, and Ethnic groups,” 91.

482 Refer to the Appendix.

483 For example, *stt* becomes *sttyw*, *pwnt* becomes *pwntyw*, and *tš-sty* becomes *styw*.

484 Or, what Espinel calls “pseudoetnóminos.” The present author does not make use of this term because the English “pseudo” relays a sense of fakery and phoniness. Espinel, *Etnicidad y territorio en el Egipto del Reino Antiguo*, 118. The present work does not agree, however, with all of his ethnonym placements within the categories.

485 For example, *hryw-š*y.

486 For example, *iwntyw* and possibly *mntw*. 
upon their commonalities, reoccurring themes and insightful comments about Egypt’s neighboring peoples. This work attempted to present the general pictures of the Egyptians’ ethnic neighbors as perceived by the Egyptian population. For this purpose, the texts included came from a variety of genres, including historical and biographical, religious, and literary.

![Figure 5: Fragment of a map of the cosmos – Image from Othmar Keel, The Symbolism of the Biblical World (Winona Lake: Eisenbrauns, 1997), 40.](image)

At times in royal literature, the Egyptians selected ethnic groups associated with the four cardinal directions to represent the elements of the entire world. Upon inspection, there exist clear, permanent associations for some ethnic groups and an overlap of associated cardinal-point orientations for others. The ‘Libyan’ peoples were decisively linked with the west, and the ‘Nubian’ peoples were decisively linked with the south. Among the Northerners and Easterners, however, there are shifting associations over time: the people of Keftiu as western and northern, the Aamu and Shasu as eastern and northern, and the Puntites and Medjay as eastern and southern. While reaching any of Egypt’s neighbors required crossing expansive deserts, seas, or mountains, those to the north and east (island inhabitants, Aamu, Puntites) were located the furthest away. There seems to be a direct correlation between the absoluteness of the ethnic group’s cardinal
orientation and their geographical proximity to Egypt. The Egyptians exhibited little confusion over the orientation of the Westerners and Southerners because of their immediate presence on the Egyptian western and southern borders. However, in the cases of the Northerners and Easterners, they reconsidered their orientations possibly to account for their locations at ordinal rather than cardinal directions or to make room for newly prominent ethnic groups in the region. A number of further remarks can be made regarding each orientation, and the ethnic groups associated with them.

The Egyptian cosmography naturally associated elements of the world with the cardinal directions, and these associations carried over into their discussions of the peoples who inhabited those regions. The Egyptians associated the Westerners with elements of the western cosmography: the sky and the stars.\(^{487}\) Befitting Egypt’s northern geographical border at the Mediterranean Sea, the north in the Egyptian cosmography was associated with the sea, and it follows that many of the ethnic groups included in this section were also associated with the sea. The east was firstly associated with the sunrise, although the Egyptians’ easterly journeys also included their awareness of the Red Sea. The southern boundary was associated with the wind.\(^{488}\)

The most recurring names for the Westerners were: Tjehnu, Tjemeh, Meshwesh and Rebu, although others also existed. The four major ethnic groups that inhabited the west were identified by topo-ethnonyms identical to the Egyptian names for their homelands (Tjemeh, Tjehnu, Meshwesh, Rebu). The Egyptians considered these ethnic groups as distinct entities with separate ethnonyms, homelands, and cultural elements that distinguished them from each other. Of the western peoples, the Rebu were the most maliciously described in Egyptian texts. They were seen as the instigators of a mass immigration from the west during the beginning of the 20\(^{th}\) Dynasty that involved a number of western ethnic groups.\(^{489}\) This unwanted intrusion into the Egyptian homeland caused the Westerners to be characterized as ruinous,\(^{490}\) plotters of evil,\(^{491}\) and violators of the Egyptian boundaries.\(^{492}\)

---

\(^{487}\) Refer to excerpts from the Pyramid Texts on page 27.

\(^{488}\) Refer to the inscription of Seti I from Qasr Ibrim on page 82.

\(^{489}\) Refer to “mobilizing” armies on page 31 and causing “confusion” in footnote 132.

\(^{490}\) Refer to page 30.

\(^{491}\) Refer to page 31.

\(^{492}\) Refer to page 30.
The people of *Keftiu*, the *Keftiuiu*, were associated with the west\(^{493}\) and the northern sea.\(^{494}\) The ethnicities collectively dubbed ‘The Sea Peoples’ in Egyptology are described as being from the islands or the sea at Egypt’s northern border (Table 2). They collaborated together to form a “confederation” of rebels against Egypt several times during the 20\(^{th}\) Dynasty.\(^{495}\) Egyptian texts about northerners use a number of primary and secondary ethnonyms, and often in combination. The *Aamu* were doubly associated with the north and the east, and, understandably, with the desert and desert herds.\(^{496}\) They inhabited a number of different regions, none of which were directly associated to their Egyptian exonym. Their environment was described as a place of hardship.\(^{497}\) In terms of their character, Egyptian texts contain much judgment of the *Aamu*: they are described as cowardly and aggressive,\(^{498}\) and as thieves.\(^{499}\) The *Aamu* Delta rulers are described as ruinous of Egypt\(^{500}\) and servants of Seth.\(^{501}\)

The *Shasu* only appear in texts during the New Kingdom and later periods. They were primarily associated with the east during the Late New Kingdom,\(^{502}\) although they were also affiliated with the northerners at the Battle of Qadesh.\(^{503}\) The Egyptian awareness of the *Shasu* included their practice of dividing their people into family units consisting of both male and female members\(^{504}\) called *mhwt*. The leaders of these family units were known as *cw*, “magnates.”\(^{505}\) Like the *Aamu*, the *Shasu* homelands were also described as a place of hardship,\(^{506}\) and they contained a topographical feature, the *fswt*, “hills” that were frequently mentioned.\(^{507}\)

\(^{493}\) Refer to the Hymn of Victory on page 41.
\(^{494}\) Refer to the inscription from the Book of the Day on page 41.
\(^{495}\) Refer to the inscriptions from Medinet Habu on page 37.
\(^{496}\) Refer to the Prophecy of Neferty on page 48.
\(^{497}\) Refer to the Instruction of Merikare on pages 49.
\(^{498}\) Refer to the Instruction of Merikare on page 50.
\(^{499}\) Refer to the Instructions of Merikare and the Prophecy of Neferty on page 50.
\(^{500}\) Refer to the Kamose Inscription on page 53 and Hatshepsut’s Obelisk on page 54.
\(^{501}\) Refer to the Narrative of Apophis and Seqenenre on page 54.
\(^{502}\) Refer to page 61.
\(^{503}\) Refer to the Battle of Qadesh inscription on page 58.
\(^{504}\) Refer to the determinative of *mhwt* on page 58.
\(^{505}\) Refer to the Battle of Qadesh inscription in which the *Shasu* spies use this term about their own leaders on page 58.
\(^{506}\) Refer to the Papyrus Anastasi I on page 63.
\(^{507}\) Refer to the Ramesside inscription from Tell el-Retaba on page 63.
David O’Connor and Stephen Quirke rightly suggested that some foreign peoples “were more alien than others.”\(^{508}\) This is briefly exemplified by the people of Alasiya in the Report of Wenamun, but perfectly defines the Egyptians’ positive portrayal of the Puntites. The Puntites were spared negative comments or epithets in Egyptian written sources entirely. With no political tension between their rulers, and no infringement on Egyptian resources through immigration, the Puntites presented little necessity for interaction with the Egyptians, aside from their faithful procurement of commodities for them, and this may explain their absence in Egyptian texts. Punt, as the source of incense, also provides the exception to the rule that every foreign land necessitated an association with Seth or godlessness.\(^{509}\) The Puntites and the Medjay were both closely associated with Egypt’s eastern borders and with the Southerners. During the Old Kingdom, the Egyptians considered the inhabitants of Medja similar enough to the inhabitants of the southern polities, to warrant calling them Nehesyu also.\(^ {510}\) The Egyptians did not develop an ethnonym for the Medjay that was reflective of their homeland, Medja, until the Middle Kingdom. This was reflected in their continued association with southern peoples during the Middle Kingdom and Second Intermediate Period.\(^ {511}\)

Egyptians also used a number of primary and secondary ethnonyms for the Southerners, sometimes in conjunction, although Nehesyu was overwhelmingly the most common. In texts that mention numerous peoples (the best example is the stele of Amenhotep III), the south is the only one to be singled out with a negative epithet.\(^ {512}\) They are presented as untrustworthy\(^ {513}\) and aggressive.\(^ {514}\) The Southerners are often described rebelling against Egypt, and subsequently being repeatedly defeated. Southern regions, but especially Kush, were consistently called “defeated”\(^ {515}\) after the construction of the Egyptian forts along the southern border, but not before. This was not because the

\(^{508}\) David O’Connor and Stephen Quirke, “Introduction: Mapping the Unknown in Ancient Egypt,” in Mysterious Lands (London: University College London Press, 2003), 17.

\(^{509}\) Refer to the stele of Mentuhotep IV on page 69.

\(^{510}\) Refer to the autobiography of Weni on page 73.

\(^{511}\) Refer to the inscription of Sobeknakht on page 75 and the execration figure on page 74.

\(^{512}\) Refer to the stele of Amenhotep III on page 84 and the inscription from Deir el-Bahari on page 84.

\(^{513}\) Refer to the letter of Amenhotep II on page 91.

\(^{514}\) Refer to the inscription of Senusret III on page 91.

\(^{515}\) Following Lorton’s preferred translation of $\text{hjsy}$. Lorton, “The So-Called ‘Vile’ Enemies of the King of Egypt.”
Nehesy posed a greater threat than other foreign peoples, but because the Southerners lived in such close proximity to Egypt. The cataracts were the only things obstructing passage down the Nile into Egypt. The forts and the epithet *hsy* performed a similar and complimentary function: it was not only important for the Southerners to *be* defeated, but to *stay* defeated.

The above considerations of Egyptian perceptions of individual ethnic groups lead to additional conclusions about foreigners in ancient Egypt and their conception of foreignness in general. There is a strong sense that for each region of the world there is a designated inhabitant: *kmt*, the “Black Land” was the homeland of the Egyptians, the islands were the homes of *Tjeker*, *Pelest*, and *Danuna*, and *Shasu*-land was for the *Shasu*, etc. This understanding of the cosmos led to the belief that the worst offense was when foreigners, *en masse*, approached the Egyptian border and tried to enter. Foreigners who succeeded in entering Egypt in large migrations were described as “ruining” it.\(^{516}\) In some cases, an even greater travesty could occur: foreigners representing a number of different ethnic groups formed a united enemy intent on entering Egypt together. In these instances, the foreign peoples were described as making “plans” for Egypt.\(^{517}\) These intruders went against *m3t* and the ordered world,\(^{518}\) and were condemned by the State, and repelled by the king. This insistence that foreigners belonged outside of Egypt should not be interpreted as a sign of xenophobia in the Egyptian culture, or as the “inferiorization”\(^{519}\) of foreigners. This prevalent assessment of the way Egyptians thought of foreignness no doubt owes much to the explicit ‘smiting’ scenes predominantly displayed on temples and stelae, and the ‘bound captive’ motif frequently found in tombs, on thrones and footstools, boundary stelae, and also on temple exteriors. The negative language prevalent in Egyptian texts concerning foreigners, including *hsy* and *hrw*, performed the same symbolic function that the foreigners on royal floor tiles and shoe

---

\(^{516}\) Refer to the Hyksos on page 54 and the Westerners on page 30.

\(^{517}\) Refer to the Westerners on page 30, and the ‘Sea Peoples’ on page 37.

\(^{518}\) The ordered world is exemplified by a ‘Map of the Cosmos’ on the lid of the 30th Dynasty sarcophagus of Wereshnefer (MMA 14.7.1a) and a fragmentary map in the Yale Map Collection (61).

\(^{519}\) This term was used to categorize the perception of foreigners in the Egyptian mindset alongside the term “dehumanizing” in: Moers, “The World and the Geography of Otherness in Pharaonic Egypt,” 176-179.
soles did: to ensure their eternal subjugation.\textsuperscript{520} Although there is no evidence that a ‘hierarchy’ of races existed in the collective Egyptian mind,\textsuperscript{521} there was the understanding that a place existed for every group of people, and every group was meant to be in its place. The Egyptians’ ‘vocabulary of foreignness’ should be interpreted as the recognition that foreign peoples were undeniably \textit{different}, and being different necessitated their own, unique regions.

Although there is no evidence that a ‘hierarchy’ of races existed in the collective Egyptian mind,\textsuperscript{521} there was the understanding that a place existed for every group of people, and every group was meant to be in its place. The Egyptians’ ‘vocabulary of foreignness’ should be interpreted as the recognition that foreign peoples were undeniably \textit{different}, and being different necessitated their own, unique regions.

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
 & Lion & Crocodile & Bird & Dog & Fish & Ape & Desert Herds \\
\hline
Westerners &  &  & X & X &  &  &  \\
Northerners & X & X &  &  & X &  & X \\
Easterners &  & X &  &  &  &  &  \\
Southerners & X &  &  &  &  & X &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Sample of Animals associated with Foreigners}
\end{table}

Animals were often used as allegories for certain aspects of foreignness in Egyptian texts. The modern instinct is to assume that these comparisons were of derogatory functions by nature, although this was certainly not the case. The Egyptian king was often compared to animals in military records and within his titles, and indeed, many of those same animals were applied to foreigners.\textsuperscript{522} In the Egyptian worldview the associated animal itself did not necessitate a pejorative intent in the same way that calling someone an “ape” or an “ass” would in many modern societies; instead they functioned as a framework for comparative behavior. The dog represented obedience, subservience, and submission.\textsuperscript{523} Comparisons to fish, locusts, mice, or flocks of birds, evoked imagery of easily caught, and therefore easily defeated, masses.\textsuperscript{524} Lions and crocodiles, both used as symbols of the Egyptian king,\textsuperscript{525} represented power, dominance, and strength.


\textsuperscript{521} This is a relatively modern philosophy that has unfortunately been transposed into our understanding of ancient Egyptian perceptions of their ethnic neighbors.

\textsuperscript{522} Hsu, “Figurative Expressions Referring to Animals,” 3-12.

\textsuperscript{523} Galán, “What is he, the dog?” 179.

\textsuperscript{524} Teeter, “Animals in Egyptian Literature,” 268.

\textsuperscript{525} Hsu, “Figurative Expressions Referring to Animals in Royal Inscriptions of the 18th Dynasty,” 9-10. Teeter, “Animals in Egyptian Literature,” 267.
Egyptian discourse about Egypt’s ethnic neighbors reveals a number of possible titles for the ruling class of neighboring peoples including $hk3$ or $hk3$-$h3swt$, $wr$, and $wr$. Before the New Kingdom, $hk3$ was the primary title of foreign rulers in Egyptian texts, while $wr$ was reserved for Egyptian vassals, landowners and nobles.\textsuperscript{526} Reflecting this, there are extant examples for the Aamu and Nehesy rulers being referred to by either title.\textsuperscript{527} The Shasu, however, did not seem to have a complex political structure and their leaders were only called $'t$, “magnate.” This is attributed to the functions of $hk3$ and $wr$: the title $hk3$ was used to refer to leadership over a geographical area rather than a group of people,\textsuperscript{528} while $wr$ evolved from an indication of high social rank indebted to the Egyptian king.\textsuperscript{529} This discussion of a hierarchy of political titles can be supplemented by the fact that Ramesses II calls himself $hk3$ while he calls Hattusili, the Hittite king, $wr$.\textsuperscript{530}

The purpose of this thesis was to examine the ways in which the ancient Egyptians wrote about their ethnic neighbors. Ironically, the task of presenting these sources has raised a number of issues concerning the ways in which foreigners appear in Egyptological discourse. One aspect of this is the common understanding that in the Egyptian worldview: [ + AUSLÄNDER] = [ - rmT].\textsuperscript{531} This prevalent idea prompted Anthony Leahy to write\textsuperscript{532}:

> Egyptian vocabulary made a similarly sharp distinction between the inhabitants of the Nile Valley, who were $rmT$ ($remetj$), or “people,” and the rest of humankind, who were not.

Although it is commonly believed in Egyptology\textsuperscript{533} that foreigners were never referred to as $rmT$, this is not the case. Most uses of $rmT$ refer to the inhabitants of Egypt.

---

\textsuperscript{526} Lorton, \textit{The Juridical Terminology}, 61.
\textsuperscript{527} For the Aamu refer to the narrative of Apophis and Seqenenre on page 54, for the Nehesy refer to the stele of Amenhotep III on page 84.
\textsuperscript{528} Lorton, \textit{The Juridical Terminology}, 23.
\textsuperscript{530} Liverani, \textit{International Relations in the Ancient Near East, 1600-1100 BC}, 39. For further examples of the Egyptians calling foreign kings $wr$, see: Lorton, \textit{The Juridical Terminology}, 62.
\textsuperscript{531} Loprieno, \textit{Topos und Mimesis}, 22.
\textsuperscript{532} Leahy, “Ethnic Diversity in Ancient Egypt,” 226.
\textsuperscript{533} Gardiner stated this attitude similarly: “…the Egyptians were, they themselves considered, the only true ‘men’, the only people really entitled to bear the name rōme. Normally she was contemptuous of her nearest neighbors, to whose chieftains she invariably applied the epithet ‘vile.’” Alan H. Gardiner, \textit{Egypt of the Pharaohs: An Introduction} (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1961), 37.
and may have even been their self-defining ethnonym, but the word more broadly meant “humankind.” It functioned “as the semantic plural of the singular s” and as the plural of rm, “man,” but included the seated woman determinative (Gardiner Sign-List B 1) to indicate the general populace. The distinction between rm and ḫṣṭwy is not as black and white as Leahy asserts; the word rm was also used to refer to foreigners. Several examples were included in this thesis: twice rm referred to the Nehesy, once to the Shasu, and twice to the inhabitants of Alasiya. Another example is found in Thutmose III’s stele at Gebel Barkal when the king boasts of capturing: rm sn nbt in m skrw-ṃḥ, “all their people (of Naharen), brought as living prisoners.” The Egyptians acknowledged that the foreign peoples surrounding Egypt were people, they recognized that they were people of a very different sort. These foreign neighbors were simultaneously rm, “people,” but not rm, “Egyptians.”

The conceptualization of Egypt’s foreigners as only three types (Fig. 3) glosses over the numerous ethnic divisions that exist in textual records. While the ancient Egyptians certainly conceptualized humankind as composed of four ‘races,’ they also acknowledged that ethnic differences among those four races existed. Greater care should be taken in the translation of some of the ethnonyms, such as iwntyw as “trogloidytes” or “barbarians,” or a great number of the northern and eastern ethnonyms that are

---

534 In some cultures the generic word for “people” is the same word used for their reflexive ethnonym. This may have been the case for the Egyptians, creating a dualistic meaning of the word rm. For a discussion of the phenomenon in a modern context, see: Proschon, “We are all Kmhmu, Just the Same: Ethnonyms, Ethnic Identities and Ethnic Groups.”
537 Gardiner, Egyptian Grammar, 77.
538 Fischer takes the usual inclusion of the seated woman determinative (Gardiner Sign-list B 1) in the orthography of the word rm as a sign of the “good opinion of women.” Henry George Fischer, “Women in the Old Kingdom and the Heracleopolitan Period,” in Women’s Earliest Records: From Ancient Egypt and Western Asia (Atlanta: Scholars Press, 1989), 24.
539 Refer to both texts on page 91.
540 Refer to the Great Harris Papyrus on page 65.
541 Refer to the Report of Wenamun on page 39.
542 De Buck, Egyptian Readingbook I, 57: line 13.
homogenously translated as “Bedouin.” Similar care should be taken in the use of homogenous terms like ‘Libyan,’ and ‘Nubian.’ Although most of the reflexive ethnonyms of Egypt’s neighbors remain unknown, their Egyptian exonyms are well documented. Unfortunately, these ethnonyms have been replaced in Egyptological discourse, no doubt for convenience, by the all-encompassing terms ‘Libyan,’ ‘Nubian,’ and ‘Asiatic.’ Although convenient, these ‘umbrella terms’ are misleading and destructive to the ethnic uniqueness represented by individual ethnonyms. The evidence for distinctions within these large, homogenous labels for the foreigners is ignored when these terms are used. In these instances, translation is at its best a loss, and at its worst a lie. If scholars continue to think of Egypt’s neighbors in this restricted manner, they will come to believe that the Egyptians were also not aware of the subtle distinctions between their foreign neighbors, a fact unsupported by the Egyptians’ use of a plethora of ethnonyms and descriptors. Indeed, acknowledging the fluid and nuanced identities of people groups, whether those of self-identification or of external observation, is at the very heart of conceptualizing ethnicity.

545 Including hryw-šty, nmiw-šty, mnts, and Shasu. See Appendix.
547 As in the publication title: Morkot, The Black Pharaohs: Egypt’s Nubian Rulers.
548 According to Gardiner, the name ‘Libyan’ “is both a misnomer and an anachronism, since the important tribe of the Libu is first heard in the reign of Merneptah…” Gardiner, Egypt of the Pharaohs: An Introduction, 35.
549 In the same way that referring to all indigenous North American tribes as “Indian” refuses them the acknowledgement of their unique ethnicities.
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Appendix: Sample of ethnonyms in ancient Egyptian written sources

This appendix is not comprehensive of all ethnonyms found in Egyptian sources, nor does it illustrate the vast orthographic variations that existed for each ethnonym. It is intended to present some common ethnonyms, which were discussed in this thesis according to their orientation, for reference and to provide an idea of the number of distinct ethnonyms found in Egyptian texts. The ethnonyms are listed along with their common English translation(s) for clarity.

### Northern ethnonyms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hieroglyphs</th>
<th>Translations</th>
<th>Text</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="Hieroglyphs" /></td>
<td>Aamu / Asiatics</td>
<td>Great Speos Artemidos Inscription</td>
<td>Primary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="Hieroglyphs" /></td>
<td>Montiu / Asiatics / Bedouin</td>
<td>Stele of Sobek-khu</td>
<td>Secondary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="Hieroglyphs" /></td>
<td>ḫryw-šy / Sandfarers / Bedouin</td>
<td>Autobiography of Pepi-Nakht</td>
<td>Secondary</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Western ethnonyms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hieroglyphs</th>
<th>Translations</th>
<th>Text</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="Hieroglyphs" /></td>
<td>Tjehnu / Libyans</td>
<td>Story of Sinuhe</td>
<td>Primary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="Hieroglyphs" /></td>
<td>Tjemeh / Libyans</td>
<td>Prophecy of Neferty</td>
<td>Primary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="Hieroglyphs" /></td>
<td>Meshwesh / Libyans</td>
<td>Medinet Habu</td>
<td>Primary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="Hieroglyphs" /></td>
<td>Rebu / Libyans</td>
<td>Merneptah’s Athribis Stele</td>
<td>Primary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language</td>
<td>Text</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Classification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nmiw-śy</td>
<td>Story of Sinuhe</td>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandfarers /</td>
<td></td>
<td>Medinet Habu</td>
<td>Primary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bedouin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peleset /</td>
<td></td>
<td>Medinet Habu</td>
<td>Primary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philistines</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tjeker /</td>
<td></td>
<td>Medinet Habu</td>
<td>Primary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zekeru</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sherden</td>
<td>Qadesh Battle Inscriptions</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lukka</td>
<td>Qadesh Battle Inscriptions</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weshesh</td>
<td>Medinet Habu</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teresh</td>
<td>Medinet Habu</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ekwesh</td>
<td>Medinet Habu</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keftiu</td>
<td>BM writing-tablet EA29558</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kharu /</td>
<td>Quarry Inscription of Neferperet</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syrians /</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phonecians?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fenkhu /</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syrians /</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hurrians</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Eastern ethnonyms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hieroglyphs</th>
<th>Translations</th>
<th>Text</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Hieroglyphs" /></td>
<td><em>Shasu</em> / Bedouin</td>
<td>Stele of Ramesses II from Tanis</td>
<td>Primary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image2" alt="Hieroglyphs" /></td>
<td><em>Puntyu</em> / Puntite</td>
<td>Deir el Bahari – Hatshepsut’s Mortuary Temple</td>
<td>Primary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image3" alt="Hieroglyphs" /></td>
<td><em>Medjay</em></td>
<td>Papyrus Boulaq XVII</td>
<td>Primary</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Southern ethnonyms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hieroglyphs</th>
<th>Translations</th>
<th>Text</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image4" alt="Hieroglyphs" /></td>
<td><em>Nehesyu</em> / Nubians</td>
<td>Papyrus Sallier</td>
<td>Primary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image5" alt="Hieroglyphs" /></td>
<td><em>Setyu</em> / Nubians</td>
<td>Autobiography of Ahmose, son of Abana</td>
<td>Primary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image6" alt="Hieroglyphs" /></td>
<td><em>iuntyu</em> / Bowmen</td>
<td>Autobiography of Ahmose, son of Abana</td>
<td>Secondary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image7" alt="Hieroglyphs" /></td>
<td><em>Wawayu</em></td>
<td>Instruction of Amenemhat I</td>
<td>Primary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image8" alt="Hieroglyphs" /></td>
<td><em>Kashu</em> / Kushites</td>
<td>Inscription of Senusret III</td>
<td>Primary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image9" alt="Hieroglyphs" /></td>
<td><em>Terek-folk</em></td>
<td>Papyrus Koller</td>
<td>Primary?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image10" alt="Hieroglyphs" /></td>
<td><em>Irem-folk</em></td>
<td>Papyrus Koller</td>
<td>Primary?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Other ethnonyms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Translations</th>
<th>Text</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Kasa</em></td>
<td>Papyrus Lansing</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Apiru</em></td>
<td>Papyrus Leiden I</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>